1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP	
2	Mark N. Todzo (State Bar No. 168389) Lucas Williams (State Bar No. 264518)	
3	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117	
4	Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112	
5	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com lwilliams@lexlawgroup.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
8	SUPERIOR COURT FOR TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
10	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	Case No. 37-2018-00005835-CU-MC-CTL
11	HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	IDDODOCEDI CONCENTE HIDOMENTE
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
13	V.	Judge: Judith F. Hayes Department: C-68
14	SENIOR OPERATIONS, LLC; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
DOCUMENT PREPARED	-1.	-
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – SENIOR OPERATIONS, I	

1.

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Senior Operations LLC ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter *Center for Environmental Health v. Senior Operations, LLC, et al..*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2018-00005835-CU-MC-CTL (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2. On June 16, 2017, CEH served its initial 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorney for the County of San Diego and City Attorney for the City of San Diego.
- **1.3.** The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to exposures of trichloroethylene ("TCE") allegedly caused by groundwater that emanated from the site located at 790 Greenfield Drive, El Cajon, CA 92021 (the "Site").
- **1.4.** Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that allegedly owns and/or operates the Site.
- **1.5.** On July 11, 2017, Settling Defendant responded to the Notice advising the Plaintiff, the California Attorney General, the District Attorney for the County of San Diego and City Attorney for the City of San Diego of the following, and providing supporting documents in support of its contentions that:
- **1.5.1.** There has been extensive agency oversight of and clean-up and abatement orders regarding the Site;
- **1.5.2.** There has been ongoing remediation and monitoring efforts of the Site by the current responsible party, Ametek, Inc.;
- **1.5.3.** Since Settling Defendant began operations at the Site, it has not used, does not use, and has no plans to use TCE at the Site;

- **1.5.4.** The San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board ("Water Board") determined that Senior did not cause or permit the discharge of waste containing TCE to the groundwater in the area of the Site (San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, Resolution No. 98-14 (February 11, 1998) ("RWQCB Res. 98-14") at ¶ 6.);
- 1.5.5. Senior Flexonics, Inc. (subsequently renamed and re-registered as Senior Operations LLC) only purchased the Site in 1998 *after* government agencies signed a Prospective Purchaser Agreement releasing it and its successors and assigns from any liability for the investigation, cleanup or abatement of existing conditions of pollution and contamination caused by the discharge of chlorinated solvents by Ametek and Ketema Inc. at the Site (RWQCB Res. 98-14, Attachment 1);
- **1.5.6.** The Water Board, and subsequently the Department of Toxic Substances Control, has been involved in investigating, monitoring and issuing clean up and abatement orders of the Site:
 - **1.5.7.** Ametek is the responsible party that is and has been cleaning up the Site;
- **1.5.8.** The Helix Water District provides the drinking water for the area. The groundwater has not been and is not presently used for drinking water; and
 - **1.5.9.** The alleged exposures were not caused by Settling Defendant's operations.
- **1.6.** On February 1, 2018, CEH filed the Complaint in the Action naming Settling Defendant as a defendant therein.
- **1.7.** On April 6, 2018, Settling Defendant filed an Answer generally denying all material allegations in the Action.
- 1.8. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Diego; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

1.9. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies the applicability of Proposition 65 in this circumstance, and denies any wrongdoing whatsoever.

1.10. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1.** "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.
- **2.2.** "Site" means the site located at 790 Greenfield Drive, El Cajon, CA 92021.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- **3.1.** Clear and Reasonable Warnings. As of the Effective Date and for a period of three years thereafter as set forth in Section 3.1.3, Settling Defendant shall use best efforts to provide warnings to individuals living and working within the perimeter of the area depicted in the map set forth in Section 3.1.1. The warnings shall use the warning language set forth in Section 3.1.1 and the warning methods set forth in 3.1.2.
- **3.1.1. Content of the warnings.** The warning provided in accordance with this Section shall state the following in both English and Spanish:



Entering the area near the Senior Aerospace Ketema, the facility located at 790 Greenfield Drive, El Cajon, CA 92021, as identified in the attached map, can expose you to trichloroethylene (TCE). TCE is known to the State of California to cause cancer.

Visit www.P65Warnings.ca.gov and https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxfaqs/tfacts19.pdf for more information.

ADVERTENCIA

Al ingresar al área cercana a Senior Aerospace Ketema, ubicada en 790 Greenfield Drive, El Cajon, CA 92021, usted puede quedar expuesto a químicos incluyendo tricloroetileno (TCE), que es conocido por el Estado de California como causante de cáncer. Para mayor información, visite www.P65Warnings.ca.gov y https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/es/toxfaqs/es_tfacts19.pdf.

Below is a map containing a shaded pink area for the warnings. As set forth below, this is the area bounded by E. Bradley Avenue to the north, Hart Drive to the south, the San Vicente Freeway to the west and the western boundary of the Site to the east:

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

-5-



3.1.2. Warning Method. Within 90-days of the Effective Date and every ninety days thereafter, Settling Defendants shall use best efforts to cause a copy of the warning set forth in Section 3.1.1 above to be mailed or delivered to every residence and business set forth in the shaded area of the map set forth above. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties agree that mailing or delivering the warning to the addresses obtained from the third party vendor, Marketing Lists Direct, for mail carrier route 92021-C044 (the "List of Addresses") constitutes compliance with this Section. Plaintiff has provided Settling Defendant with the List of

Addresses, which shall serve as the list for the Warning Period. To the extent the warning is placed in an envelope, the envelope shall clearly state in both English and Spanish that an important health warning is enclosed.

- **3.1.3. Duration of Warnings.** The warnings required under this section shall continue for a period of three years following the Effective Date (the "Warning Period") subject to the provisions of this section. If, at any time *prior* to the conclusion of the three year period, Settling Defendant can demonstrate that the TCE exposures in the scope of the warning area have subsided below the level requiring a warning, Settling Defendant may initiate a meet and confer process with CEH to modify or eliminate the warning requirement.
- 3.1.4. Compliance with Warning Requirements. In the event of a material change to the applicable Proposition 65 warning requirements set forth in 27 Cal. Code of Regulations §25600, et seq., either Party may, following a 30-day meet and confer, seek to modify this Section to comply with those new regulations. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude Settling Defendant from complying with new or different provisions of Proposition 65.
- **3.1.5.** Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall bar a person/entity who is not a Party to this Consent Judgment from issuing Proposition 65 warnings relating to the alleged passive migration of TCE from the Site.

4. PAYMENTS

- **4.1.** Settling Defendant shall pay to CEH the total sum of one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000), which shall be allocated as follows:
- **4.1.1.** Nineteen thousand and five hundred dollars (\$19,500) as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for fourteen thousand six hundred

1 and twenty five dollars (\$14,625) shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer 2 identification number 68-0284486. This payment to OEHHA shall be delivered as follows: 3 For United States Postal Service Delivery: 4 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 5 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B 6 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 7 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 8 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 9 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B 10 Sacramento, CA 95814 11 The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$4,875 shall be made 12 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification 13 number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero 14 Street, San Francisco, CA 94117. 15 **4.1.2.** Fourteen thousand and five hundred dollars (\$14,500) as an Additional 16 Settlement Payment ("ASP") in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 17 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH shall place these funds in 18 the El Cajon Clean Air Fund. The fund will be used [in ways identified by impacted members of 19 the El Cajon community] to educate the public about TCE and other air pollutants, to work with 20 allied organizations to reduce exposure to TCE and other air pollutants, and to thereby reduce the 21 public health impacts and risks of exposure to TCE and other air pollutants in California. CEH 22 shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities 23 and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any 24 request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable 25 to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-26 3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San 27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

Francisco, CA 94117. CEH agrees to provide Settling Defendant with a breakdown of how the ASP funds will be disbursed.

4.1.3. One hundred and sixteen thousand dollars (\$116,000) as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This amount shall be divided into two checks: (1) a check for ninety four thousand dollars (\$94,000) shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group; and (2) a check for twenty two thousand dollars (\$22,000) shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.1.4. To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$14,625	OEHHA per Section 4.1.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$4,875	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$14,500	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$94,000	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$22,000	LLG

4.1.5. Making the payments under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.4 shall not be construed as an admission by Settling Defendant of any fact, issue of law or violation of law.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Diego County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including by providing Settling Defendant with its evidence that Settling Defendant has failed to comply with the terms of this Consent Judgment and a reasonable opportunity of at least sixty (60) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application alleging Settling Defendant failed to comply with the terms of this Consent Judgment. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT 6.

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by express written agreement of the Parties, upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law, or by an order of the Court.

7. RELEASE

7.1. In exchange for the consideration herein, and except for the obligations created by this Agreement, CEH, on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(d), including but not limited to that interest of the public within the designated areas of the map in this Agreement, hereby irrevocably and unconditionally remise, release, acquit, absolve and forever discharge Settling Defendant Senior, its past, present and future employees, officers, directors, subsidiaries, parents, joint ventures, members, domestic and foreign corporations, divisions, affiliates, partners, stockholders, agents, predecessors, successors, executors, administrators, assigns, representatives, insurers, attorneys, and persons or entities responsible for monitoring, remediating and/or cleaning up the Site (the "Senior Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Notice and Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendant and Senior Releasees, regarding the failure to warn prior to the Effective Date.

7.2. CEH, acting on its own behalf and on behalf of its past, present and future employees, officers, directors, subsidiaries, corporate parents, joint venturers, members, domestic and foreign corporations, divisions, affiliates, partners, stockholders, predecessors, successors, executors, administrators, agents, assigns, representatives, insurers, and attorneys ("Plaintiff Releasing Parties"), hereby irrevocably and unconditionally remise, release, acquit, absolve and forever discharge Settling Defendant, its past, present and future employees, officers, directors, subsidiaries, parents, joint ventures, members, domestic and foreign corporations, divisions,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

affiliates, partners, stockholders, agents, predecessors, successors, executors, administrators, assigns, representatives, insurers, attorneys, and persons or entities responsible for monitoring, remediating and/or cleaning up the Site (the "Senior Releasees"), of and from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, debts, contracts, charges, liens, complaints, claims, suits, damages, obligations, promises, agreements, controversies, losses, costs, judgments, or expenses (including attorneys' fees and court costs), of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, fixed or contingent, direct or derivative, subrogated or assigned, suspected or unsuspected, which the parties have or may have, or which the parties at any time, heretofore had or claimed to have, or which the parties at any time hereafter may have or claim to have, against one another by reason of any matter, cause, act, omission, or thing whatsoever from the beginning of time to the Effective Date of this Agreement arising from the Action, including unknown claims pursuant to California Civil Code § 1542 to the extent such claims relate to the matters set forth in the Complaint (the "Released Claims"). The Released Claims shall include, without limitation, any and all claims which were set forth or which could have been set forth as part of the Action based on the facts outlined in the same (except for the obligations created by this Agreement), including without limitation any claim for or relating to any alleged violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65").

7.2.1. The Releasing Parties hereby expressly and knowingly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits afforded by California Civil Code § 1542 (and under other statutes or common law principles of similar effect) which reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

7.2.2. The Releasing Parties hereby also expressly and knowingly waive other statutes or common law principles of similar effect. Releasing Parties acknowledges that each

ON RECYCLED PAPER

23

24

25

26

9. **COURT APPROVAL**

- 9.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall not oppose such Motion.
- 9.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION 10.

10.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- **11.2.** There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- **11.3.** No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- **11.4.** No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.

26

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

1	11.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or		
. 2	shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall		
3	such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.		
4	12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		
5	12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the		
6	Consent Judgment.		
7	13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT		
8	13.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
9	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and		
10	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.		
11	14. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
12	14.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
13	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
15			
16	Dated:, 2019		
17	Judge of the Superior Court of California		
18	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
19	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
20			
21	M-las /C		
22	Michael Green Director		
23	SENIOR OPERATIONS LLC		
. 24	2 00		
26	Inte Hones		
27	Emi Donis General Counsel, North America		
28			
OCUMENT PREPARED IN RECYCLED PAPER	-14-		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – SENIOR OPERATIONS, LLC – CASE NO. 37-2018-00005835-CU-MC-CTL		