	WILLIAM VERICK, CSB #140972	
1	KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER 1125 Sixteenth Street, Suite 204	
2	Arcata, CA 95521	
3	Telephone: (707) 630-5061	
4	Email: wverick@igc.org	
5	DAVID H. WILLIAMS, CSB #144479 BRIAN ACREE, CSB #202505	
6	1839 Ygnacio Valley Rd, Suite 351	
7	Walnut Creek, CA 94598 Telephone: (510) 271-0827	
8	Facsimile: (510) 291-9629	
9	Email: <u>dhwill7@gmail.com</u> , brian@brianacree.com	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION	
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	– STANLEY MOSK COURTHOUSE
14		
15	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	CASE NO. 19STCV17117
16	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 19STCV41660
17	vs.	
18	ALFRED, INC.,	
19	Defendant.	[PROPOSED]
20		CONSENT JUDGMENT
21		
22	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	
23	Plaintiff,	
24	vs.	
25	MELROSE PLACE COFFEE COMPANY	
26		_
27		1
28	CONSENT JUDGMENT,	-
	Mateel v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Mateel v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660	
	156429.00601/122862518v.5	

Defendant.

1.INTRODUCTION

1.1. On or about June 28, 2018, plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION ("Mateel"), provided a 60-day notice of violation ("Notice") to the California Attorney General. A substantively identical letter was sent to the District Attorney of Los Angeles County as well as to district attorneys for each of California's 58 counties and the city attorneys for every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Alfred, Inc., and Melrose Place Coffee Company ("Defendants"), alleging that Defendants, through sales in California of draft kombucha, were violating the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement at, also known as "Proposition 65," codified at Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq.*

1.2. On May 16, 2019, plaintiff Mateel, acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), filed a Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV17117 ("Alfred Complaint") against Defendant Alfred Inc., based on the allegations contained in the Notice. On November 19, 2019, plaintiff Mateel, acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), filed a Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV41660 ("Melrose Complaint") against Defendant Melrose Place Coffee Company, based on the allegations contained in the Notice. The Alfred Complaint and the Melrose Complaint are referred to collectively as Complaints. Mateel and Defendants (collectively, the "Parties") agree and the court hereby orders that for purposes of this settlement and entry of this Consent Judgment, these two actions are hereby consolidated.

1.3. This case involves claims of exposures to lead and lead compounds (hereafter "Pb") that Mateel alleges are caused by draft kombucha (as used herein, "draft kombucha" means kombucha served from Kombucha Dispensing Equipment) sold at stores Defendants own, operate, or promote in California. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the equipment used to

2

CONSENT JUDGMENT,

Mateel v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Mateel v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660 156429.00601/122862518v.5 store and serve draft kombucha, including the casks, taps, valves, lines and fittings, shall be referred to as "Kombucha Dispensing Equipment." Mateel's complaints allege that the equipment used to dispense draft kombucha—what are commonly referred to as "taps" of the sort that taverns use to dispense draft beer—have valves and fittings made from leaded brass. Draft kombucha, when served through this equipment, makes contact with this leaded brass and causes Pb to leach into the kombucha. As a remedy, Mateel sought either to have Defendants reformulate the equipment used to dispense draft kombucha or to provide a clear and reasonable warning within the meaning of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6.

1.4. Mateel alleges that Defendants employ more than ten people. Mateel alleges that
Defendants sell or otherwise market in the State of California kombucha dispensed from
Kombucha Dispensing Equipment. During the time period pertinent to this enforcement action,
the Kombucha Dispensing Equipment used certain parts, the surfaces of which were alleged to
contain Pb, and these parts regularly contacted the kombucha that flowed through this
equipment.

1.5 Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.8, Pb is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity. Under certain circumstances, products containing Pb that are sold or distributed in California are subject to Proposition 65's warning requirement. Mateel alleges that the draft kombucha Defendants market or sell, when served via Kombucha Dispensing Equipment with food contact surfaces that contain Pb, requires a Proposition 65 warning.

1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, and without waiving any arguments to the contrary that it might assert in any other matter, Defendants agree not to contest the court's jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and the Complaints, and over Defendants, and to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations made against Defendants contained in the Notice or arising therefrom, to the extent those allegations pertain to lead exposures caused by

3

CONSENT JUDGMENT,

Mateel v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Mateel v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660 156429.00601/122862518v.5

1

kombucha. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Defendants agree that venue is proper in the City and County of Los Angeles. All Parties to this agreement stipulate to the court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations made against, or that could have been made against, Defendants and their affiliated stores to the extent those allegations pertain to lead exposures caused by kombucha.

1.7 This Consent Judgment resolves all claims in the Notice and Complaints to the full extent those claims pertain to alleged lead exposures caused by kombucha served via Kombucha Dispensing Equipment. Defendants deny and dispute all allegations made in the Notice and in the Complaints. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any allegation made in the Notice, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as an admission or evidence of any fact, wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability, violation of law or liability on the part of Defendants or any person or entity released herein in any other lawsuit or legal proceeding.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

2.1 No later than 90 days after the Effective Date (which shall be the date that the Court enters this Consent Judgment), and continuing thereafter, as to all of Defendants' affiliated stores in California, draft kombucha shall: (a) be Reformulated Products pursuant to § 2.2 below; or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 2.3 and 2.4 below. For purposes of this consent judgment and settlement, a "Reformulated Product" is draft kombucha sold at Defendants' affiliated stores in California that is in compliance with the standard(s) set forth in § 2.2 below. The warning requirement set forth in §§ 2.3 and 2.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product.

2.2 "Reformulated Products" shall contain Pb at a concentration no greater than one(1) microgram of Pb per liter of kombucha. Defendants meet the requirements of this sub-paragraph if a commercially-available analysis of draft kombucha performed during the prior

4

CONSENT JUDGMENT,

Mateel v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Mateel v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660 156429.00601/122862518v.5

twelve (12) month period demonstrates compliance with the one (1) microgram or less of Pb per liter level, when using a method of analysis that is able to detect and quantify Pb in kombucha at a concentration of one (1) microgram per liter or less.

2.3 A clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 2.3 and 2.4 must be provided for all draft kombucha sold at Defendants' affiliated stores in California that is not a Reformulated Product. The warning shall consist of either the Warning or Alternative Warning described in §§ 2.3(a) or (b), respectively.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(a) **Warning**. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:

"WARNING: Certain foods and beverages sold or served here can expose you to chemicals including lead in kombucha on tap, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/restaurant."

(b) Alternative Warning: Defendants may, but are not required to, use the alternative warning as set forth in this § 2.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:

"WARNING: Certain foods and beverages sold or served here can expose you to chemicals including lead in kombucha on tap and acrylamide in many fried or baked foods, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to

www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/restaurant."

2.4 A Warning or Alternative Warning provided pursuant to § 2.3 must print the word "WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The Warning or Alternative Warning shall be provided by one of the methods described in Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 25607.5. Nothing in this consent judgment shall preclude Defendants from employing more than one of the warning methods described in Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 25607.5, nor shall anything in this consent judgment require that Defendants employ more than one such method.

²⁸ CONSENT JUDGMENT,

5

Mateel v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Mateel v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660

156429.00601/122862518v.5

1

2

3

4

3.

4.

ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The Parties hereby request that the court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the Parties waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegation made in the Complaints.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 Mateel's Release on Behalf of the Public Interest. As to all exposures to Pb alleged in the Notice to be caused by draft kombucha sold at Defendants' affiliated stores in California, this Consent Judgment provides a full release of liability on behalf of the Public Interest for all claims that were or could have been brought up through the Effective Date. As to all exposures to Pb alleged in the Notice to be caused by draft kombucha sold at Defendants' affiliated stores in California that is in the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date, this Consent Judgment also provides a full release of liability on behalf of the Public Interest to Defendants and their past, present and future parents, holding companies, controlling entities, joint ventures or other investment vehicles, related companies, affiliates (as such term is defined under Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and including without limitation any and all affiliated companies and subsidiaries), predecessors, successors, and assigns to any of them, as well as all past, present and future partners, principals, directors, officers, equity holders, members, managers, agents, attorneys, employees and representatives of any of the foregoing, for all claims that were or could have been brought up through the Effective Date. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Judgment, only claims related to kombucha are released on behalf of the public interest consistent with the Notices.

4.2 <u>Mateel's Release on Behalf of Itself</u>. As to kombucha, Mateel, acting in its individual capacity only and not in its representative capacity, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action and releases all claims which were or could have been brought up through the Effective Date. As to kombucha that is in the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date, on behalf of Mateel in its individual capacity, this Consent Judgment also provides a full release

6

²⁸ CONSENT JUDGMENT,

Mateel v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Mateel v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660 156429.00601/122862518v.5 of liability to Defendants and their past, present and future parents, holding companies, controlling entities, joint ventures or other investment vehicles, related companies, affiliates (as such term is defined under Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and including without limitation any and all affiliated companies and subsidiaries), predecessors, successors, and assigns to any of them, as well as all past, present and future partners, principals, directors, officers, equity holders, members, managers, agents, attorneys, employees and representatives of any of the foregoing, for all claims that were or could have been brought up through the Effective Date. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Pb exposures alleged to be caused by kombucha by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code § 1542 is that even if Mateel has future claims arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, kombucha, it will not be able to pursue that claim against Defendants or the released stores, nor may it pursue such a claim against any and all entities related to Defendants including, but not limited to, their past, present and future parents, holding companies, controlling entities, joint ventures or other investment vehicles, related companies, affiliates (as such term is defined under Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and including without limitation any and all affiliated companies and subsidiaries), predecessors, successors, and assigns to any of them, as well as all past, present and future partners, principals, directors, officers, equity holders, members, managers, agents, attorneys, employees and representatives of any of the foregoing. Furthermore, Mateel

7

CONSENT JUDGMENT,

Mateel v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Mateel v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660 156429.00601/122862518v.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence or any other cause.

4.3 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Judgment, Mateel (on behalf of the public interest and itself) does not release any entity that supplies Defendants with kombucha intended to be served from Kombucha Dispensing Equipment for sale in California, nor does Mateel (on behalf of itself or the public interest) release any entity that supplies Defendants with Kombucha Dispensing Equipment.

5.

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

5.1 In settlement of all the claims pertaining to Pb exposures alleged to have been caused by draft kombucha, Defendants shall pay a total amount of \$45,000, which shall be allocated as follows: \$2,000 shall be paid as a civil penalty; \$43,000 shall be paid to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") to cover a portion of Mateel's attorneys fees and litigation costs. The above-referenced \$2,000 civil penalty shall be further allocated as follows: \$1,500 shall be made payable to California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and \$500 shall be made payable to the Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation as Mateel's statutorily authorized share of the above-referenced civil penalty amount. In the event the Court approves the settlement in this case and enters this [Proposed] Consent Judgment, the above-referenced payments shall be delivered no later than fourteen days after the court enters this [Proposed] Consent Judgment. The above-referenced payments shall be delivered via overnight mail or delivery service to Klamath Environmental Law Center, 1125 Sixteenth Street, Suite 204, Arcata, California 95521. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the court within 120 days, the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be null and void.

111

///

CONSENT JUDGMENT,

8

Mateel v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Mateel v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7.

MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment may by modified only upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court. Any party may seek such modification by noticed motion, with notice provided to both the other party and to the Attorney General.

7.2 Mateel agrees not to contest a motion to modify this Consent Judgment by a Defendant in the event the Defendant has sought, and obtained, written consent from the Attorney General to such modification. Mateel also agrees not to contest a motion by a Defendant to conform this Consent Judgment to any "safe use determination" established by OEEHA, pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25204 or any successor regulation, regarding Pb content of Kombucha Dispensing Equipment.

8. <u>NOTICE</u>

8.1 When any party is entitled to receive any notice or report under this Consent Judgment, the notice or report shall be made in writing and sent via U.S. Mail or other manner of overnight delivery to the following:

8.1.1 For Mateel: William Verick, Klamath Environmental Law Center, 1125 Sixteenth Street, Suite 204, Arcata, CA 95521, e-mail wverick@igc.org.

8.1.2 For Defendant Alfred, Inc.: Ana Tagvoryan Harrison Brown atagvoryan@blankrome.com hbrown@blankrome.com 2029 Century Park East | 6th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: 424.239.3400 Fax: 424.239.3434 8.1.3 For Defendant Melrose Place Coffee Company: Ana Tagvoryan Harrison Brown atagvoryan@blankrome.com hbrown@blankrome.com 2029 Century Park East | 6th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: 424.239.3400 Fax: 424.239.3434

CONSENT JUDGMENT,

9

Mateel v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Mateel v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660

156429.00601/122862518v.5

1 2 3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9.

AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

9.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

5 || 10.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

10.1 This court shall retain jurisdiction to implement this Consent Judgment.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or bind any of the Parties.

12. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>

12.1 The validity, construction and performance of this consent judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts or law provisions of California law.

13.

COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(f)

13.1 Mateel agrees to comply with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7's reporting and approval requirements and as implemented by various regulations.

21

14

111

///

///

EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and/or by facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one original document.

CONSENT JUDGMENT,

10

Mateel v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Mateel v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660

156429.00601/122862518v.5

15. COURT APPROVAL 1 15.1. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the court, it shall be of no force or 2 effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 3 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 4 5 DATED: $\frac{4/1}{20}$ Bv 6 7 Kentar2 ame: Print For Defendant A 8 9 HEbotan 10 Vame: Prin For Defendant Melrose Place Coffee Company 11 12 13 DATED: 3-31-20 14 William Verick. CEO of Mateel **Environmental Justice Foundation** 15 16 17 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 18 19 20 Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 11 28 CONSENT JUDGMENT, Matecl v. Alfred Inc., Case No. 19STCV17117 and Matecl v Melrose Coffee Company, Case No. 19STCV41660 156429.00601/122862518v.5