

1 Michael Freund SBN 99687  
2 Ryan Hoffman SBN 283297  
3 Michael Freund & Associates  
4 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105  
5 Berkeley, CA 94704  
6 Telephone: (510) 540-1992  
7 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543

8  
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
10 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH  
11 CENTER, INC.

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

**ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH  
CENTER, INC., a California non-profit  
corporation**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**ABSONUTRIX, LLC. and DOES 1-100**

**Defendants.**

CASE NO. RG18892239

**STIPULATED CONSENT  
JUDGMENT**

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq.*

Action Filed: February 6, 2018

Trial Date: None set

**1. INTRODUCTION**

1.1 On February 6, 2018, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. (“ERC”), a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the “Complaint”) pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 *et seq.* (“Proposition 65”), against Absonutrix, LLC. (“Absonutrix”) and Does 1-100. In this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Absonutrix contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a “Covered Product” or collectively as “Covered

1 Products”) are: (1) Absonutrix Parasite Detox•X•Treme, (2) Absonutrix Hoodia 2000•Pure, and  
2 (3) Absonutrix Men Booster X•Treme.

3 **1.2** ERC and Absonutrix are hereinafter referred to individually as a “Party” or  
4 collectively as the “Parties.”

5 **1.3** ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other  
6 causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of  
7 hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees,  
8 and encouraging corporate responsibility.

9 **1.4** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Absonutrix is a  
10 business entity that qualifies as a “person in the course of business” within the meaning of  
11 Proposition 65. Absonutrix manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.

12 **1.5** The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC’s Notice of Violation  
13 dated November 27, 2017 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public  
14 enforcers, and Absonutrix (“Notice”). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated  
15 November 27, 2017 is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein by reference.  
16 More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public  
17 enforcers, and Absonutrix and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against  
18 Absonutrix with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

19 **1.6** ERC’s Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes  
20 persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation  
21 of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Absonutrix denies all material  
22 allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.

23 **1.7** The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle,  
24 compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation.  
25 Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or  
26 be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers,  
27 directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees,  
28



1 licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or  
2 violation of law.

3 **1.8** Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall  
4 prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any  
5 current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.

6 **1.9** The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as  
7 a Judgment by this Court.

## 8 **2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become  
10 necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter  
11 jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction  
12 over Absonutrix as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County,  
13 and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of  
14 all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in  
15 this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

## 16 **3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND WARNINGS**

17 **3.1** Beginning on the Effective Date, Absonutrix shall be permanently enjoined  
18 from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, “Distributing into the State of  
19 California,” or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products which expose a  
20 person to a “Daily Lead Exposure Level” of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day unless it  
21 meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.

22 **3.1.1** As used in this Consent Judgment, the term “Distributing into the State  
23 of California” shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in  
24 California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Absonutrix knows or has reason to  
25 know will sell the Covered Product in California.

26 **3.1.2** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the “Daily Lead Exposure  
27 Level” shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula:  
28 micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the

1 product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings  
2 of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage  
3 appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If no  
4 recommended daily serving size is provided on the label, then the daily serving size shall equal  
5 one.

### 6 **3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings**

7 If Absonutrix is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following  
8 warning must be utilized (“Warning”):

9 **WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead which is  
10 [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other  
reproductive harm. For more information go to [www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food](http://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food).

11 Absonutrix shall use the phrase “cancer and” in the Warning if Absonutrix has reason to believe  
12 that the “Daily Lead Exposure Level” is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined  
13 pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.3 or if Absonutrix has reason to  
14 believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may require a cancer warning.

15 The Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each  
16 Covered Product. If the Warning is provided on the label, it must be set off from other  
17 surrounding information and enclosed in a box. In addition, for any Covered Product sold over  
18 the internet, the Warning shall appear on the checkout page when a California delivery address is  
19 indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product. An asterisk or other identifying method  
20 must be utilized to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning.

21 The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety  
22 warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of Absonutrix’s product  
23 packaging and the word “**WARNING**” shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No  
24 statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of, or reducing the  
25 clarity of, the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning. Further, no  
26 statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply that the source of the listed chemical  
27 has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.



1 Absonutrix must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with  
2 other words, statements, design of the label, container, or on its website, as applicable, to render  
3 the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary  
4 conditions of purchase or use of the product.

### 5 **3.3 Testing and Quality Control Methodology**

6 **3.3.1** For any Covered Products that Absonutrix is manufacturing for sale in the  
7 State of California, "Distributing into the State of California," or directly selling in the State of  
8 California after the Effective Date of the Consent Judgment, Absonutrix shall arrange for lead  
9 testing of the Covered Products, beginning within one year of the Effective Date, at least once a  
10 year for a minimum of five consecutive years by arranging for testing of five randomly selected  
11 samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which  
12 Absonutrix intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a  
13 consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant  
14 to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of  
15 five consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as  
16 to that Covered Product.

17 **3.3.2** For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," the highest  
18 lead detection result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be  
19 controlling.

20 **3.3.3** All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a  
21 laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate  
22 for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that  
23 meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS")  
24 achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg.

25 **3.3.4** All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an  
26 independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory  
27 Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the  
28 United States Food & Drug Administration.

1           **3.3.5** Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Absonutrix’s ability to  
2 conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including  
3 the raw materials used in their manufacture.

4           **3.3.6** Within thirty (30) days of ERC’s written request, Absonutrix shall  
5 deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.3 to ERC. Absonutrix shall retain all test  
6 results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.

7           **3.3.7** The testing requirements of Section 3.3 only apply to Covered Products  
8 that Absonutrix is manufacturing for sale in the State of California, “Distributing into the State  
9 of California,” or directly selling in the State of California after the Effective Date of the  
10 Consent Judgment.

11 **4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT**

12           **4.1** In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments,  
13 attorney’s fees, and costs, Absonutrix shall make a total payment of \$29,477.47 (“Total  
14 Settlement Amount”) to ERC. The Total Settlement Amount shall be made in monthly  
15 payments as follows (“Due Dates”):

16                   \$9,825.82 within 5 days of the Effective Date

17                   \$9,825.82 within 35 days of the Effective Date

18                   \$9,825.83 within 65 day of the Effective Date

19           Absonutrix shall make these payments by wire transfer to ERC’s account, for which  
20 ERC will give Absonutrix the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount  
21 shall be apportioned as follows:

22           **4.2** \$3,000.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and  
23 Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$2,250.00) of the civil penalty to the  
24 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (“OEHHA”) for deposit in the Safe  
25 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety  
26 Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$750.00) of the civil penalty.

27           **4.3** \$4,146.59 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable  
28 costs incurred in bringing this action.



1           **4.4**     \$1,665.00 shall be distributed to Michael Freund as reimbursement of ERC's  
2 attorney's fees, \$7,280.00 shall be distributed to Ryan Hoffman as reimbursement of ERC's  
3 attorney's fees, while \$13,385.88 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except  
4 as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

5           **4.6**     In the event that Absonutrix fails to remit any payment owed under Section 4 of  
6 this Consent Judgment on or before their respective Due Dates, Absonutrix shall be deemed to  
7 be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide  
8 written notice of the delinquency to Absonutrix via electronic mail. If Absonutrix fails to  
9 deliver the delinquent payment within five (5) days from the written notice, the portion of the  
10 Total Settlement Amount that remains unpaid shall be immediately due and owing and shall  
11 accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil  
12 Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, Absonutrix agrees to pay ERC's reasonable  
13 attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent  
14 Judgment.

15       **5.     MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

16           **5.1**     This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by  
17 written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or  
18 (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 or 5.4 and upon entry by the Court of a  
19 modified consent judgment.

20           **5.2**     If Absonutrix seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then  
21 Absonutrix must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks  
22 to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must  
23 provide written notice to Absonutrix within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If  
24 ERC notifies Absonutrix in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the  
25 Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in  
26 person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and  
27 confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification,  
28 ERC shall provide to Absonutrix a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to

1 meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes.  
2 Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the  
3 meet-and-confer period.

4 **5.3** In the event that Absonutrix initiates or otherwise requests a modification under  
5 Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a  
6 modification of the Consent Judgment, Absonutrix shall reimburse ERC its costs and  
7 reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and  
8 arguing the motion or application.

9 **5.4** Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or  
10 application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek  
11 judicial relief on its own. In any such contested court proceeding, ERC may seek costs and any  
12 attorney's fees incurred in opposing the motion pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure  
13 section 1021.5.

14 **6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT**  
15 **JUDGMENT**

16 **6.1** This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate  
17 this Consent Judgment.

18 **6.2** If ERC alleges that any Covered Product are being manufactured for sale in the  
19 State of California, "Distributed into the State of California," or directly sold in the State of  
20 California, then ERC shall inform Absonutrix in a reasonably prompt manner of its findings,  
21 including information sufficient to permit Absonutrix to identify the Covered Products at issue.  
22 Absonutrix shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with tangible  
23 proof and written confirmation of Absonutrix's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if  
24 warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further  
25 legal action.

26 **7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

27 This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their  
28 respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries,



1 divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers,  
2 retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no  
3 application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of  
4 California and which is not used by California consumers.

5 **8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED**

6 **8.1** This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC,  
7 on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Absonutrix and its respective officers,  
8 directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers,  
9 franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of Absonutrix),  
10 distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the  
11 distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any  
12 of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest,  
13 hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions,  
14 causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses  
15 asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the  
16 Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations  
17 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding  
18 lead up to and including the Effective Date.

19 **8.2** ERC on its own behalf only, and Absonutrix on its own behalf only, further  
20 waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or  
21 statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition  
22 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date,  
23 provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to  
24 enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

25 **8.3** It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts  
26 alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be  
27 discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and Absonutrix on behalf of itself only, acknowledge  
28 that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up

1 through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and  
2 Absonutrix acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include  
3 unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such  
4 unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

5 A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE  
6 CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER  
7 FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF  
8 KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS  
9 OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

8 ERC on behalf of itself only, and Absonutrix on behalf of itself only, acknowledge and  
9 understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code  
10 section 1542.

11 **8.4** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to  
12 constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any releasee regarding alleged exposures to lead  
13 in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

14 **8.5** Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or  
15 environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Absonutrix's  
16 products other than the Covered Products.

17 **9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS**

18 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be  
19 unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

20 **10. GOVERNING LAW**

21 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in  
22 accordance with the laws of the State of California.

23 **11. PROVISION OF NOTICE**

24 All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall  
25 be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail. Courtesy copies via  
26 email may also be sent.

27 ///

28 ///



1 **FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:**

2 Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center  
3 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400  
4 San Diego, CA 92108  
5 Tel: (619) 500-3090  
6 Email: chris\_erc501c3@yahoo.com

7 With a copy to:

8 Michael Freund  
9 Ryan Hoffman  
10 Michael Freund & Associates  
11 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105  
12 Berkeley, CA 94704  
13 Telephone: (510) 540-1992  
14 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543

15 **ABSONUTRIX, LLC.**

16 Himanshu Nautiyal  
17 4701 Ridgefall Road  
18 Greensboro, NC. 27410

19 **12. COURT APPROVAL**

20 **12.1** Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a  
21 Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this  
22 Consent Judgment.

23 **12.2** If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment,  
24 the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible  
25 prior to the hearing on the motion.

26 **12.3** If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be  
27 void and have no force or effect.

28 **13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS**

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be  
deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid  
as the original signature.

1       **14. DRAFTING**

2           The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each  
3 Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and  
4 conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and  
5 construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn,  
6 and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact  
7 that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any  
8 portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated  
9 equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

10       **15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES**

11           If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent  
12 Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in  
13 writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be  
14 filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

15       **16. ENFORCEMENT**

16           ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda  
17 County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action  
18 brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs,  
19 penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.  
20 To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of  
21 Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment,  
22 but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by  
23 law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

24       **17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION**

25           **17.1** This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and  
26 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all  
27 prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No  
28 representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have



1 been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to  
2 herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

3 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully  
4 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

5 **18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF**  
6 **CONSENT JUDGMENT**

7 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The  
8 Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed  
9 regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

10 (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and  
11 equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has  
12 been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and

13 (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section  
14 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

15 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**


16 Dated: 4/6/, 2018

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH  
CENTER, INC.

17  
18 By:   
19 Chris Henthall, Executive Director

20 Dated: 4/6, 2018


ABSONUTRIX, LLC.

21  
22   
23 By: Adam Thomas  
24 Its: Manager  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **APPROVED AS TO FORM:**

2 Dated: 4/6, 2018

MICHAEL FREUND & ASSOCIATES

3  
4 By:   
5 Michael Freund  
6 Ryan Hoffman  
7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental  
8 Research Center, Inc.

9  
10 **ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

11 Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is  
12 approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

14 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2018

15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 Judge of the Superior Court



**Michael Freund & Associates**

1919 Addison Street, Suite 105  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
Voice: 510.540.1992 • Fax: 510.540.5543

**Michael Freund, Esq.**  
**Ryan Hoffman, Esq.**

OF COUNSEL:  
**Denise Ferkich Hoffman, Esq.**

November 27, 2017

**NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF  
CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ.  
(PROPOSITION 65)**

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center, Inc. (“ERC”), 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 500-3090. ERC’s Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California’s Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (“Proposition 65”), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*, with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

**General Information about Proposition 65.** A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

**Alleged Violator.** The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the “Violator”) is:

**Absonutrix, LLC.**

**Consumer Products and Listed Chemical.** The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- 1. Absonutrix Parasite Detox•X•Treme - Lead**
- 2. Absonutrix Hoodia 2000•Pure - Lead**
- 3. Absonutrix Men Booster X•Treme - Lead**

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

**Route of Exposure.** The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the recommended use of these products. Consequently, the route of exposure to this chemical has been and continues to be through ingestion.

**Exhibit A**

November 27, 2017

Page 2

**Approximate Time Period of Violations.** Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least November 27, 2014, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemical. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to this chemical.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemical, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical, as well as an expensive and time-consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. **Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violation to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead or at [rrhoffma@gmail.com](mailto:rrhoffma@gmail.com)**

Sincerely,



---

Ryan Hoffman

Attachments

Certificate of Merit

Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Absonutrix, LLC. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)



**CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

**Re: Environmental Research Center, Inc.'s Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Absonutrix, LLC.**

I, Ryan Hoffman, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged that the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: November 27, 2017



\_\_\_\_\_  
Ryan Hoffman

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903**

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On November 27, 2017 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; “THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY”** on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO  
Absonutrix, LLC.  
5500 Adams Farm Lane, Suite 206  
Greensboro, NC 27407

Current President or CEO  
Absonutrix, LLC.  
5009 High Point Road, Suite 1C  
Greensboro, NC 27407

Current President or CEO  
Absonutrix, LLC.  
4701 Ridgefall Road  
Greensboro, NC 27410

Kapil Vikram  
(Absonutrix, LLC.’s Registered Agent  
for Service of Process)  
5503 Gate Post Court  
Greensboro, NC 27455

On November 27, 2017 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1)** were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General’s website, which can be accessed at <https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice> :

Office of the California Attorney General  
Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting  
1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000  
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On November 27, 2017 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney  
Contra Costa County  
900 Ward Street  
Martinez, CA 94553  
sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator  
Lassen County  
220 S. Lassen Street  
Susanville, CA 96130  
mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us



Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*

November 27, 2017

Page 5

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney  
Monterey County  
1200 Aguajito Road  
Monterey, CA 93940  
Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney  
Napa County  
931 Parkway Mall  
Napa, CA 94559  
CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney  
Riverside County  
3072 Orange Street  
Riverside, CA 92501  
Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney  
Sacramento County  
901 G Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Prop65@sacda.org

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney  
San Francisco County  
732 Brannan Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney  
San Joaquin County  
222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202  
Stockton, CA 95202  
DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney  
San Luis Obispo County  
County Government Center Annex, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408  
edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney  
Santa Clara County  
70 W Hedding St  
San Jose, CA 95110  
EPU@da.sccgov.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney  
Santa Cruz County  
701 Ocean Street  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060  
Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney  
Sonoma County  
600 Administration Dr  
Sonoma, CA 95403  
jbarnes@sonoma-county.org


Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney  
Tulare County  
221 S Mooney Blvd  
Visalia, CA 95370  
Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney  
Ventura County  
800 S Victoria Ave  
Ventura, CA 93009  
daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney  
Yolo County  
301 Second Street  
Woodland, CA 95695  
cfepd@yolocounty.org

On November 27, 2017 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on November 27, 2017, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.



Phyllis Dunwoody

Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*

November 27, 2017

Page 6

**Service List**

District Attorney, Alameda  
County  
1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900  
Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine  
County  
P.O. Box 248  
Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador  
County  
708 Court Street, Suite 202  
Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte  
County  
25 County Center Drive, Suite  
245  
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras  
County  
891 Mountain Ranch Road  
San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa  
County  
346 Fifth Street Suite 101  
Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte  
County  
450 H Street, Room 171  
Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado  
County  
515 Main Street  
Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno  
County  
2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000  
Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn  
County  
Post Office Box 430  
Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt  
County  
825 5th Street 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial  
County  
940 West Main Street, Ste 102  
El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County  
P.O. Drawer D  
Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney, Kern County  
1215 Truxtun Avenue  
Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings  
County  
1400 West Lacey Boulevard  
Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County  
255 N. Forbes Street  
Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Los Angeles  
County  
Hall of Justice  
211 West Temple St., Ste 1200  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera  
County  
209 West Yosemite Avenue  
Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin  
County  
3501 Civic Center Drive,  
Room 130  
San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa  
County  
Post Office Box 730  
Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino  
County  
Post Office Box 1000  
Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced  
County  
550 W. Main Street  
Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc  
County  
204 S Court Street, Room 202  
Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono  
County  
Post Office Box 617  
Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Nevada  
County  
201 Commercial Street  
Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange  
County  
401 West Civic Center Drive  
Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer  
County  
10810 Justice Center Drive,  
Ste 240  
Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas  
County  
520 Main Street, Room 404  
Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, San Benito  
County  
419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor  
Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San  
Bernardino County  
316 N. Mountain View  
Avenue  
San Bernardino, CA 92401

District Attorney, San Diego  
County  
330 West Broadway, Suite  
1300  
San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Mateo  
County  
400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor  
Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa  
Barbara County  
1112 Santa Barbara Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Shasta  
County  
1355 West Street  
Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra  
County  
PO Box 457  
Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou  
County  
Post Office Box 986  
Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano  
County  
675 Texas Street, Ste 4500  
Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus  
County  
832 12th Street, Ste 300  
Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter  
County  
463 2<sup>nd</sup> Street  
Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama  
County  
Post Office Box 519  
Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity  
County  
Post Office Box 310  
Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tuolumne  
County  
423 N. Washington Street  
Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba  
County  
215 Fifth Street, Suite 152  
Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's  
Office  
City Hall East  
200 N. Main Street, Suite 800  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's  
Office  
1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620  
San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney  
City Hall, Room 234  
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL  
San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's  
Office  
200 East Santa Clara Street,  
16th Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113



## APPENDIX A

### OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html>. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.<sup>1</sup> These implementing regulations are available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html>.

#### *WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?*

---

<sup>1</sup> All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>.

**The “Proposition 65 List.”** Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: [http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65\\_list/Newlist.html](http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html).

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

**Clear and reasonable warnings.** A business is required to warn a person before “knowingly and intentionally” exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be “clear and reasonable.” This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

**Prohibition from discharges into drinking water.** A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

#### *DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?*

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (<http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

**Grace Period.** Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

**Governmental agencies and public water utilities.** All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.



**Businesses with nine or fewer employees.** Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

**Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer.** For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses “no significant risk.” This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific “No Significant Risk Levels” (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

**Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question.** For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the “no observable effect level” divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

**Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food.** Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant<sup>2</sup> it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

**Discharges that do not result in a “significant amount” of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water.** The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a “significant amount” of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A “significant amount” means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the “no significant risk” level for

---

<sup>2</sup> See Section 25501(a)(4).

chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the “no observable effect” level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

### *HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?*

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.



If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at:  
<http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html>.

*FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...*

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at [P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov](mailto:P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov).

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.