1	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC				
2	Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 225 Broadway, Suite 2100				
	San Diego, California 92101				
3	Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 615-2193				
4	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC LLD				
5	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)				
6	Shaun Markley (SBN 291785) Jake Schulte (SBN 293777) 225 Broadway, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor				
7	San Diego, California 92101				
8	Tel: (619) 325-0492 Fax: (619) 325-0496				
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Kim Embry				
10					
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
	IN AND FOR THE	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
12	KIM EMBRY, an individual	Case No.: HG 17-885297			
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS			
14	V.	TO DSD PARTNERS, INC. AND CVS PHARMACY, INC.			
15	BIMBO BAKERIES USA, INC. a Delaware				
16	corporation, TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota corporation, THE KROGER				
17	COMPANY dba RALPH'S, an Ohio				
17	corporation, FLOWER BAKERIES, LLC, a Georgia corporation, CALIFORNIA				
18	BAKING COMPANY, a California				
19	corporation, SMART & FINAL STORES, INC., a Delaware corporation, HOSTESS				
20	BRANDS, LLC, a Delaware corporation, WALGREENS COMPANY, an Illinois				
	corporation, AMERIFOODS TRADING CO.,				
21	a Florida corporation, NATURE'S BEST, INC., a California corporation, JIMBO'S				
22	NATURAL FAMILY, INC., a California				
23	corporation, DSD PARTNERS, INC., a Virginia corporation, CVS PHARMACY,				
	INC., a Rhode Island corporation BAYS				
24	MICHIGAN CORPORATION, a Michigan corporation, WAL-MART STORES, INC., a				
25	California corporation and DOES 1 through				
26	100, inclusive,				
	Defendants.				
27		]			
28					

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

1.

## **INTRODUCTION**

### 1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Kim Embry ("Embry") on one hand, and DSD Partners, Inc. and CVS Pharmacy, Inc. (collectively "Defendants") on the other hand, with Embry and Defendants individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

## 1.2 Plaintiff

Embry is an individual residing in California and acting in the interest of the general public. She seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

## 1.3 Defendants

Defendants employ ten or more individuals and are a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4

# General Allegations

Embry alleges that Defendants manufacture, import, sell, and distribute for sale in California, white bread that contains Acrylamide. Embry further alleges that before service of a 60 Day Notice of Violation by Plaintiff, Defendants did so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, Acrylamide is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Product" or "Products" are defined as Defendants' Heavenly Sent White Bread that allegedly contains Acrylamide and is manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Defendants and Releasees.

24

# 1.6 Notices of Violation

On June 26, 2017, Embry served Defendants, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that Defendants violated

Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to Acrylamide contained in the Products.

On July 21, 2017, Embry served Defendants, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Amended Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq*. ("Amended Notice"). The Amended Notice supplemented the Notice and specified that the alleged exposure is a result of grilling or toasting the Products to a golden brown.

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Amended Notice.

### 1.7 Complaint

In December of 2017, Embry filed a Complaint against Defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Amended Notice ("Complaint").

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### 1.8 No Admission

Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Amended Notice and Complaint, and maintain that all of the products either Defendant has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment, subject to subsequent modifications thereof or Court orders regarding any such obligation, responsibility, and/or duty.

### 1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

### 3 CONSENT JUDGMENT

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 5.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

### 2.1 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

After receipt of the 60 Day Notice of Violation from the Plaintiff, Defendants began labeling the Product with a warning that complies with Proposition 65's warning regulations, and in particular, 27 California Code of Regulations Section 25600 et. seq. Plaintiff represents and warrants that the sufficiency of that label will not be challenged and Defendants represent and warrant that after the Effective Date, Defendants will continue to distribute for sale the Product in California with a label that contains a warning that complies with Proposition 65's warning regulations, and in particular, 27 California Code of Regulations Section 25600 *et. seq.* There shall be no requirement to reformulate the Product as part of this settlement.

### 2.2 Reformulation Option

Releasees have the right, but not the obligation, to reformulate Products, for packaging and distribution in California that, as packaged, regardless of type, form or branding, will contain acrylamide concentrations of 0.200 parts per million ("ppm") or less as a single sample maximum and 0.100 ppm or less as an average of at least three (3) samples.<sup>1</sup>

### 2.3 Reformulation Modification

In the event Plaintiff enters into an agreement or consent judgment with any other person manufacturing Covered Products addressing alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to acrylamide that provides for less stringent standards than that set forth in Paragraph 2.2 above or a different commitment than that set forth in Paragraph 2.2 above (including no such commitment); or if a judgment is entered in any Proposition 65 case with respect to exposures to acrylamide from Covered Products that provides for less stringent requirements than those set forth in Section 2.2; or if the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment adopts a regulation

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This reformulation protocol was approved in Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement and Consent Judgment as to Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc. and Bays Michigan Corporation and entered on August 20, 2019.

or safe use determination, or issues an interpretative guideline that exempts or, has the effect of increasing the levels set forth in Section 2.2 or otherwise has the effect of allowing Covered Products from meeting Proposition 65's requirements as to acrylamide at a level less stringent than that provided in Paragraph 2.2; or if Proposition 65 is determined to be preempted by federal law with respect to acrylamide in Covered Products, then this Consent Judgment shall be subject to modification at the option of the Defendant, and without the objection of the Plaintiff, to conform or eliminate the terms of Section 2.2 accordingly. Any such requested modification shall have no impact on the provision for penalties, fees and/or costs as provided in this Agreement.

Otherwise, modification of the injunctive relief provisions set forth in this Section 2 due to changed circumstances shall be subject to Section 11 below.

### 2.4 Grace Period for Existing Inventory of Products

The injunctive requirements of Section 2 shall not apply to Products that are already in the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date, which Products are expressly subject to the releases provided in Section 4.1.

### 3.

### MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

**3.1** Settlement Amount Defendants shall pay seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Amended Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of seven thousand dollars (\$7,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs in the amount of sixty-three thousand dollars (\$63,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and Health and Safety Code section 25249 et seq.

3.2

# **Civil Penalty**

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to Embry.

All payments owed to Embry shall be delivered to the following payment address:

1	Noam Glick Glick Law Group		
2	225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101		
3 4	All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:		
5	For United States Postal Delivery:		
6	Mike Gyurics		
	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
7	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
8	P.O. Box 4010		
9	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
10	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
11	Mike Gyurics		
12	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
13	1001 I Street		
14	Sacramento, CA 95814		
15	Defendants agree to provide Embry's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA		
16	simultaneous with its penalty payment to Embry.		
17	The Parties, including Embry, will exchange completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other forms as		
18	required. Relevant information for Glick Law Group, N&T, and Embry are set out below:		
19	• "Kim Embry" whose address and tax identification number shall be provided within five		
20	(5) days after this Settlement Agreement is fully executed by the Parties;		
21	• "Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i);		
22	• "Nicholas & Tomasevic" (EIN: 46-3474065) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i);		
23	and		
24	• "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA		
25	95814.		
26	3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs		
27	The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys' fees and costs shall be paid to Embry's		
28	counsel, who are entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by her in this action, including but not		

limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendants shall make two company checks of \$31,500 each payable to "Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP" (EIN 46-3474065) and the "Glick Law Group" (EIN 47-1838518) respectively and shall deliver payment to the address listed in Section 3.2 within fourteen (14) days following the Effective Date.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

#### 3.4 Cure of any Late Payments.

Embry's counsel shall provide written notice, by email or U.S. Mail, of any missed payment for attorneys' fees by Defendants. Defendants shall have five (5) business days from its receipt of the notice to cure any late payment of attorneys' fees provided in this agreement.

#### 3.5 **Attorneys' Fees in Event of Breach**

In the event of an action for breach of this agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

### 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

### 4.1 **Embry's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims**

For any claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about 15 exposures to Acrylamide from Products or related products manufactured, imported, sold, or 16 17 distributed by Defendants prior to the Effective Date, Embry, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendants of any and all liability. This includes Defendants' owners, 18 parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, its directors, officers, agents, 19 employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell the 20 Products, including but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees, including but not limited to Defendants (collectively, 22 the "Releasees"). Releasees include Defendants, their parents, and all subsidiaries and affiliates 23 thereof and their respective employees, agents, and assigns that sell Defendants' Products as well as 24 all manufacturers or suppliers of the Products sold by Defendants with respect to the manufacture or 25 sale of the Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance 26 with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to 27 28 Acrylamide from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Defendants after the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted against Defendants and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposures to Acrylamide contained in Products.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### 4.2 Embry's Individual Release of Claims

Embry, in her individual capacity, also provides a release to Defendants and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of as well as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands by Embry of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to Acrylamide in Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Defendants before the Effective Date.

4.3

### Defendants' Release of Embry

Defendants, on their own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Embry and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Embry and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it, in this matter or with respect to the Products..

17 **5**.

# COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully submitted to the Court by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

### 6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then Defendants may

provide written notice to Embry of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

### 8. <u>NOTICE</u>

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Defendants:	<u>For Embry:</u>
Paul Burleigh	Noam Glick
Klinedinst PC	Glick Law Group, PC
777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800	225 Broadway, 21st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017	San Diego, CA 92101

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

### 9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

# 10. <u>POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES</u>

Embry agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Embry shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

Defendant agrees to cooperate with efforts of Plaintiff's counsel to seek court approval of this
 Consent Judgment, provide materials requested by the Court in a timely fashion, and refrain from
 opposing or delaying court approval in any manner.

4 11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and
entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application
of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

8

12.

### **AUTHORIZATION**

9 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they
10 have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

11

### 13. <u>GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES</u>

12 If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent 13 Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in 14 writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed 15 in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16

### 14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

22 **AGREED TO:** 

23

24

25 26

27

28

Date: 12/2/2019 BV: KIM EMBRY

AGREED TO BY DSD PARTNERS, INC.

Print name]

10 CONSENT JUDGMENT

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO BY CVS PHARMACY,	INC.
Date: 12/2/2019 By: 2	Date: 11/25/19 By: Hank	
KIM EMBRY	KEVIN BLAKE, 55Q. [prin SR. LEGAL COUNSEL	t name