1			
1			
2 3			
5			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, ) Case No. RG 17870238		
12	Plaintiff, ) [PROPOSED] CONSENT		
13	) JUDGMENT AS TO AMPLIFY		
14	v. ) SNACK BRANDS, INC. ) GOYA FOODS, INC., et al., )		
15	Defendants.		
16	)		
17	ý		
18			
19	1. DEFINITIONS		
20	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative First Amended Complaint in the above-		
21	captioned matter.		
22	1.2 "Covered Products" means fried or baked potato, sweet potato or vegetable based		
23	snack food products, including Sliced Chips and Extruded Products (as defined below),		
24	manufactured, sold, distributed, or offered for sale by the Settling Defendant. An initial list of		
25	Covered Products previously manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant (which		
26	currently has no Covered Products but may in the future), is attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
27	1.3 "Sliced Chips" means sliced potato chips.		
28 Document Prepared	1		
ON RECYCLED PAPER	I CONSENT JUDGMENT – AMPLIFY SNACK BRANDS, INC. – CASE NO. RG 17-870238		

1.4	"Extruded Products" means all Covered Products other than Sliced Chips. It is the
Parties' intent	that the Extruded Products referenced in this Consent Judgment are the kind of
products falling	ng within Type 4 in the "extruded, pellet, and baked products" category in the
Consent Judg	ment as to Defendant Snak King Corporation, entered August 31, 2011, in People v.
Snyder's of H	anover, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 09-455286.1
1.5	"Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent
udgment by 1	the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.
1.6	"Lot" refers to all units of Covered Products manufactured during the same
nanufacturing	g run at the same manufacturing facility as determined by reference to the alpha-
numeric code	on the packaging of the units.
2. INTR	ODUCTION
2.1	The Parties to this Consent Judgment, the Center for Environmental Health, a
alifornia nor	n-profit corporation ("CEH") and Amplify Snack Brands, Inc. ("Settling
Defendant"),	enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against
Settling Defer	ndant as set forth in the Complaint.
2.2	On or about February 1, 2018, CEH provided 60-day Notices of Violation of
roposition 6	5 to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in
California, to	the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000,
nd to Settling	g Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing
ersons to acr	ylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and
easonable Pro	oposition 65 warning.
2.3	Settling Defendant is a corporation that manufactures, sells, distributes, or offers
or sale Cover	red Products that are sold in the State of California.
2.4	On April 18, 2018, CEH amended the Complaint pursuant to Code of Civil
Procedure sec	tion 474, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in this action.
which is attached	are referred to as "Group C, Type 4" products in Exhibit A to the Snak King Consent Judgment, d hereto as Exhibit 1 and is available on the Attorney General's website at v/prop65/litigation.

2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

8 26 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the 9 Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with 10 the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, 11 conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall 12 prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any 13 other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation 14 and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and 15 resolving disputed issues.

16

18

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

3.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

#### **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

17 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Upon the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products that will 19 be sold or offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration levels (the "Reformulation Levels"), such a concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry, Liquid-Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry, or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties: 3.1.1 For Sliced Chips:

24 3.1.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 281 25 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Sliced Chips Average Level").

1	3.1.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Sliced		
2	Chips shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken		
3	from the individual unit being tested (the "Sliced Chips Unit Level").		
4	3.1.2 For Extruded Products:		
5	3.1.2.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 350 ppb		
6	by weight (the "Extruded Products Average Level").		
7	3.1.2.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of		
8	Extruded Products shall not exceed 490 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite		
9	sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Extruded Products Unit Level").		
10	3.2 Average Level Determination. The Average Level (either the Sliced Chip		
11	Average Level or the Extruded Products Average Level, as applicable) is determined by		
12	randomly selecting and testing at least one (1) sample each from at least three (3) and up to ten		
13	(10) different Lots of a particular Covered Product (or the maximum number of Lots available for		
14	testing if fewer than three) during a period of at least 365 days. The mean and standard deviation		
15	shall be calculated using the sampling data. Any data points that are more than three standard		
16	deviations outside the mean shall be discarded, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated		
17	using the remaining data points. The mean determined in accordance with this procedure shall be		
18	deemed the "Average Level."		
19	3.3 Alternative Compliance. As an alternative to meeting the Reformulation Levels,		
20	Settling Defendant may sell Covered Products that do not meet the Reformulation Levels		
21	provided that such sales are only to entities to whom Settling Defendant has provided written		
22	notice to that the Covered Products are not labeled for sale in California.		
23	4. ENFORCEMENT		
24	4.1 <b>General Enforcement Provisions</b> . CEH may, by motion or application for an		
25	order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent		
26	Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 by Settling Defendant shall be		
27			
28			

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

#### 4.2 Enforcement of the Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. If CEH purchases a unit of a Covered Product in California with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date more than six (6) months after the Effective Date, and CEH obtains laboratory test results showing the unit of Covered Product that CEH purchased has an acrylamide level exceeding the applicable Unit Level, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

9

4.2.2 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

10 4.2.2.1 Subject to Section 4.2.1, the Notice of Violation shall be sent to 11 the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be 12 served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the unit of Covered Product at issue was 13 purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the 14 unit of Covered Product at issue was manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling 15 Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the 16 Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 17 4.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty 18 (60) day period.

19 4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the 20 date the unit of Covered Product at issue was purchased; (b) the location at which the unit of 21 Covered Product at issue was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to 22 the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail location from which the sample 23 was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product 24 Lot (if available); and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the unit of Covered Product at 25 issue and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any 26 laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of 27 the unit of Covered Product.

28

4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than sixty (60) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH stating whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in the Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Except as otherwise provided herein, failure to provide a Notice of Election within sixty (60) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall
 include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation,
 including any available acrylamide testing data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires
 additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period
 described in Section 4.2.4, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or
 information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been
 withdrawn.

17 424 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling 18 Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of 19 serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw 20 the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not 21 contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay 22 \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, 23 CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the 24 result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a 25 Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may 26 file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

4.2.5.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products from the same Lot as the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling Defendant without a Proposition 65 warning meeting the requirements of Sections 25607.1 and 25607.2 of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing.

4.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is based on a violation of the Unit Level with respect to a single unit of Covered Product, Settling Defendant may be excused from the corrective action obligation described in Section 4.2.5.1 (but not the monetary payments, if any, required by this Section 4) if Settling Defendant produces test results and other evidence that: (1) demonstrates that the acrylamide levels found by CEH in the unit alleged to be in violation is an aberration; and (2) otherwise provides reasonable assurance that the remainder of the Noticed Covered Products, aside from the unit alleged to be in violation, comply with the Reformulation Levels. The Parties agree that this Section 4.2.5.2 is satisfied if Settling Defendant can demonstrate that the Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation satisfies the applicable Average Level as determined pursuant to Section 3.1. However, to avail itself of this provision, Settling Defendant must provide CEH with all acrylamide test data in its

possession, custody or control pertaining to the Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation that was performed within the year prior to the date of the Notice of Violation. If there is a dispute over the sufficiency of the corrective action 4.2.5.3 taken by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.5.1, or over whether Settling Defendant is excused from the corrective action obligation under Section 4.2.5.2, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per Lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first three hundred and sixty-five (365) days following the introduction of the Covered Product in the California market following the Effective Date. 4.2.5.4 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the applicable Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant is excused from corrective action under Section 4.2.5.2, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 for that Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued. The monetary remedies set forth in this Section shall be the sole and exclusive monetary remedy for such non-contested violations and CEH shall not be entitled to seek additional amounts for

28

5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant to CEH in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:

28

ON RECYCLED PAPER

attorney fees and costs, civil penalties, payments in lieu of civil penalties, or any other monetary relief.

4.2.6 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.

43 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

PAYMENTS 5.

5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within twenty (20) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$95,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.

1	5.2.1 \$12,745 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
2	The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §
3	25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health
4	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty
5	payment for \$9,558.75 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer
6	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
7	
8	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
9	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
10	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
11	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
12	
13	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
14	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B
15	Sacramento, CA 95814
16	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$3,186.25 shall be made payable
17	to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-
18	3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San
19	Francisco, CA 94117.
20	5.2.2 \$9,555 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to
21	Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH
22	intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following
23	purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH
24	programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic
25	chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to
26	acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and
27	risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall
28	
pared Paper	10
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – AMPLIFY SNACK BRANDS, INC. – CASE NO. RG 17-870238

obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$72,700 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$61,465 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$11,235 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

6.

1

2

#### **MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

61 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion of either Party and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and to the other Party in accordance with law.

6.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6.3 **Change in Proposition 65.** If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations (including but not limited to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2) or any "alternative risk level" adopted by regulation or court decision) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels, or if OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action for products similar to the Covered Products in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels or determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required for

such products, then Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to modify the Reformulation Levels. The Parties recognize that the Reformulation Levels are based on a compromise of a number of issues, and that a change to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide would not necessarily entitle a Party to a modification of the terms of this Consent Judgment corresponding to a linear relationship with such a change.

)

6.4 **Other Court Decisions.** If a final decision of a court determines that warnings for acrylamide exposures or that enforcement of Proposition 65 claims for acrylamide exposures are preempted or otherwise unlawful or unconstitutional with respect to products similar to the Covered Products, then Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling in order to avoid unfair, inconsistent, or anti-competitive results.

6.5 **Federal Agency Action and Preemption.** If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation or legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure set forth in Sections 6.1 and 6.2 to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law. Any such modification shall be limited to those changes that are necessary to bring this Consent Judgment into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law.

6.6 Before filing any motion to modify the Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant
shall provide written notice to CEH to initiate the meet and confer procedure in Section 6.2. If
the Parties do not agree on the proposed modification during informal meet and confer efforts,
Settling Defendant may file a motion to modify the Consent Judgment within sixty (60) days of
the date of the written notice that Settling Defendant provides to CEH under this Section 6.

+

7.

# APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and SettlingDefendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8.

#### **CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED**

8.1 Provided that Settling Defendant makes the payments required under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors (including KP Snacks and Intersnak Group as current owners of Settling Defendant's former Tyrrells' subsidiaries), assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, marketplace hosts, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

8.2 Provided that Settling Defendant makes the payments required under Section 5
hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges
any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant
Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law
claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest
regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection with Covered
Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

8.3 Provided that Settling Defendant makes the payments required under Section 5
hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall
constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and
Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in
Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective
Date.

1	9. PROVISION OF NOTICE	
2	9.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the	
3	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:	
4	Howard Hirsch	
5	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street	
6	San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com	
7	9.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent	
8	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:	
9	General Counsel	
10 11	The Hershey Company 100 Crystal A Drive	
11	Hershey, PA 17033 legalnotices@hersheys.com	
12	With a copy to;	
13	Robert Falk	
15	Morrison & Foerster LLP	
16	425 Market Street, 32 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Rfalk@mofo.com	
17	Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending	
18	the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.	
19	10. COURT APPROVAL	
20	10.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and	
21	Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a	
22	Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of	
23	this Consent Judgment by the Court.	
24	10.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or	
25	effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any	
26	purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 10.1.	
27		
28 Document Prepared		
ON RECYCLED PAPER	14 CONSENT JUDGMENT – AMPLIFY SNACK BRANDS, INC. – CASE NO. RG 17-870238	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

11.

## GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

## **12.** ATTORNEYS' FEES

12.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

12.2 Nothing in this Section 12 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

10

# **13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

11 13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 12 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, 13 negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein 14 and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties 15 except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, 16 other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party 17 hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, 18 shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically 19 contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the 20 Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, 21 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in 22 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent 23 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof 24 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

25 26

27

28

### 14. **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15.

### AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

#### 16. **NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS**

16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against any other entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 6 to substitute higher Reformulation Levels that CEH agrees to in a future consent judgment applicable to products sold by any other entity that would otherwise qualify as "Covered Products" under this Consent Judgment, and CEH agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.

13 14

15

16

17

DOCUMENT PR

#### 17. **EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS**

17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

#### IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND 18 **DECREED.** 19

20	Dated:
21	Judge of the Superior Court
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	16
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – AMPLIEV SNACK BRANDS, INC. – CASE NO. RG 17-870238

. 1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	Dated: _6/25_, 2019	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3		
4		Mchael
5		Signature
6		Michael Green
7		Printed Name
8		CED
9	-	Title
10	Dated: , 2019	
11	Datta, 2019	AMPLIFY SNACK BRANDS, INC.
12	* *	
13		Signature
14		
15	* _	Printed Name
16		
17		Γitle
18		i luc
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		41
25		
26		
27		,
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		17

CONSENT JUDGMENT -- AMPLIFY SNACK BRANDS, INC. -- CASE NO. RG 17-870238

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	Dated:, 2019 CEN	
3	Dated, 2019 CEN	NTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5	Sign	ature
6		
7	Prin	ted Name
8		
9	Title	;
10		
11	Dated: JUN 212, 2019 AM	PLIFY SNACK BRANDS, INC.
12	k	log GE Blog
13	Sign	ature
14		oug Behrens
15		ted Name
16		
17	Title	ZESIDENT
18		
19		$\cap \square \cap$
20 21	li li	heller falles
22	pc.	
23	Re	> Finance, Accounting + IT Amplify Shack Brands
24	SVI	Amplify Shack Brands
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	17	

\$

## EXHIBIT A

Tyrrells Potato (or Sweet Potato) Chips/Crisps, including but not limited to Tyrrells' Hand Cooked English Chips Sea Salt & Cracked Black Pepper (SKU No. 8-10697-01108-7), manufactured prior to August 2018

Tyrrells Vegetable Chips/Crisps, including but not limited to Tyrrells' Veg Chips Root, Parsnip & Carrot Chips (SKU No. 8-10697-01112-4, manufactured prior to August 2018