1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP			
2	Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050			
	Lucas Williams, State Bar No. 264518 503 Divisadero Street			
3	San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800			
4	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112			
5	esomers@lexlawgroup.com lwilliams@lexlawgroup.com			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
8		VE OFFICE OF GALLYEODAYA		
9	SUPERIOR COURT FOR TH	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUN	NTY OF MARIN		
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. CIV 1900778		
12	Plaintiff,	Assigned for all purposes to Judge		
13	·	Andrew E. Sweet		
14	V.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO SUNFOOD		
15	LUCKY VITAMIN, LLC, et al.,	CORPORATION		
16	Defendants.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		1-		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – SUNFOOD COR	RPORATION – CASE NO. CIV 1900778		

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

# 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a California non-profit corporation, and Sunfood Corporation ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers moringa products that are sold and offered for sale by Settling Defendant to individuals in California.
- 1.2. On November 20, 2018, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California and the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds contained in moringa products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3. On February 27, 2019, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter, which named Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.4. Settling Defendant is a corporation that sells and offers for sale moringa products to individuals in the State of California.
- 1.5. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to moringa products sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,

11 12

14 15 16

13

18 19

17

21 22

20

23

24 25

26 27

28

conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action.

# 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1. "Covered Products" means moring asold in powder, capsule or other form.
- 2.2. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment as a final judgment.
  - 2.3. "Lead" means lead and lead compounds.
- 2.4. "Lead Limit" means a concentration of Lead at which an individual who ingests a Covered Product in accordance with the maximum daily serving size listed on the Covered Product's packaging will not be exposed to more than 0.5 micrograms of Lead. The Lead Limit shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of Lead per gram of the Covered Product (parts per million), multiplied by grams per serving of the Covered Product (using the largest serving size appearing on the Covered Product's packaging), multiplied by servings of the Covered Product per day (using the largest number of servings in the recommended dosage appearing on the Covered Product's packaging), which equals micrograms of Lead exposure per day. If a Covered Product does not list a serving size on the Covered Product packaging, the default Lead Limit shall be 50 parts per billion. To make this determination, the Lead concentrations shall be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment.

# 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1. **Reformulation of Covered Products.** After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains Lead in excess of the Lead Limit that will be sold or offered for sale in California.

-3-

1 /

# **5.** 3

# 4. ENFORCEMENT

- 4.1. **Enforcement Procedures.** This Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction over all matters regarding enforcement of the Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Marin, seek to enforce Proposition 65 or the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 4.2. **Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations.** Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and C.C.P. §780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may obtain an order requiring Settling Defendants to submit to a debtors exam. In the event that Settling Defendants fail to submit to any such Debtors Exam ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

# 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1. **Payments by Settling Defendant.** On or before April 30, 2020, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$27,500 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2. **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an

1	enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid		
2	by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and		
3	made payable as follows:		
4	5.2.1. Settling Defendant shall pay \$3,641 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health &		
5	Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with		
6	Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of		
7	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, Settling Defendant shall		
8	pay the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,732 by check made payable to		
9	OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be		
10	delivered as follows:		
11	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
12	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
13	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B		
14	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
15	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics		
16	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
17	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814		
18	Settling Defendant shall pay the CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$909 by check		
19	made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification		
20	number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero		
21	Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.		
22	5.2.2. Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,731 as an Additional Settlement Payment		
23	("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of		
24	Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund		
25	and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about Lead		
26	and other toxic chemicals in food and dietary supplements, to work with the food and dietary		
27	supplements industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to Lead and other toxic		
28			

DOCUMENT PREPARED

chemicals, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to Lead and other toxic chemicals in food and dietary supplements sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3. Settling Defendant shall pay \$21,128 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$17,742 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$3,386 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4. To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

	1	1	
Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$2,732	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$909	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$2,731	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fees and Costs	\$17,742	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fees and Costs	\$3,386	LLG

# 6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1. **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2. **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

# 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1. Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, shareholders, successors, assigns and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold, offered for sale, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to customers by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2. Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever discharges any and all claims against each Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold, offered for sale, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to customers by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

1	7.3.	Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under
2	Section 5, co	mpliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and its
3	Downstream	Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling
4	Defendant, it	s Defendant Releasees and its Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any
5	alleged failur	e to warn about Lead contained in Covered Products sold, offered for sale, shipped,
6	distributed, u	sed or otherwise provided to customers by Settling Defendant after the Effective
7	Date.	
8	8. PROVIS	ION OF NOTICE
9	8.1.	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
10	notice shall b	e sent by first class and electronic mail to:
11		Eric S. Somers
12		Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
13		San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com
14	8.2.	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
15	Judgment, the	e notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
16		Frederick M. Reich, Esq.
17		Teeple Hall, LLP 9255 Towne Centre Drive, Suite 500
18		San Diego, CA 92121 fritz@teeplehall.com
19	8.3.	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by
20	sending the o	ther Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
21	9. COURT	APPROVAL
22	9.1.	This Consent Judgment shall become effective as a contract upon the date signed
23	by CEH and	Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall also
24	prepare and f	ile a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall
25	support appro	oval of such Motion.
26		
27		
28		

9.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

#### 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

# 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1. A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, et seq.
- 11.2. Notwithstanding Section 11.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 4 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of § 1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 11.3. Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

# 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

#### 13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

#### 15. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

15.1. This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

# 16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

16.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

# 17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

17.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

26

27

. 1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
. 2		
3	Dated:, 2020	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		Mckel C
6		
7		Michael Green Printed Name
8		Printed Name
9		CEO
10		Title
11	Dated:, 2020	DEFENDANT SUNFOOD CORPORATION
12		
13		
14		
15		·
16		Printed Name
17		
18		Title
19		
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND	DECREED:
22		
23	Dated:,	
24	Datod	Judge of the Superior Court of California
25		
26		
27		
DOCUMENT PREPARED		-11-
ON RECYCLED PAPER .	CONSENT JUDGMENT – SUNFOOD CORPORATION – CASE NO. CIV 1900778	

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:			
2	,			
3	Dated:		_, 2019	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				Printed Name
9				
10				Title
11	Dated: /-	24-20	, 2019	DEFENDANT SUNFOOD CORPORATION
12				1/1
13				1/6
14				
15				Robert & Jaking
16				Printed Name
17				0 1-
18				Title
19				71110
20				
21	IT IS SO OR	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:		DECREED:
22				
23	Dated:		,	
24				Judge of the Superior Court of California
25				1
26				
27				
28				
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		ONSENT IT ID	GMENT SUNDOO	-11- O CORPORATION – CASE NO. CIV 1900778
		CHOCKET TODA	CHILDRIA L — GOMILOOI	CORTORATION - CASE NO. CIV 19007/8