1	Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352)				
2	BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC. 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160				
3					
4					
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
6					
7					
8					
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA				
11	GABRIEL ESPINOSA,	Case No.: RG18916388			
12	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT			
13	v.	Judge: Ronni MacLaren			
14	NEW IMAGE GROUP, LLC,	Dept.: 25 Hearing Date: December 4, 2019 Hearing Time: 9:00 AM			
15	Defendant.	Reservation #: R-2123486			
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21 22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	1				
	CONSENT JUDGMENT				

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 **The Parties.** This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Gabriel Espinosa acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Espinosa") and New Image Group, Inc. ("New Image" or "Defendant") with Espinosa and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Espinosa is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. New Image is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 **Allegations and Representations.** Espinosa alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) from its sales of Feltables wallets/wristlets without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. DEHP is listed under Proposition 65.
- 1.3 **Notice of Violation/Complaint.** On or about April 25, 2018, Espinosa served New Image, and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that use of Feltables wallets/wristlets expose users in California to DEHP. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notice. On August 13, 2018, Espinosa filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter.
- 1.3.1 Upon court approval of this Consent Judgment, the Complaint shall be deemed amended to name Espinosa as plaintiff, and New Image Group, Inc. as defendant, and to include claims based on the Notice and Complaint.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all

claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notice.

1.5 Defendant denies the allegations contained in Espinosa's Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 **Covered Products.** The term "Covered Products" means Feltables wallets/wristlets of all sizes and colors that are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California by New Image or any of its affiliates.
- 2.2 **Effective Date.** The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: COMPLIANCE WITH WARNING REGULATIONS</u>

3.1 **Compliance with Warning Regulations.** Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by complying with warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") after the Effective Date. There shall be no obligation for Defendant to provide a warning for Covered Products that are already in or enter the stream of commerce prior to the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties.

4. MONETARY TERMS

4.1 **Civil Penalty.** New Image shall pay \$2,000.00 as a Civil Penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the Civil Penalty remitted to Espinosa, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).

4.1.1 Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, New Image shall issue two separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00; and to (b) "Brodsky & Smith, LLC in Trust for Espinosa" in the amount of \$500.00. Payment owed to Espinosa pursuant to this Section shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Evan J. Smith, Esquire Brodsky & Smith, LLC Two Bala Plaza, Suite 510 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Payment owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) pursuant to this Section shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following address(es):

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814

A copy of the check payable to OEHHA shall be mailed to Brodsky & Smith, LLC at the address set forth above as proof of payment to OEHHA.

4.2 **Attorneys' Fees.** Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, New Image shall pay \$18,000.00 to Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") as complete reimbursement for Espinosa's attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to New Image's attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Espinosa acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and New Image, and its parents,

shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by New Image or its affiliates prior to the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other person or entity, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against New Image and/or the Downstream Releasees of the Covered Products ("Proposition 65 Claims"). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Covered Products.

5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Espinosa, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, affiliates, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases New Image, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by New Image, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Espinosa hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the

future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

5.3 New Image waives any and all claims against Espinosa, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Espinosa and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

6. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

8. NOTICES

8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Defendant:

Emily L. Wallerstein Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP 555 South Flower Street, 31st Floor Los Angeles, California 90071

And

For Espinosa:

Evan Smith Brodsky & Smith, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT APPROVAL</u>

- 10.1 Espinosa agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment. Defendant agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.
- 10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30 days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.
- 10.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

AGREED TO: Date DATE	: :	13. RETENTION OF JURISDIC 110N 13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Convent Judgment 14. AUTHORIZATION 14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the consent Judgment on Security.		
25 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 26 27 Dated:	20 21 22	N.	- Detoher K / 19/9	Date: October 2, 2019 By: (1)
Judge of Superior Court	25 26 27			Judge of Superior Court