1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR (SBN 209228) ANTHONY M. BARNES (SBN 199048) AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP 490 43 rd Street, Suite 108 Oakland, CA 94609 Telephone: (415) 568-5200 Email: mcm@atalawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, IN	IC.		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
12	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a non-profit California corporation,	CASE NO. RG18933461		
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT		
14	vs.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.		
15 16	PERFORMANCE FOOD CENTERS CORP., individually and dba SIMPLE AGAIN and	Action Filed: December 26, 2018		
17	SWIIG, a Pennsylvania corporation; and DOES 1-25,	Trial Date: None set		
18	Defendants.			
19	1 INTRODUCTION			
20	1. INTRODUCTION	CCF		
21		ff Environmental Research Center, Inc.		
22	("ERC"), a non-profit corporation, as a private enf			
23	action by filing a Complaint for Permanent Injunct	,		
24	"Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of Califor			
25	seq. ("Proposition 65"), against PERFORMANCE			
26	dba SIMPLE AGAIN and SWIIG ("SWIIG") and	_		
27	number of products manufactured, distributed, or s	sold by SWIIG contain lead and/or cadmium,		
28	chemicals listed under Proposition 65 as carcinoge	ens and reproductive toxins, and expose		
	Page 1.	of 10		

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG18933461

hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that SWIIG is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. SWIIG manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated October 12, 2018 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and SWIIG ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated October 12, 2018 is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and SWIIG and no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against SWIIG with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead and/or cadmium without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. SWIIG denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation.

 Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.

1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which notice is given that it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over SWIIG as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

- 3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, SWIIG shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California," or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products which expose a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day and/or "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" of more than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.
- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that SWIIG knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.
- 3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day, excluding, pursuant to Section 3.1.3, the amount of lead in the ingredients listed in **Table 1** below, if applicable. If the label contains

6

9

16 17

18

1920

21

23

22

25

24

2627

28

no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

3.1.3 In calculating the Daily Lead Exposure Level for a Covered Product, SWIIG shall be allowed to deduct the amount of lead which is deemed "naturally occurring" in the ingredients listed in Table 1 that are contained in that Covered Product under the following conditions: For each calendar year that SWIIG claims entitlement to a "naturally occurring" allowance, SWIIG shall provide ERC, upon request, with the following information: (a) SWIIG must produce to ERC a written list of each ingredient in the Covered Product for which a "naturally occurring" allowance is claimed; (b) SWIIG must provide ERC with documentation of laboratory testing conducted during the year for which the "naturally occurring" allowance is claimed that complies with Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4 and that shows the amount of lead, if any, contained in each ingredient listed in Table 1 that is contained in the Covered Product and for which SWIIG intends to deduct "naturally occurring" lead; (c) If the laboratory testing reveals the presence of lead in any of the ingredients listed in **Table 1** that are contained in the Covered Product, SWIIG shall be entitled to deduct up to the full amount of the allowance for those ingredients, as listed in Table 1, but not to exceed the total amount of lead actually contained in those ingredients that are in the Covered Product; and (d) If the Covered Product does not contain any of the ingredients listed in Table 1, SWIIG shall not be entitled to a deduction for "naturally occurring" lead in the Covered Product for those ingredients. The information required by Sections 3.1.3 (a) and (b) shall be provided to ERC upon request made by ERC within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, or anniversary thereof, for any year that SWIIG shall claim entitlement to the "naturally occurring" allowance:

TABLE 1

INGREDIENT	ALLOWANCES OF AMOUNT OF LEAD
Calcium (elemental)	0.8 micograms/gram
Ferrous Fumarate	0.4 micograms/gram
Zinc Oxide	8.0 micograms/gram
Magnesium Oxide	0.4 micograms/gram

Magnesium Carbonate	0.332 micograms/gram
Magnesium Hydroxide	0.4 micograms/gram
Zinc Gluconate	0.8 micograms/gram
Potassium Chloride	1.1 micograms/gram
Cocoa-powder	1.0 micograms/gram

3.1.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of cadmium per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of cadmium exposure per day. If the label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If SWIIG is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized ("Warning"):

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including [lead] [and] [cadmium] which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

SWIIG shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if SWIIG has reason to believe that the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if SWIIG has reason to believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may require a cancer warning. As identified in the brackets, the warning shall appropriately reflect whether there is lead, cadmium, or both chemicals present in each of the Covered Products.

The Warning above is derived from Tit. 27, Cal, Code Regs. § 25607.2, effective August 30, 2018. If the warning language in Section 25607.2 is amended after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment in such a manner that the Warning is no longer valid, SWIIG make seek to

incorporate such amendments herein, at SWIIG's election, pursuant to Section 5.2.

The Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each Covered Product. If the Warning is provided on the label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a box. In addition, for any Covered Product sold over the internet by SWIIG, the Warning shall appear, directly or through a hyperlink using the word "WARNING" (in all capital letters and bold print), on the product display page or on the checkout page, when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product. An asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on the product display page or checkout page on SWIIG's website or on the label or container of SWIIG's product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. The warning content may contain information that is supplemental to the content required by this agreement only to the extent that it identifies the source of the exposure or provides information on how to avoid or reduce exposure to the identified chemical or chemicals. Further no statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply that the source of the listed chemical has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

SWIIG must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label or container, or on its website, if applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is a Covered Product for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day and/or "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" is no more than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

3.4 **Testing and Quality Control Methodology**

- Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, SWIIG shall arrange for lead and cadmium testing of the Covered Products, for which a warning is not being provided continuously and uninterrupted after the Effective Date, at least once a year for a minimum of three consecutive years by arranging for testing of five randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which SWIIG intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, SWIIG changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, SWIIG shall test that Covered Product annually for at least two (2) consecutive years after such change is made.
- **3.4.2** For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" and/or "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level," the average lead and/or cadmium detection result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg.
- **3.4.4** All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- 3.4.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit SWIIG's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw

materials used in their manufacture.

3.4.6 Within thirty (30) days of ERC's written request, which request shall not be made more than once per year, SWIIG shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. SWIIG shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney's fees, and costs, SWIIG shall make a total payment of \$90,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC payable as follows (the "Periodic Payments"): \$15,000.00 within 5 business days of the Effective Date and SWIIG's receipt of a W-9 for ERC ("Initial Due Date") and \$15,000.00 per month for 5 months thereafter on the monthly anniversary of the Initial Due Date . SWIIG shall make these payments by wire transfer to ERC's account, for which ERC will give SWIIG the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$36,081.36 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$27,061.02) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$9,020.34) of the civil penalty.
- **4.3** \$4,865.24 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$27,060.99 shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by Defendant in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary supplement products in California. ERC's asserts that its activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers

will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead and/or cadmium in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and/or cadmium and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead and/or cadmium. This work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment; (2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a self-testing program for lead and/or cadmium in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

- 4.5 \$8,285.00 shall be distributed to Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$13,707.41 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
- 4.6 In the event that SWIIG fails to remit any of the Periodic Payments owed pursuant to Section Section 4.1 of this Consent Judgment on or before the applicable Due Date, SWIIG shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to SWIIG via electronic mail. If SWIIG fails to deliver the delinquent payment within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall be immediately due and owing and shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, SWIIG agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment(s) due under this Consent Judgment.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 or 5.4 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If either party seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, that party must provide written notice to the other party of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If the party not seeking the modification wants to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then such party must provide written notice to the party seeking the modification within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. The Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of a party's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if the proposed modification is disputed, the disputing party shall provide the party seeking the modification a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to

resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

- **5.3** In the event that either party initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, the party requesting the modification shall prepare, file and argue the motion or application.
- 5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform SWIIG in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit SWIIG to identify the Covered Products at issue. SWIIG shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with any testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating SWIIG's compliance with the Consent Judgment. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by California consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and SWIIG and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of SWIIG), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead and/or cadmium up to and including the Effective Date.
- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, and SWIIG on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and SWIIG on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and SWIIG acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

///

and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16. ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

	The state of the s	
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	Dated:	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
3		CENTER, INC.
4		By: Chris Henrick Co. Expenses Arector
5		April Habitate II. Extended Arector
6	1 2	
7	Dated: 1.2.19 ,2018	PERFORMANCE FOOD CENTERS CORP., individually and dba SIMPLE AGAIN and
8		SWIIG
9		January Co
11		By Daniel Young Its: President and CEO
12		
13	The state of the s	
14	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
15		
16	Dated: December 31 2018	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
17		By: Matthew C. Maclear
18		Anthony M. Barnes
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.
20	.10	
21	Dated: Jan. 2, 20, 2018	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
22		B. A D2
23		Amy P Lally
24		Attorney for Defendant Performance Food Centers Corp., individually and dba
25		Simple Again and Swiig
26		
27	Tage -	
28		
	Page 18 of 19 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:			
2	Dated:, 2018	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH		
3		CENTER, INC		
4		By:		
5		Chris Hapting II. Exsent of Trector		
6				
7	Dated:, 2018	PERFORMANCE FOOD CENTERS CORP., individually and dba SIMPLE AGAIN and		
8		SWIIG		
9				
10		By:		
11		Its:		
12				
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
14				
15	Dated: December 31_, 2018	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP		
16		the Co		
17		By: Matthew C. Maclear		
18		Anthony M. Barnes Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental		
19		Research Center, Inc.		
20				
21	Dated:, 2018	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP		
22		By:		
23		By:Amy P. Lally Attorney for Defendant Performance Food		
24		Centers Corp., individually and dba		
25		Simple Again and Swiig		
26				
27				
28				
Page 18 of 19 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT				

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT			
2	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is			
3	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.			
5				
6	Dated:, 2018 Judge of the Superior			
7	Court			
8				
9	·			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
8				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	Page 19 of 19 Case No. RG18933461 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT			