	•			
1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)			
2	reuben@yeroushalmi.com Peter T. Sato (SBN 238486)			
3	peter@yeroushalmi.com			
4	YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI* 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W			
5	Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: 310-623-1926			
6	Facsimile: 310-623-1930			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff,			
8	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
11	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in	CASE NO. BC	719889	
12	the public interest,	[ASSIGNED FOR /	ALL PURPOSES TO	
13	Plaintiff,	THE HON. RUTH	ANN KWAN, DEPT.	
14	ν.	72]		
15	DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION, a	[PROPOSED] CO	NSENT JUDGMENT	
16	Tennessee Corporation; DOLLAR GENERAL STORE #17772, an	<u>Hearing Information</u> Reservation No.:	<u>1</u> :	
17	entity unknown;	Date:		
18	BASE4 VENTURES LCC, a Texas limited liability corporation;	Time:		
19	DOLGEN CALIFORNIA LLC, a Tennessee limited liability corporation; and DOES 1-20,;	Complaint:	August 29, 2018	
20		Trial Date:	December 23, 2019	
21	Defendants.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI		Page 1 of 13 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
*An Independent Association of Law Corporations				

1. INTRODUCTION

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and defendant, BASE4 VENTURES LLC (referred to as "Defendant" or "BASE4") with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties." Further, Dollar General Corporation, Dollar General Store #17772, and Dolgen California, LLC, will be released by CAG as set forth in Section 5, below.

1.2 Defendants and Products

1.2.1 Defendant is a Texas limited liability company which employs ten or more persons. Defendant manufactured, caused to be manufactured, sold, or distributed certain Suction Hook Products in California.

1.2.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendant is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and is subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.3 Chemical Of Concern

1.3.1 Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (also known as Di(2-ethylhyexyl phthalate) ("DEHP") has been listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notice of Violation.

1.4.1 On April 18, 2018, CAG served Defendant; Dolgencorp, LLC; Dollar
General Corporation; Dollar General Store #17772 and various public enforcement agencies with
a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("April 18, 2018 Notice" Attorney General
Notice Number #2018-00589) that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of
Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures
to DEHP contained in the Covered Products sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has
commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the April 18, 2018 Notice.

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations

1.5 Complaint.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On August 29, 2018, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in the California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC719889, against Defendants Dollar General Corporation and Dollar General Store #17772. CAG named BASE4 as a Doe defendant, on or about November 10, 2018. The Complaint alleges that the defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products.

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims among the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by any of the Parties of any material allegation of the Complaint (each and every allegation of which Defendant denies), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including, without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability

VEROUSHALM & YEROUSHALM *An Independent Association of Law Corporations by Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary, or affiliated corporations,
 or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in
 any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice,
 waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or
 future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

3.

2.1 "Covered Products" means suction hooks, including those bearing the markings "Snap-Lock Suction Hooks," "Adheres to most smooth surfaces!," "Ideal for home, school or office," "Snap-lock feature créates a strong suction," "BASE4," "2017 Distributed by BASE4," "Carrollton, TX 75006," "Contact: info@ebase4.com," "Made in China," and "ITEM # 00274," UPC: 846145002741 sold by Defendant. "Covered Products" are limited to those products which are sold or supplied by the Defendant.

2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the
 Court.

2.3 "DEHP" means Bis(2-ethylhexyl phthalate) also known as Di(2-ethylhexyl phthalate).

2.4 "Notice" means the April 18, 2018 60 Day Notice of Violation sent by CAG.INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.

3.1 After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell the Covered Products in California unless they contain less than 0.1% by weight of DEHP.

3.2 After the Effective Date, Defendant shall destroy any Covered Product bearing
the UPC 846145002741 existing in its inventory. Any Covered Products that are not still
existing in Defendant's inventory as of the effective date and are re-ordered, are to be
reformulated in compliance with section 3.1.

26 /// 27 ///

28

VEROUSHALM & YEROUSHALM *An Independent Association of Law Corporations

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

4

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 **Payment and Due Date:** Within 10 business days of the approval of the Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay a total of eighty-five thousand dollars and zero cents (\$85,000.00) in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notice, as follows:

Civil Penalty: Defendant shall issue separate checks totaling five 4.1.1 thousand seven hundred and twenty dollars (\$5,720.00) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:

(a) Defendant will issue a check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of four thousand two hundred and ninety dollars (\$4,290.00) representing 75% of the total penalty and Defendant will issue a check to CAG in the amount of one thousand four hundred and thirty dollars (\$1,430.00) representing 25% of the total penalty; and

(b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: 15 Defendant will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-16 0284486) in the amounts of \$4,290.00. Defendant will also issue a 1099 to CAG c/o 17 Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, California 18 90212. 19

20 21

4.1.2 **Payment In Lieu of Civil Penalties:** Defendant shall pay four thousand two hundred and eighty dollars (\$4,280.00) in lieu of civil penalties to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use this payment for investigation of the public's exposure to 22 Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, including laboratory fees for testing for 23 Proposition 65 listed chemicals, administrative costs and fees related to such activities, expert 24 fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer 25 product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the 26 27 cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis 28 necessary for those files in litigation, as well as administrative costs and fees related to such

YEROUSHALM & EROUSHALM) An Independent ssociation of Lay

Page 5 of 13 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

activities in order to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to 4 completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as alleged in the instant Action. Further, should the Court require it, CAG will submit under seal an accounting of these funds as described above as to how the funds were used. The check shall be made payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." and delivered to 8 Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E, 9 Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs: Defendant shall pay seventy-five thousand dollars and zero cents (\$75,000.00) to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The check shall be made payable to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" and delivered to Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, Payments shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

20 21

5.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on 22 behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendant, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 23 that was or could have been asserted by CAG against Defendant for failure to provide Proposition 24 65 warnings of exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice for the 25 Covered Products, and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this 26 action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the 27 28 Covered Products regarding DEHP. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby

YEROUSHALM & /EROUSHALM An Independent Association of La

discharges Defendant and its parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers. franchisees, licensors, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and all downstream entities in the distribution chain of any of the Covered Products (including, but not limited to, Dollar General Corporation, Dollar General Store #17772, and Dolgen California, LLC and their parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates), and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and all of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, managers, employees, agents (collectively, "Released Parties"), from all claims up through the Effective Date for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant or the Released Parties.

CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, 5.2 14 successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or 15 indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all 16 actions and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert 18 fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or 19 contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any violation of 20 Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged 22 exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with 24 respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common 25 law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products by virtue 26 of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows: 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

21

23

YEROUSHALM & EROUSHALM *An Independent Association of La

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE

Page 7 of 13 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

l

CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG will 9 not be able to make any claim for those damages against the Released Parties. Furthermore, 10 CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any 11 violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn 12 about exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but 13 14 which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to 15 enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of 16 ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.

ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 90 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

6.2 Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendant. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: the

YEROUSHALM TEROUSHALM An Independent cistion of L

date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Covered Products
 were offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the
 Covered Products, including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Products that
 were tested.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Defendant serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:

(a) The Covered Products were shipped by Defendant for sale in California before the Effective Date, or

(b) Since receiving the NOV Defendant has taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to Defendant or vendor, as applicable, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.

6.2.2 **Contested NOV.** Defendant may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.

(a) In its election, Defendant may request that the sample(s) Covered
 Products tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited
 laboratory.

(b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain DEHP in excess of the levels allowed in Section 3.1, above, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, above, Defendant may withdraw the NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An independent Association of Law Corporations

Page 9 of 13 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

(c) If Defendant does not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.

6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such Party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

7

7.

ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

21 8.

MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the
Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of
any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

27 28

25

26

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations

2

3

5

6

9.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

4 || 10.

DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendant outside the State of California.

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11.

SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both Parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.3, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

18 13.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

14. GOVERNING LAW

14.1 The validity, construction, and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be
governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law
provisions of California law.

YEROUSHALMS & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations 14.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

14.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

20

15.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

16. NOTICES

16.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First-Class Mail.

If to CAG:

28

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations

I			
]	\cdot t		
· · ·			
	Reuben Yeroushalmi		
1	Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi		
2	9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240w		
3	Beverly Hills, CA 90212		
4	If to BASE4 Ventures LLC:		
5	James M. Hanlon, Jr.		
6	Glynn & Finley, LLP		
7	One Walnut Creek Center		
	100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500		
8	Walnut Creek, CA 94596		
9			
10	17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE		
ľ	17.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
11	by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf		
12			
13	of the party represented and legally to bind that party.		
14			
	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:		
15	Date: <u>January 17</u> , 2020 Date: <u>January 17</u> , 2020		
16	heath of Alia		
17	Michael Man Jan Jan Jan Jan		
18	Name: <u>noraet larcus</u> Name: <u>Jon Filipsk</u>		
19	Title: <u>UIPECTOF</u> CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, Title: <u>Chief Opentin</u> Officer		
20	INC.		
21			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23			
24	Date:		
25	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
26			
27			
28			
YEROUSHALMI	Page 13 of 13		
YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
Corporations			
ļ			

.