State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01)

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612 PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

		\mathbf{r}	\sim	\sim \sim \sim		
R F	:PO	IK I	OF	SETI	I	IENT
			\mathbf{v}	\circ		

<u>Plea</u> se		Original Filing Suppler	mental Filing D Cor	ected Filing	
	PLAINTIFF(S)				
	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMENT	T			
PARTIES TO THE ACTION					
	COURT DOCKET NUMBER		COURTNAME		
CASE					
ဦ≚	SHORT CASE NAME				
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF				
REPORT INFO	SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, R Yes No MUST BE	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES TER ENTRY OF JUDGMENT BY EPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENERA CTTIEMENT MILS	·	Internal	
		ETTLEMENT MUS	I DE ALIAC	UED E	
FILER INFO	NAME OF CONTACT ORGANIZATION ADDRESS			TELEPHONE NUMBER () FAX NUMBER	
_				()	
	CITY	STATE ZIP 91406	E-MAIL ADDRESS		

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM						
2	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hathaway Building						
3	7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320						
	Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199						
4	Facsimile: (424) 243-7689						
5	Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.	com					
6	Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, INC.						
7							
8	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Will Troutman, Esq.						
9	555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor						
10	Los Angeles, California 90071						
11	Main: (213) 892-9208 Facsimile: (213) 892-9494						
- 1	Email: william.troutman@nortonrosefulbright.com	n					
12	Attorneys for DEFENDANTS						
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
16	COUNTY OF I	LOS ANGELES					
17	SHEFA LMV, INC.,	Case No. BC685131					
18	Plaintiff,	Dept. 31					
19)	Hon. Samantha Jessner					
	vs.	IDDODOCEDI CONCENT HIDOMENIT					
20	PACIFIC COAST DISTRIBUTING, INC.; PETSMART, INC.; and DOES 1 through 100,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PACIFIC COAST					
21	Inclusive,	DISTRIBUTING, INC. AND					
22	Defendants.	PETSMART, INC.					
23)						
24)	Action Filed: November 30, 2017					
25							
26							
27							
28							

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff
Shefa LMV, Inc. ("Shefa" or "Plaintiff") and Pacific Coast Distributing, Inc. and PetSmart, Inc.
("Defendants," with Shefa and Defendants individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties.")

1.2 Plaintiff

Shefa is a public benefit non-profit corporation that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Settling Defendant

Defendants employ ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 Products Covered

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are Defendants' private label aquarium products with plastic tubing, including, but not limited to, Topfin Medium Gravel Vacuum; SKU: 5162806, manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Defendants (collectively, the "Covered Products").

1.5 General Allegations

Shefa alleges that Defendants manufacture, import, sell, or distribute, for sale in the state of California, aquarium products with plastic tubing that contain Di-[2-Ethylhexyl] Phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing a clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65.

DEHP (CAS # 68515-48-0) is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the state to cause according to the content of the state of California, aquarium products with plastic tubing that contain Di-[2-Ethylhexyl] Phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing a clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65.

1.6 Notice of Violation

On May 8, 2017, Shefa served Defendants and the requisite public enforcement agencies

with a 60-Day Notice of Violation alleging that Defendants violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California that Gravel Vacuums expose users to DEHP.

On May 31, 2018, Shefa served Defendants and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a supplemental 60-day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") alleging that Defendants violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Covered Product expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On November 30, 2017 Shefa filed the instant complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Los Angeles against Defendants and DOES 1-100, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on exposures to DEHP contained in the Covered Products sold in the State of California (the "Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by Defendants. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, the Defendants agree that they employ or have employed ten (10) or more persons during time periods relevant to the Complaint and that this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: COMPLIANT PRODUCTS AND WARNINGS

2.1 Reformulation Standards

As of the Effective Date, Defendants shall not manufacture or purchase for sale in California any Covered Products unless such Covered Products contain DEHP in concentrations less than or equal to 1000 parts per million ("ppm") when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other scientifically reliable methodology for determining the concentration of DEHP in the Covered Products.

2.2 Warning Standards

As of the Effective Date, Defendants agree, promise, and represent that, to the extent they manufacture or purchase Covered Products that do not meet the reformulation standards set forth above in Section 2.1, Defendants will provide warnings on such Covered Products that comply with Proposition 65. The warnings shall be provided in a conspicuous and prominent manner such that they will be likely to be read or seen by the consumer prior to or at the time of the sale or purchase. The Parties agree that the warning set forth below shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to any Covered Products that are not reformulated pursuant to Section 2.1:

" WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals, including Di-[2-Ethylhexyl] Phthalate (DEHP), which are known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov."

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

- 3.1 Payment from Defendant. Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Defendants shall make the Total Settlement Payment of \$28,000.00.
- 3.2 Allocation of Payments. The Total Settlement Payment shall be paid in three (3) separate checks made payable and allocated as follows:
- 3.2.1 Civil Penalty. Defendant shall pay \$6,000.00 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$4,500.00 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street, MS #19B
Sacramento, CA 95814

The Shefa portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$1,500.00 shall be made payable to Shefa LMV, Inc. and associated with taxpayer identification number 81-0907002. This payment shall be delivered to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

3.2.2 Attorney's Fees and Costs. A reimbursement of Shefa's attorney's fees and costs in the amount of \$22,000.00 payable to the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum," and associated with taxpayer identification number 46-4580172. This payment shall be delivered to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Public Release

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Shefa on behalf of itself and in a representative capacity in the public interest and Defendants of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by Shefa, acting on behalf of itself and in a representative capacity in the public interest under Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, against Defendants, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities, manufacturers, suppliers, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and the predecessors, successors, or assigns of each of them, and each entity to whom Defendants directly or indirectly exports, distributes or sells the Covered Products, including, without limitation, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Releasees"), based on failure to warn of alleged exposures to DEHP from Covered Products manufactured, purchased, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Defendants prior to the Effective Date. The release in this Section 4.1 applies to all Covered Products that Defendants manufactured, purchased, or distributed, or sold prior to the Effective Date, regardless of the date Defendants or any other Releasee distributes or sells the Covered Products.

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendants and the Releasees with respect to DEHP in Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed on and after the Effective Date.

4.2 Shefa's Individual Release of Claims

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Shefa, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims that it may have against Defendants and Releasees, including,

without limitation, all actions and causes of action, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses, including, without limitation, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from Covered Products manufactured, purchased, sold, or distributed for sale by Defendants prior to the Effective Date. The releases in Section 4.2 are provided in Shefa's individual capacity and are not releases on behalf of the public.

4.3 Defendants' Release of Shefa

Defendants, on their own behalf and on behalf of their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waive any and all claims that they may have against Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all statements made to Defendants by Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating the claims set forth in the Complaint or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them in this matter.

4.4 Release of Unknown Claims

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts contained in the Notice, or alleged in the Complaint, relating to the Covered Products, will hereafter be discovered or developed. Shefa, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. Shefa acknowledges that the claims released in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 may include unknown claims, and nevertheless Shefa intends to release such claims, and in doing so waives California Civil Code § 1542, which reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Shefa understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code § 1542 is that, even if Shefa suffers future damages arising out of or resulting

23

24

25

26

27

28

With copy to:

Will Troutman, Esq.

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP

Los Angeles, California 90071

555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

8. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

9. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

- 10.1 The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f), Shefa is obligated to file a noticed motion to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment.
- 10.2 Upon the Parties' execution of this Consent Judgment, Shefa promptly shall proceed to submit this Consent Judgment to the Court with a motion seeking Court approval.

11. MODIFICATION

- Judgment entered into by Shefa involving another party, any future court-approved consent judgment entered into by any enforcer of Proposition 65 involving another party, or the State of California adopts or sets out a reformulation or compliance standard that is less stringent than that in Section 2.1 above for DEHP, then upon written notice to Shefa, Defendants are entitled to a corresponding modification to the reformulation standard set forth in section 2.1 of this Consent Judgment, regarding the Covered Products.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written instrument executed by the Party or Parties to be bound thereby, and after approval by the Court upon a noticed motion.

 Any motion to modify shall be served on all Parties and the Office of the Attorney General.

12. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

If Shefa determines at a future date that a violation of this Consent Judgment has occurred, Shefa shall provide notice to Defendants. Prior to bringing any action to enforce any requirement of this Consent Judgment, the party alleging a violation of this Consent Judgment shall provide the other party with written notice of the grounds for such allegation together with all supporting information as well as a complete demand for the relief sought. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the allegation to resolve the matter informally, including providing the party alleged to be in violation with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempt at informal resolution fail, the party alleging a violation may file its lawsuit seeking the proposed relief.

13. AUTHORIZATION

AGREED TO:

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:

Date: 10/18/2018	Date: 10/29//8
By:SHEFA LMV, INC.	By: DEFENDANT PACIFIC COAST
	DISTRIBUTING INC

A		D		17	Γ	71	O ^r	
4	4 7	ж		₽-		- 1	11	4
- B.	◡	1/	_	▃	L	- 4	\sim	٠

Date: 10/24/19

By: Dim

DEFENDANT PETSMART, INC.

1	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
2	Please note that on, 2018 at 8:30am, Plaintiff Shefa LMV Inc.'s ("Plaintiff")
3	Motion for Court Approval of Settlement Agreement and Entry of Consent Judgment as to Defendant
4	Pacific Coast Distributing, Inc. and Defendant PetSmart, Inc. came for hearing before this Court in
5	Department 31, the Honorable Samantha Jessner presiding. Counsel for Plaintiff did [not] appear;
6	counsel for Defendant did [not] appear.
7	After full consideration of the points and authorities and related pleadings submitted, the Court
8	GRANTED Plaintiff's Motion pursuant to and in accordance with Health & Safety Code
9	§25249.7(f)(4). The Court reviewed the above Settlement Agreement and makes the following
10	findings pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4):
11	a. The injunctive relief required by the Settlement Agreement complies with Health &
12	Safety Code § 25249.7;
13	b. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the Settlement
14	Agreement is reasonable under California law; and
15	c. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to Settlement Agreement is reasonable.
16	
17	
18	
19	Date . Judge of the Superior Court
20	Date Judge of the Superior Court
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS PACIFIC COAST DISTRIBUTING, INC. AND PETSMART, INC.
- 11	The state of the s