| 1                                      |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| 2                                      |   |  |
| 3                                      |   |  |
| 4                                      |   |  |
| 5                                      |   |  |
| 6                                      |   |  |
| 7                                      |   |  |
| 8                                      | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S   | STATE OF CALIFORNIA  |
| 9                                      | FOR THE COUNTY  | OF ALAMEDA   |
| 10                                     |   |  |
| 11                                     |   | ) C N DC 17 050777   |
| 12                                     | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,  | ) Case No. RG 17-852777                                      |
| 13                                     | Plaintiff,  | ) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT<br>) AS TO LASSONDE PAPPAS AND |
| 14                                     | v.<br>MRS. GOOCH'S NATURAL FOOD   | ) COMPANY, INC.  |
| 15                                     | MARKETS, INC., et al.,  |  |
| 16                                     | Defendants.   |  |
| 17                                     |   |  |
| 18                                     |   | . /  |
| 19                                     |   |  |
| 20                                     |   |  |
| 21<br>22                               |   |  |
| 23                                     | 1. DEFINITIONS  |  |
| 24                                     |   | rative complaint in the above-captioned                      |
| 25                                     | matter.   |  |
| 26                                     | 1.2 "Covered Products" means prune juice. An initial list of the Covered Products |  |
| 27                                     | is attached hereto as Exhibit A.  |  |
| 28                                     | 1   |  |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED<br>ON RECYCLED PAPER | CONSENT JUDGMENT – Lassonde F   | Pappas – Case No. RG 17-852777                               |

- 1.3 "Organic Covered Products" means prune juice sourced exclusively from organic-grown prunes. Organic Covered Products includes only items listed on Exhibit A that have "organic" in their product description.
- 1.4 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.

### 2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH") and Lassonde Pappas and Company, Inc. (formerly known as Clement Pappas and Company, Inc.) ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (collectively, the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the Complaint.
- 2.2 On or about July 7, 2017, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons in California to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning (the "Notice").
- 2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so at times relevant to the Complaint. Some of the Covered Products sold by Settling Defendant are labeled as organic under the National Organic Program ("NOP"). Under the NOP, Settling Defendant is limited in the use of certain enzymes that the Parties believe could otherwise be used to further reduce acrylamide levels in the Organic Covered Products.
- 2.4 On March 13, 2017, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter. On or about September 22, 2017, CEH filed an amendment to the Complaint pursuant to Cal. Civ. Pro. § 474, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
  - 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this

Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notices with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission against interest by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission against interest by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Commencing on the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that will be sold or offered for sale in California that contains a concentration of more than the following parts per billion ("ppb") levels of acrylamide by weight as measured at the point at which the Covered Product is bottled by Settling Defendant into bottles or other containers to be offered for sale to California consumers (the "Reformulation Level"):

### 3.1.1 For Organic Covered Products:

- 3.1.1.1 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products shall not exceed 450 ppb (the "Organic Unit Level"), based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested.
- 3.1.1.2 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 350 ppb (the "Organic Unit Average Level"). The Organic Unit Average Level is determined by

randomly selecting and testing at least one sample each from at least five and up to 30 different lots of Organic Covered Products (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if fewer than five) during a testing period of at least 60 days. The mean and standard deviation shall be calculated using the sampling data. Any data points that are more than three standard deviations outside the mean shall be discarded once, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated using the remaining data points. The mean determined in accordance with this procedure shall be deemed the "Organic Unit Average Level."

#### 3.1.2 For all other Covered Products:

- 3.1.2.1 For 100% Single Strength Covered Products (Not Made from Concentrate): the acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products shall not exceed 250 ppb, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested.
- 3.1.2.2 For Covered Products Made in Part from Single Strength and in Part from Concentrate: the acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products shall not exceed 200 ppb, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested.
- 3.1.2.3 For Covered Products Made only from Concentrate: the acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products shall not exceed 150 ppb, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested.
- 3.2 The acrylamide concentration shall be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties.
- 3.3 For avoidance of doubt, Covered Products either purchased, manufactured, shipped or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date are not subject to the Reformulation Levels, even if such products are sold in California or to California consumers after the Effective Date.

3.4 **Technology Licensing.** The requirements in this Consent Judgment are not contingent upon the use of any particular method to achieve the Reformulation Level. Settling Defendant also represents and warrants that it does not employ any patented technology that will be used to meet the Reformulation Level.

#### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

## 4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 Notice of Violation. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date more than one year after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the applicable unit Reformulation Level, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section. An alleged exceedance of the Organic Unit Average Level shall not trigger CEH's enforcement rights under Section 4.2 and 4.3.

### 4.2.2 Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.

4.2.2.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

| 1  |
|----|
| 2  |
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |

| 4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date                        |
|---|
| the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was                |
| purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including |
| its name, size, the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained, and   |
| pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot (by means of its |
| best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date or otherwise); and (d) all test data obtained by CEH        |
| regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test    |
| results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports   |
| associated with testing of the Covered Product.   |

4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether they elect to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available test data on the Covered Product in question, including test data reflective of the level of acrylamide measured in the Covered Product at the point at which it was bottled by Settling Defendant into bottles or other containers to be offered for sale to California consumers. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.4, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

27

| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |

| 4.2.4 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling               |
|---|
| Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of     |
| serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw      |
| the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not  |
| contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay  |
| \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time,         |
| CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the          |
| result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a |
| Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may     |
| agree to provide additional time to further meet and confer on the matter which is the subject of   |
| the Notice of Violation or it may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section     |
| 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or     |
| other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.      |

- 4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, they shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.
- 4.2.5.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election test data reflective of the level of acrylamide measured in the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Violation at the point at which it was bottled by Settling Defendant into bottles or other containers to be offered for sale to California consumers. If those data reflect testing of the Covered Product in the same calendar quarter that corresponds to the best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date shown on the cap or label of the Covered Product which is the subject of the Notice of Violation and the results of that testing show that the applicable Reformulation Level of the Covered Product has not been exceeded at the point of bottling, then any exceedance of the Reformulation Level shall be deemed to be attributable to acrylamide formation arising during shelf life (i.e., after the point of the final bottling and pasteurization of the Covered Product). If test data from the point of bottling shows that the applicable Reformulation Level of the Covered

| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |

Product has been exceeded at the point of bottling, then Settling Defendant may alternatively establish through contemporaneous records that the exceedance resulted from additional holding, heating, and/or pasteurization of the applicable Covered Product due to bottling equipment being taken off-line for unscheduled maintenance (an "Upset"). In order to avail itself of this provision, Settling Defendant must provide CEH with contemporaneous records that show: (a) when the Upset occurred and its causes; (b) that the facility was being operated properly at the time of the Upset; and (c) that remedial measures were taken to prevent a recurrence of the Upset.

4.2.5.2 In the absence of making a showing under Section 4.2.5.1 above, Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that they have undertaken or propose to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling Defendant, and that Settling Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to either (a) cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to destroy or return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant, or (b) to sticker the Noticed Covered Products with adhesive labels containing the following statement: "WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including acrylamide, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food." The preceding warning must be set off from other surrounding information, enclosed in a box, and the word "WARNING" must be in all capital letters and bold print. The warning statement must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information (as that term is defined in 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25600.1(c)) on the Noticed Covered Products, and in no case smaller than 6 point font. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection and

2

copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

- 4.2.5.3 In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first year following the Effective Date.
- 4.2.5.4 If the Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 was addressed by Section 4.2.5.1, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 for each Notice of Violation. If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested, addressed by Section 4.2.5.1, or otherwise withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were not successfully contested, addressed by Section 4.2.5.1, or otherwise withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$75,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.
- 4.2.6 Payments. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- 4.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested, addressed by Section 4.2.5.1, or otherwise withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$7,218.75 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$21,655 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$114,470 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

#### 6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification or termination.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time or terminated by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law. The Parties agree that if Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations (including but not limited to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2) or any "alternative risk level" adopted by regulation or court decision) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels, or if OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action pertaining to the Covered Products in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels or that determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required for such products, or if a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any final decision, guidance, regulation or legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then Settling Defendant may seek to modify or terminate this Consent Judgment. The Parties recognize that the Reformulation Levels are based on a compromise of a number of issues, and that a change to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide would not necessarily entitle a Party to a modification of the terms of this Consent Judgment corresponding in a linear relationship with such a change. Any modification or termination of this Consent Judgment shall not impact Settling Defendant's payment obligations under Section 5.
  - 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

24

25

26

Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify or terminate the Consent Judgment.

- 6.3 Other Settlements. CEH intends to enter into agreements with other entities that manufacture, distribute, and/or sell Covered Products. Should Settling Defendant determine that the Reformulation Levels set forth in any such Consent Judgment are less stringent than those set forth in Section 3.1, after meeting and conferring with CEH pursuant to Section 6.2 above, Settling Defendant may move for a modification of this Consent Judgment to substitute those less stringent Reformulation Levels, and CEH agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.
- 6.4 In the event that achievement of the Reformulation Levels set forth herein does not reduce levels of other chemicals to levels which do not require a warning under Proposition 65 (including after accounting for any effect of sections 25703, 25803, and 25501 of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations), as an alternative to having to encounter a new enforcement action initiated under section 25249.7(d) of the California Health and Safety Code, Settling Defendant may elect to meet and confer with CEH pursuant to Section 6.2 above concerning pursuing a potential modification of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 6.1 to have it address such other chemicals.

#### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and each Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which each Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including, but not limited to, Mrs. Gooch's Natural Food Markets, Inc. and Whole Foods Market California, Inc. and their corporate affiliates), franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to

| 1  | acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed, or offered for sale by a  |
|----|---|
| 2  | Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.   |
| 3  | 7.2 CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and                  |
| 4  | forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and      |
| 5  | Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other        |
| 6  | statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually   |
| 7  | or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in |
| 8  | connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior |
| 9  | to the Effective Date.  |
| 10 | 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant                    |
| 11 | shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and |
| 12 | Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in  |
| 13 | Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective   |
| 14 | Date.   |
| 15 | 8. PROVISION OF NOTICE  |
| 16 | When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the                     |
| 17 | notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:                                     |
| 18 | Howard Hirsch   |
| 19 | Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street   |
| 20 | San Francisco, CA 94117<br>hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com  |
| 21 | When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent                    |
| 22 | Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:                       |
| 23 | Will Wagner   |
| 24 | Greenberg Traurig, LLP<br>1201 K Street, Suite 1100   |
| 25 | Sacramento, CA 95814<br>wagnerw@gtlaw.com   |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |
| 28 | 14  |

Ian Wallace 1 General Counsel Lassonde Pappas and Company, Inc. 2 1 Collins Drive, Suite 200 Carneys Point, NJ 08069 3 Ian.wallace@lassonde.com 4 Any party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent 5 by sending the other party notice by first class and electronic mail. COURT APPROVAL 6 9. 7 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective as a contract between the 8 Parties upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided 9 however, that (a) CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and 10 Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, and (b) if this 11 Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be 12 introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow 13 the Court to determine if there was a material breach of this Section 9.1. 14 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION 15 10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. 16 17 11. **ATTORNEYS' FEES** 18 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or 19 application arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's 20 reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. 21 11.2 Nothing in this Section 12 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of 22 sanctions pursuant to law. 23 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT 24 12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and 25 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior 26 discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby 27 merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

| 1  | b |
|----|---|
| 2  | e |
| 3  | r |
| 4  | C |
| 5  | s |
| 6  | а |
| 7  | s |
| 8  | ι |
| 9  | C |
| 10 | ŗ |
| 11 | 1 |
| 12 |   |
| 13 | ( |
| 14 | 1 |
| 15 |   |
| 16 | а |
| 17 | i |
| 18 | F |
| 19 | 1 |
| 20 |   |
| 21 | c |
| 22 | c |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |

between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been nade by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

#### 3. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 4. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter nto and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

#### 5. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

26

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPAREI

| 1                                      | 16. EXECUTION IN COUNT  | ERPARTS  |
|--|---|--|
| 2                                      | The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and                 |  |
| 3                                      | by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed |  |
| 4                                      | to constitute one document.   |  |
| 5                                      | ·   |  |
| 6                                      | IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGE   | <b>D</b> ,                                     |
| 7                                      | AND DECREED   |  |
| 8                                      | Dated:  | -  |
| 9                                      |   | Judge of the Superior Court                    |
| 10                                     | IT IS SO STIPULATED:  |  |
| 11                                     |   |  |
| 12                                     | Dated:, 2019  | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH                |
| 13                                     |   | Ma Gall  |
| 14                                     |   | Signature                                      |
| 15<br>16                               |   | Signature Michael Green                        |
| 17                                     |   | Printed Name                                   |
| 18                                     |   | CEO  |
| 19                                     |   | Title  |
| 20                                     | Dated:, 2019  | LASSONDE PAPPAS AND COMPANY, INC.              |
| 21                                     |   |  |
| 22                                     |   | Signature                                      |
| 23                                     |   | Signature                                      |
| 24                                     |   |  |
| 25                                     |   | Printed Name                                   |
| 26                                     |   |  |
| 27                                     |   | Title  |
| 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER |   | 17   |
| OI ALCICOLD I AFEK                     | CONSENT JUDG  | MENT – Lassonde Pappas – Case No. RG 17-852777 |

CONSENT JUDGMENT - Lassonde Pappas - Case No. RG 17-852777

ON RECYCLED PAPER

# **EXHIBIT A**

| Ruby Kist Prune Juice                  |
|--|
| Bombay Select Prune Juice              |
| Bombay Golden Harvest Prune Juice      |
| Smart Sense Prune Juice                |
| Tipton Grove Prune Juice               |
| Thirster Prune Juice                   |
| Market Pantry Prune Juice              |
| Big Win Prune Juice                    |
| Sahara Burst Prune Juice               |
| Winco Foods Prune Juice                |
| Family Gourmet Prune Juice             |
| Rejuv Prune Juice                      |
| Gold Emblem Prune Juice                |
| 365 Everyday Value Organic Prune Juice |
| Signature Kitchens Prune Juice         |
| Essential Everyday Prune Juice         |
| Raley's Prune Juice                    |
| Stater Bros. Prune Juice               |
| Food Club Prune Juice                  |
| Full Circle Prune Juice                |
| Delsea Farms Prune Juice               |
| Great Value Prune Juice                |
| Nature's Nectar Prune Juice            |
| Clover Valley Prune Juice              |
|  |