1 2 3	Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC. 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160		
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
5			
6			
7 8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11	GABRIEL ESPINOSA,	Case No.: RG18929032	
12	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT	
13	V.	Judge: Ronni MacLaren	
14	PETSMART HOME OFFICE, INC.,	Dept.: 25 Hearing Date: May 15, 2019	
15	Defendant.	Hearing Time: 9:00 AM Reservation #: R-2060961	
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 **The Parties.** This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Gabriel Espinosa acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Espinosa") and PetSmart, Inc. and its subsidiaries and affiliates ("PetSmart" or "Defendant") with Espinosa and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Espinosa is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. PetSmart is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Espinosa alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) from its sales of Top Paw backpacks without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. DEHP is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.
- PetSmart, and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that use of Top Paw backpacks expose users in California to DEHP. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notice. On November 16, 2018, Espinosa filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notice.

1.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

## 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 **Covered Products.** The term "Covered Products" means PetSmart private label mesh pet carriers that are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California by PetSmart.
- 2.2 **Effective Date.** The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

# 3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS</u>

- Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Covered Products that PetSmart directly manufactures, imports, distributes, or purchases for sale in California shall either: (a) be Reformulated Products pursuant to § 3.2, below; or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 3.3 and 3.4, below. The warning requirement set forth in §§ 3.3 and 3.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product.
- 3.2 **Reformulation Standard.** "Reformulated Products" shall mean Covered Products that contain concentrations less than or equal to 0.1% (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining the phthalate content in a solid substance.
- 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warning. As of the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in these §§ 3.3 and 3.4 must be provided for all Covered Products that Defendant manufacturers, imports, distributes, or purchases for sale in California that is not a Reformulated Product. There shall be no obligation for Defendant

to provide a warning for Covered Products that enter the stream of commerce prior to the Effective Date. The warning shall consist of either the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** described in §§ 3.3(a) or (b), respectively:

#### (a) Warning.

⚠ WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

## (b) Alternative Warning:

⚠ WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

- "WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING:". The warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or electronic device or automatic process, providing that the warning is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. A warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings.
- 3.5 **Compliance with Warning Regulations.** Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by either adhering to §§ 3.3 and 3.4 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") after the Effective Date.

26

27

28

PetSmart' attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

### 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Espinosa acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and PetSmart, and its parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents. attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members, including but not limited to Pacific Coast Distributing, Inc. ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by PetSmart prior to the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other person or entity, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against PetSmart, Defendant Releasees, and/or the Downstream Releasees of the Covered Products ("Proposition 65 Claims"). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Covered Products.
- 5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Espinosa, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases PetSmart, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of

any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by PetSmart, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Espinosa hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

5.3 PetSmart waives any and all claims against Espinosa, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Espinosa and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

# 6. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

### 7. **GOVERNING LAW**

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

_	8. NOTICES		
2	8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provide		
3	pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first		
4	class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party		
5	by the other party at the following addresses:		
6	For Defendant:		
7	General Counsel		
8			
9	Phoenix, AZ 85027		
10	With copy to:		
11	Will Troutman Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP		
12	555 South Flower Street Forty-First Floor		
13	Los Angeles, CA		
14	And		
15	For Espinosa:		
16	Evan Smith		
17	Brodsky & Smith, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212		
18	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to		
19	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.		
20	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>		
21	9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of		
22	which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one an		
23			
24	10. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COUR</u>		
25	APPROVAL		
26	10.1 Espinosa agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health &		
27	Safety Code §25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment.		
28	5 (-) and to promptly orms will out the combon substitution.		

- 10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30 days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.
- 10.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

### 11. MODIFICATION

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

### 12. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 12.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 12.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

# 13. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

# 14. **AUTHORIZATION**

14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

1 2	AGREED TO:	
3 4 5	Date: 3/26/19  By: By: By: By: PETSMART, INC.	
6 7 8	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:	
9	Dated: Judge of Superior Court	-
11 12 13		
14		
16 17 18		The state of the s
19 20 21		
22 23 24	3	
2	26	
	28 10	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT	4.