State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01)

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing	lemental Filing	Corrected Filing	
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV Inc. DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMENT Mizco International	I, Inc.			
CASE	COURT DOCKET NUMBER BC668988 SHORT CASE NAME Shefa v. Mizco Inte	rnational	COURTNAME LOS Ano	geles County Su	perior Court
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformulation and/or Warning label				
REPORT INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$6,000 WILL SETTLEMENT BE SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, RE MUST BE S	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES \$24,000 TER ENTRY OF JUDGMENT BY EPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENE TTLEMENT MU	PAYMENT 0 . 00 DATE SET 8	TTLEMENT SIGNED /2 /2017	For Internal Use Only
	NAMEOFCONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum	1			
 #:0	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Danie	el Greenbaum		ті (ELEPHONE NUMBER 818, 809-2199
FILER	ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Av	e., Suite 320		F/	AX NUMBER 424 243-7698
	Van Nuys	STATE ZIP CA 91406	E-MAIL dgr	ADDRESS eenbaum@greenb	aumlawfirm.com

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM				
2	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104)				
	The Hathaway Building				
3	7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320				
4	Van Nuys, CA 91406				
5	Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile:(424) 243-7689				
6	Email:dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com				
7	Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, INC.				
8	MILLER LIEBY & ASSOCIATES PC				
9	Evan Mizrahi, Esq.				
10	32 Broadway New York NY 10004				
10	Telephone: (212) 227-4200				
11	Facsimile: (212) 504-8369				
12	Email: emizrahi@millerleiby.com				
13	Attorneys for Defendant MIZCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.				
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
16	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
17	SHEFA LMV, INC.,	Case No. BC668988			
10	Plaintiff,				
18	vs.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT			
19	MIZCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.; and DOES 1)	AS TO MIZCO INTERNATIONAL,			
20	through 100, Inclusive,	INC.			
	Defendants.				
21 22)	Action Filed: July 18, 2017			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MIZCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff
Shefa LMV, Inc. ("Shefa" or "Plaintiff") and Mizco International, Inc. ("MIZCO" or
"Defendant," with Shefa and MIZCO individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the
"Parties.")

1.2 Plaintiff

Shefa is a limited liability company in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Settling Defendant

MIZCO is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code §25249.6 *et seq.* ("**Proposition 65**").

1.4 Products Covered

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are Travelocity headphone products that allegedly contain Diisononyl Phthalate ("DINP") without first providing a clear and reasonable warning as required by Proposition 65 that are manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by MIZCO including, but not limited to Travelocity Stereo Headphones; UPC: 758302088874 sold to consumers by Family Dollar, Inc. or others in MIZCO's chain of distribution (collectively, the "Covered Products").

1.5 General Allegations

Shefa alleges that MIZCO manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes, for sale in the state of California, headphone products that contain DINP without first providing a clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65. DINP (CAS # 68515-48-0) is a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical "known to the state to cause cancer" as Proposition 65 defines that term. 27 CCR §25000. MIZCO denies these allegations.

1.6 Notice of Violation

On May 8, 2017, Shefa served MIZCO, others, and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") alleging that MIZCO violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Covered Products expose users to DINP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On July 18, 2017, Shefa filed the instant complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Los Angeles against MIZCO and DOES 1-100, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on exposures to DINP contained in the covered products sold in the State of California (the "Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

MIZCO denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all the products it has manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by MIZCO of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by MIZCO of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by MIZCO. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect MIZCO's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, the Defendant agrees that it employs or has employed ten or more persons during time periods relevant to the Complaint and that this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "**Effective Date**" shall mean the date the Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: COMPLIANT PRODUCTS AND WARNINGS

2.1 Reformulation Standards

Compliant Products are defined as those Products containing the Listed Chemical in concentrations less than or equal to 1000 parts per million ("ppm") (0.1%) when analyzed pursuant to a scientifically reliable application of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other scientifically reliable methodology for determining the Listed Chemical content in a substance of the form of the Covered Products herein.

Defendant agrees, promises, and represents that, as of Ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, to the extent it ships or sells any Covered Products that have not been reformulated, Defendant will provide warnings on such Covered Products that comply with Proposition 65.

The warnings shall be provided in such a conspicuously and prominent manner that will assure the message is made available and likely to be read, seen, or heard by the consumer prior to or at the time of the sale or purchase.

The Parties agree that product labeling stating one of the following shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to any Covered Products not reformulated:

"WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer."

Or

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Diisononyl phthalate (DINP) which are known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov."

2.2 Reformulation

Commencing ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, MIZCO shall not manufacture or cause to be manufactured for sale in California, cause to be exported or

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MIZCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.

3.2.2 **Attorney's Fees and Costs.** A reimbursement of Shefa's attorney's fees and costs in the amount of \$24,000.00 payable to the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum," and associated with taxpayer identification number 46-4580172. This payment shall be delivered to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

Shefa's Public Release of MIZCO and its Downstream Releasees 4.1

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Shefa and MIZCO of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by Shefa, acting on behalf of itself and in a representative capacity in the public interest under Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, against MIZCO, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, manufacturers, suppliers, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and the predecessors, successors, or assigns of each of them, and each entity to whom MIZCO directly or indirectly exports, distributes or sells the Covered Products, including, without limitation, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including, without limitation, Family Dollar, Inc. and its affiliates), franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Releasees"), based on failure to warn of alleged exposures from Covered Products manufactured, sold, exported or distributed for sale in California by MIZCO prior to the Effective Date and for ninety (90) days after the Effective Date. The release in this Section 4.1 applies to all Covered Products that MIZCO manufactured, exported, distributed, or sold prior to the Effective Date and for Ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, regardless of the date any other Releasee distributes or sells the Covered Products.

Upon entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, going forward, MIZCO's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by MIZCO or any other Releasee with respect to DINP in Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by MIZCO on and after the Effective Date.

4.2 **Shefa's Individual Release of Claims**

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Shefa, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or

26

assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims that it may have against MIZCO and Releasees, including, without limitation, all actions and causes of action, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses, including, without limitation, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DINP from Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by MIZCO prior to the Effective Date and for ninety (90) days after the Effective Date. The releases in Section 4.2 are provided in Shefa's individual capacity and are not releases on behalf of the public.

4.3 MIZCO's Release of Shefa

MIZCO, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims that it may have against Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products.

4.4 Release of Unknown Claims

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts contained in the 60-Day Notice, or alleged in the Complaint, relating to the Covered Products, will hereafter be discovered or developed. Shefa, on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and MIZCO, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims through and including the Effective Date and for ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. Shefa and MIZCO acknowledge that the claims released in Section 4 may include unknown claims, and nevertheless intend to release such claims, and in doing so waive California Civil Code § 1542, which reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Shefa understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code § 1542 is that, even if Shefa suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, the Covered Products, Shefa will not be able to make any claim for those damages against any of the Releasees.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within ninety (90) days after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be void or unenforceable, or the Parties agree to modify any terms due to comments from the Office of the Attorney General or after a hearing before the Court in connection with Shefa's Motion to Approve, or for other good cause, each Party to be bound by any such modified terms must re-execute the modified Consent Judgment and such modified Consent Judgment then shall be presented by Shefa to the Court for approval; provided, however, that if a provision of this Consent Judgment declared void or unenforceable is material to the Party for whom such term provided a benefit or protection, that Party can seek other remedies, including, without limitation, rescission or reformation, based on the provision being declared void or unenforceable.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. DINP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, including without limitation the delisting of DINP, then MIZCO may

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	

28

provide written notice to Shefa of any asserted change in the law, and with the exception of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 above, have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. None of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall have any application to Covered Products sold outside of the State of California.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier on any Party by the other at the following addresses:

To MIZCO:	To Shefa:
-----------	-----------

MILLER LIEBY & ASSOCIATES PC	Daniel N. Greenbaum
Evan Mizrahi, Esq.	Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum
32 Broadway	7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320
New York NY 10004	Van Nuys, CA 91406

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

11.1 The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f), Shefa is obligated to file a noticed motion to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Upon the Parties' execution of this Consent Judgment, Shefa promptly shall proceed to

submit this Consent Judgment to the Court with a motion seeking Court approval.

12. MODIFICATION

- 12.1 In the event that any future settlement agreement or court approved consent judgment entered into by Shefa involving another party, or any future court-approved consent judgment entered into by any enforcer of Proposition 65 involving another party, sets out a reformulation or compliance standard that is less stringent than that in Section 2.1 above for DINP in substantially similar flexible power cord/extension cord products, then u MIZCO is entitled to a corresponding modification to the corresponding standard set forth in section 2.1 of this Consent Judgment, with regard to the Covered Products.
- 12.2 In the event OEHHA establishes a safe harbor No-Significant Risk Level ("NSRL") for DINP, which MIZCO asserts would allow for the Covered Products to contain levels of DINP in amounts greater than those set forth above in Section 2.1, then such safe harbor levels shall be substituted in place and instead of this set forth above in Section 2.1.
- 12.3 This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written instrument executed by the Party or Parties to be bound thereby, and after approval by the Court upon a noticed motion.

 Any motion to modify shall be served on all Parties and the Office of the Attorney General.

13. **DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

If Shefa determines at a future date that a violation of this Consent Judgment has occurred, Shefa shall provide notice to MIZCO. Prior to bringing any action to enforce any requirement of this Consent Judgment, the party alleging a violation of this Consent Judgment shall provide the other party with written notice of the grounds for such allegation together with all supporting information as well as a complete demand for the relief sought. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the allegation in an attempt to resolve the matter informally, including providing the party alleged to be in violation with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, the party alleging a violation may file its lawsuit seeking the proposed relief.

14. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

6 AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

Date: 8/2/2017

Date:

By:

Shefa LMV, Inc.

39.

Mizeo International, Inc.

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT 1 2 3 Please note that on ______, 2017 at _____, Plaintiff Shefa LMV Inc.'s ("Plaintiff") Motion for 4 Court Approval of Settlement Agreement and Entry of Consent Judgment as to Defendant Mizco 5 International, Inc. came for hearing before this Court in Department 74, the Honorable Michelle 6 Williams Court presiding. Counsel for Plaintiff did [not] appear; counsel for Defendant did [not] appear. 7 8 After full consideration of the points and authorities and related pleadings submitted, the Court 9 GRANTED Plaintiff's Motion pursuant to and in accordance with Health & Safety Code 10 §25249.7(f)(4). The Court reviewed the above Settlement Agreement and makes the following 11 findings pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4): The injunctive relief required by the Settlement Agreement complies with Health & 12 a. 13 Safety Code § 25249.7; The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the Settlement 14 b. Agreement is reasonable under California law; and 15 16 The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to Settlement Agreement is reasonable. c. 17 18 The Settlement Agreement is hereby approved, and the clerk is directed to ENTER 19 JUDGMENT in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement above. 20 21 Superior Court Judge Date 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MIZCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.