- 1	I .		
1	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR (SBN 209228)		
2	ANTHONY M. BARNES (SBN 199048) AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP		
3	490 43rd Street, Suite 108		
4	Oakland, CA 94609 Telephone: (415) 568-5200		
5	Email: mcm@atalawgroup.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, IT	VC.	
7	JOSHUA G. SIMON (SBN 264714)		
8	CALL & JENSEN A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION		
9	610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700		
10	Newport Beach, CA 92660 Telephone: (949) 717-3000		
11	Facsimile: (949) 717-3100		
12	Attorney for Defendants	NC.	
13	SBD ENTERPRISES, LLC; NUTRISYSTEM, I NUTRI/SYSTEM IPHC, INC.	NC.,	
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
16	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER,	CASE NO. RG18929063	
17	INC., a non-profit California corporation,	STIPULATED CONSENT	
18	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT	
19	v.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.	
20	SBD ENTERPRISES, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; NUTRISYSTEM,	Action Filed: November 19, 2018	
21	INC., a Delaware corporation;	Trial Date: None set	
22	NUTRI/SYSTEM IPHC, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 – 25,		
23	Defendants.		
24	Derendants.		
25			
26	1. INTRODUCTION		
27	1.1 On November 19, 2018, Plaintiff	Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"),	
28	8 a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this ac		
	Page		
1	STIPULATED CONSENT JU	DGMENT Case No. RG1892906	

Case No. RG18929063

filing a Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties and Other Relief (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against SBD ENTERPRISES, LLC; NUTRISYSTEM, INC.; NUTRI/SYSTEM IPHC, INC. (collectively "SBD ENTERPRISES") and DOES 1-25. In this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed, or sold by SBD ENTERPRISES contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are:

- South Beach Diet Phase 1 Entrée Shake Chocolate Caramel Shake
- South Beach Diet Phase 1 Breakfast Beach Shack Cappuccino Shake
- South Beach Diet Phase 1 Breakfast Beach Shack Chocolate Shake
- South Beach Diet Phase 1 Entrée Bar Peanut Butter Chocolate Bar
- · South Beach Diet Phase 1 Entrée Bar Cinnamon Bun Bar
- South Beach Diet Phase 1 Entrée Bar Double Chocolate Bar
- 1.2 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. SBD ENTERPRISES denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint. Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by SBD ENTERPRISES of any fact, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by SBD ENTERPRISES of any fact, issue of law, at any time, for any purpose.
- 1.3 ERC and SBD ENTERPRISES are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.4 ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that the defendant is a business entity which has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. SBD ENTERPRISES manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.6 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated August 21, 2018 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and SBD ENTERPRISES ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and SBD ENTERPRISES and no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against SBD ENTERPRISES with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates under common ownership (full or partial), franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have, individually or jointly, in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over SBD ENTERPRISES as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda

County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

- 3.1 Beginning three (3) months after the Effective Date (the "Compliance Date"), SBD ENTERPRISES shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California," or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products which expose a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.
- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that SBD ENTERPRISES knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.
- 3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If the label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If SBD ENTERPRISES is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized ("Warning"):

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.
SBD ENTERPRISES shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if SBD ENTERPRISES has reason to believe that the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead

25

26

27

28

as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if SBD ENTERPRISES has reason to believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may require a cancer warning.

The Warning shall be provided to consumers prior to the purchase of each Covered Product, either on the container or label of each Covered Product or on SBD ENTERPRISES's website. If the Warning is provided on the label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a box. For any Covered Product sold over the internet through SBD ENTERPRISES's website, the Warning shall appear on the product information/display page, or the Warning shall be prominently displayed on the checkout page when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product, or a link to the Warning, using the word "WARNING," in all capital and bold letters, shall appear on the product display page prior to completing checkout when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product. An asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning. Additionally, for Covered Products sold over the internet, the Warning shall not be displayed in such a manner that requires the purchaser to search for it in the general content of the website. In light of SBD ENTERPRISES's inability to control third-party websites, the online warning requirements expressed in this Section will apply only to Covered Products sold through SBD ENTERPRISES's website; however, SBD ENTERPRISES shall advise, in writing, third-parties who sell the Covered Products to provide Warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 and this Consent Judgment for any Covered Products sold via such third-parties' websites or brick-andmortar establishments.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on SBD ENTERPRISES's website or on the label or container of any Covered Product's packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of, or reducing the clarity of, the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning.

Further, no statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply that the source of the listed

chemical has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

SBD ENTERPRISES must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label or container, or on its website, if applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product. If subsequently enacted changes to Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations require the use of additional or different information on any warning, the Parties agree that the new safe harbor warning may be utilized in place of the Warnings set forth in this Section.

3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is a Covered Product for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of three consecutive years by arranging for testing of five randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which SBD ENTERPRISES intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, SBD ENTERPRISES changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, SBD ENTERPRISES shall test that Covered Product annually for at least three (3) consecutive years after such change is made. The testing requirement under this section does not apply to any Covered Product for which SBD ENTERPRISES has provided the Warning specified in Section 3.2 continuously and uninterrupted after the Compliance Date; however, in the event SBD ENTERPRISES ceases to

 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT
4.1 In full satisfaction of all p

provide the Warning specified in Section 3.2, SBD ENTERPRISES shall be required to comply with the testing requirements of this section beginning immediately after the date the Warning ceases to be provided or one year after the Effective Date, whichever date is later.

- 3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," the highest lead detection result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg.
- 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- 3.4.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit SBD ENTERPRISES' ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- 3.4.6 Within thirty (30) days of ERC's written request, SBD ENTERPRISES shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. SBD ENTERPRISES shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of threeyears from the date of each test.

4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney's fees, and costs, SBD ENTERPRISES shall make a total payment of \$90,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC within 5 days of the Effective Date ("Due Date"). SBD ENTERPRISES shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's account, for which ERC will give SBD ENTERPRISES the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:

- 4.2 \$30,735.46 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$23,051.59) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$7,683.87) of the civil penalty.
- 4.3 \$3,569.77 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$23,051.56 shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by SBD ENTERPRISES in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary supplement products in California. ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead. This work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment; (2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case

file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a self-testing program for lead in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

- 4.5 \$15,830.00 shall be distributed to Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$16,813.21 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
- Amount owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the Due Date, SBD ENTERPRISES shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to SBD ENTERPRISES via electronic mail. If SBD ENTERPRISES fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, SBD ENTERPRISES agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

5.

5.1

5.2

modified consent judgment.

3

1

2

4

6

7 8

9

- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19

confer period.

5.3

the joint motion.

- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27

28

111

MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by

If SBD ENTERPRISES seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section

written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or

(ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 or 5.4 and upon entry by the Court of a

5.1, then SBD ENTERPRISES must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of

Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of

Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to SBD ENTERPRISES within thirty (30) days of

receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies SBD ENTERPRISES in a timely manner of

required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30)

days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such

ENTERPRISES a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer

for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it

become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-

modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or

application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, SBD ENTERPRISES shall reimburse

ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process

attorney's fees for an uncontested motion, or for a ministerial motion (such as a change in name

or contact information) or if ERC does not expend more than two (2) hours of attorney time on

and filing and arguing the motion or application. ERC shall not be reimbursed for costs or

In the event that SBD ENTERPRISES initiates or otherwise requests a

meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to SBD

ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as

5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own.

5.5 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, and as a result of such repeal the Covered Products are no longer subject to Proposition 65, then SBD ENTERPRISES shall have no further obligation as to injunctive terms pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to the Covered Products.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform SBD ENTERPRISES in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit SBD ENTERPRISES to identify the Covered Products at issue. SBD ENTERPRISES shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating SBD ENTERPRISES' compliance with the Consent Judgment. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates under common ownership (full or partial), divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by California

consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and SBD ENTERPRISES and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, owners, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliated entities under common (full or partial) ownership, attorneys, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of SBD ENTERPRISES), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead up to and including the Effective Date.
- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, and SBD ENTERPRISES on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, owners, employees, agents, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliated entities under common (full or partial) ownership, and attorneys for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and SBD ENTERPRISES on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such

111

1	///
2	FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:
3	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center
4	3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108
5	Ph: (619) 500-3090 Email: chris_erc501c3@yahoo.com
6	With a copy to:
7	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR ANTHONY M. BARNES
8	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
9	490 43 rd Street, Suite 108 Oakland, CA 94609
10	Telephone: (415) 568-5200
11	Email: mcm@atalawgroup.com
12	DENISE BERGNER SBD ENTERPRISES, LLC; NUTRISYSTEM, INC.;
13	NUTRI/SYSTEM IPHC, INC. Fort Washington Executive Center
14	600 Office Center
15	Fort Washington, PA 19034
16	With a copy to: JOSHUA G. SIMON
17	CALL & JENSEN
18	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700
19	Newport Beach, CA 92660 Telephone: (949) 717-3000
20	Facsimile: (949) 717-3100
21	
22	ABHISHEK K. GURNANI AMIN TALATI UPADHYE, LLP
23	100 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2000
24	Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 466-1033
25	Facsimile: (312) 884-7352
26	
27	
28	
	Page 14 of 18
- 1	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG18929063

12. COURT APPROVAL

- 12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

7 8

16. ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
2	Dated:, 2019 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
3	CENTER, INC.
4	By Sampling W. Strategy
5	Chris Fleetington, Executive Difector
6	Dated: 1-14, 2019 SBD ENTERPRISES, LLC
7	x BURI
8	By: DAVID BULTON, 50.
9	Its: EUP OPERATIONS
10	Dated: 1-14, 2019 NUTRISYSTEM, INC.
11	* POSEI
12	By: DAVID BURTON JR
13	Its: EUP OPERATIONS
14	Dated: JUM 14 , 2019 NUTRI/SYSTEM IPHC, INC.
15	By: Denise Bergner Hs: Vice President
16	ts: Vice President
17	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
18	
19	Dated:, 2019 AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
20	then &
21	By:
22	Anthony M. Barnes Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental
23	Research Center, Inc.
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	Page 17 of 18
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG18929063

1	Dated: January 16 , 2019 CALL & JENSEN	
2	By: Shua a	
3	Joshua G. Simon	
4	Attorney for Defendants SBD Enterprises, LLC; Nutrisystem, Inc. and Nutri/System	
5	IPHC, Inc.	
6		
7		
8		
	ORDER AND JUDGMENT	
10	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is	
12	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms. IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.	
13		
14		
15	Dated:, 2019 Judge of the Superior Court	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	D10 - C10	
	Page 18 of 18 STIPLILATED CONSENT HID CMENT Case No. BC18920063	