	11		
1	RICHARD M. FRANCO (CBN 170970)		
2	LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO 6500 Estates Drive		
3	Oakland, CA 94611 Ph: 510-684-1022		
4	Email: rick@rfrancolaw.com		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, IT	NC.	
6	STACY E. DON (CBN 226737)		
7	LAW OFFICE OF STACY E. DON 3007 Douglas Boulevard, Suite 100		
8	Roseville, CA 95661 Ph: 916-749-4851		
9	Email: sdon@sdonlaw.com		
10	Attorney for Defendant		
11	GAMMA ENTERPRISES, LLC, individually and doing business as GAMMA LABS		
12			
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	COUNTY OF	ALAMEDA	
15	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER,	CASE NO. RG17881989	
16	INC., a non-profit California corporation,		
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	
18	vs.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.	
19	GAMMA ENTERPRISES, LLC, individually	Action Filed: November 13, 2017	
20	and doing business as GAMMA LABS, a New York limited liability company,	Trial Date: None set	
21	Defendant.		
22			
23			
24	1. INTRODUCTION		
25	1.1 On November 13, 2017, Plaintiff I	Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a	
26	non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by		
27	filing a Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the		
28	provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"),		
- 11	- The Control of the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Page 1 of 16
STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG 17881989

1	against GAMMA ENTERPRISES, LLC, individually and doing business as GAMMA LABS	
2	("GAMMA LABS"). In this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured,	
3	distributed, or sold by GAMMA LABS and/or its successors and assigns contain lead, a chemical	
4	listed under Proposition 65, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a	
5	Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered	
6	Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are:	
7	Gamma Labs 24K Strength Formula Mixed Berry	
8	Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Peach Mango	
9	Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Blue Ice	
10	4) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Watermelon	
11	5) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Fazeberry	
12	6) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Blood Orange	
13	7) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Kiwi Strawberry	
14	8) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Caffeine Free Orange	
15	9) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Coconut	
16	10) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Green Apple	
17	11) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Pink Lemonade	
18	12) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Lemon Lime	
19	13) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Pineapple	
20	14) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Tropical Rain	
21	15) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Mystery Flavor	
22	16) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Fruit Punch	
23	17) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Grape	
24	18) Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Lemonade	
25	1.2 ERC and GAMMA LABS are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or	
26	collectively as the "Parties."	
27	1.3 ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other	
28	causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of	

Page 2 of 16 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG 17881989

hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that GAMMA LABS is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. GAMMA LABS manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated August 25, 2017 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and GAMMA LABS ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated August 25, 2017 is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and GAMMA LABS and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against GAMMA LABS with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. GAMMA LABS denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.

1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over GAMMA LABS as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

- 3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, GAMMA LABS shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California", or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products which expose a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.
- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that GAMMA LABS knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.
- 3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If no recommended daily serving size is provided on the label, then the daily serving size shall equal one.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If GAMMA LABS is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized ("Warning"):

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

GAMMA LABS shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if GAMMA LABS has reason to believe that the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if GAMMA LABS has reason to believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may require a cancer warning.

The Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each Covered Product. In addition, for any Covered Product sold over the internet by GAMMA LABS or its authorized resellers, the Warning shall appear on the checkout page when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product. An asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of GAMMA LABS' product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of, or reducing the clarity of, the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning. Further, no statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply that the source of the listed chemical has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

GAMMA LABS must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, design of the label, container, or on its website, as applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

 A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

- arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of five consecutive years by arranging for testing of five randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which GAMMA LABS intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of five consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the five-year testing period, GAMMA LABS changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, GAMMA LABS shall test that Covered Product annually for at least four (4) consecutive years after such change is made.
- 3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," the highest lead detection result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties and approved by the Court through entry of a modified consent judgment.
- 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory

overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary

caused by GAMMA LABS in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's

27

28

11

12

10

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

supplement products in California. ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead. This work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment; (2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a selftesting program for lead in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC

shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

- 4.5 \$27,000 shall be distributed to the Law Office of Richard M. Franco as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$48,362.90 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
 - 4.6 In the event that GAMMA LABS fails to remit any payment owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the relevant due dates, GAMMA LABS shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to GAMMA LABS via electronic mail. If GAMMA LABS fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within ten (10) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, GAMMA LABS agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 or 5.4 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If GAMMA LABS seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then GAMMA LABS must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to GAMMA LABS within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies GAMMA LABS in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the

24

25

26

27

28

proposed modification, ERC shall provide to GAMMA LABS a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

- In the event that GAMMA LABS initiates or otherwise requests a modification 5.3 under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application of the Consent Judgment, GAMMA LABS shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.
- 5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In any such contested court proceeding, ERC may seek costs and any attorney's fees incurred in opposing the motion pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform GAMMA LABS in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit GAMMA LABS to identify the Covered Products at issue. GAMMA LABS shall, within sixty (60) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating GAMMA LABS' compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their

 respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by California consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and GAMMA LABS and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of GAMMA LABS), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them, including but not limited to G Fuel, LLC (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead up to and including the Effective Date.
- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, and GAMMA LABS on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other and/or their successors and assigns for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and GAMMA LABS on behalf of itself only,

Page 12 of 16

Case No. RG 17881989

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400			
2	San Diego, CA 92108			
3	Tel: (619) 500-3090 Email: chris_erc501c3@yahoo.com			
4	With a copy to:			
5	With a copy to: RICHARD M. FRANCO			
6	LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO 6500 Estates Drive			
7	Oakland, CA 94611 Ph: 510-684-1022			
8	Email: rick@rfrancolaw.com			
9	GAMMA ENTERPRISES, LLC, individually			
	and doing business as GAMMA LABS			
10	Raquel Colby Gamma Enterprises, LLC 113 Alder Street			
11				
12	West Babylon, NY 11704 Ph: 631-755-1080			
13	Email: legal@gfuel.com			
14				
15	With a copy to: STACY E. DON			
16	LAW OFFICE OF STACY E. DON			
	3007 Douglas Boulevard, Suite 100 Roseville, CA 95661			
17	Ph: 916-749-4851			
18	Email: sdon@sdonlaw.com			
19				
20	12. COURT APPROVAL			
21	12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a			
22	Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this			
23	Consent Judgment.			
24	12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment,			
2.5	the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible			
26	prior to the hearing on the motion.			
7	12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be			
8	void and have no force or effect.			
	Page 13 of 16			

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG 17881989

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16. ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda

County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action
brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs,
penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of
Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment,
but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by
law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: March 29, 2018	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	
	CENTER, INC.	

By: Chris Hepfinstall, Executive Director

1	GAWINA ENTERT		
2	2 individually and doin GAMMA LABS	ng business as	
3	3		
4	4 By: Raquel Colby		
5	Its: General Counse	el .	
6	6		
7	7		
8	8		
9	9 APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
10	10 Dated: March 29, 2018 LAW OFFICE OF R	LICHARD M. FRANCO	
11	11		
12			
13	Attorney for rial	ntiff Environmental	
14	14 Research Center,	Inc.	
15	Dated: March 29, 2018 LAW OFFICE OF S	TACVE DON	
16		COOK	
17	By. Will Co	So	
18	Stacy E. Don () Attorney for Defer	ndant Gamma	
19	- 1	individually and doing	
20		at Euros	
21	ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
22	22	this Consent Indoment is	
23	23	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is	
24	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
26			
27	Judge of the Superior (Court	
28	28		
	Page 16 of 16		
- 11	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	Case No. RG 17881989	