1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIF	ORNIA
9	9 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10	0	
11	1	
12	2 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL) Case No. RO HEALTH,)	i 18-928947
13	3 (PROPOSE	D] CONSENT JUDGMENT RLD'S BEST CHEESES
14	4) WEST, INC	
15	5) GALLERIA MARKET, LP, et al.,)	
16	Defendants.	
17	ý	
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		a shove centioned metter
22	1 1 1	-
23	1	_
25		-
26		
27		
28		
	1	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – WORLD'S BEST CHEESES WEST, INC., ET AL	- CASE NO. RG 18-928947

1.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this ConsentJudgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendants.

1.4 "Extruded Products" means all Covered Products other than Sliced Chips. It is
the Parties' intent that the Extruded Products referenced in this Consent Judgment are the kind
of potato or sweet potato based products falling within Type 4 in the "extruded, pellet, and
baked products" category in the Consent Judgment as to Defendant Snak King Corporation,
entered August 31, 2011, in *People v. Snyder's of Hanover, et al.*, Alameda County Superior
Court Case No. RG 09-455286.¹

1.5 "Settling Defendants" means World's Best Cheeses West, Inc. and/orCheezwhse.com, Inc.

"Sliced Chips" means sliced potato chips and sliced sweet potato chips.

2. INTRODUCTION

1.6

2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), on the one hand, and World's Best Cheeses West, Inc. and Cheezwhse.com, Inc., on the other hand. CEH and Settling Defendants (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendants as set forth in the Complaint in the above-captioned matter.

2.2 On or about November 6, 2018, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendants in which CEH alleged that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

2.3 Settling Defendants are each a business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.

¹ These products are referred to as "Group C, Type 4" products in Exhibit A to the Snak King Consent Judgment, which is available on the Attorney General's website at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/litigation.

27 28

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

2.4 On November 16, 2018, CEH filed the original complaint in the above-captioned matter. On January 18, 2019, CEH filed a First Amended complaint. On May 21, 2019, CEH filed a Second Amended complaint, naming Settling Defendants as defendants in the action.

2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the operative Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the operative Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendants.

2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Upon the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration levels ("Reformulation Levels"), such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatrograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties:

28

26

3.1.1 For Sliced Chips:

1		
2	3.1.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 281 parts	
3	per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Sliced Chips Average Level"). The Sliced Chips Average	
4	Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5 different	
5	lots of a particular type of Covered Product that is a Sliced Chip (or the maximum number of	
6	lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least sixty (60) days.	
7	3.1.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Sliced	
8	Chips shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken	
9	from the individual unit being tested (the "Sliced Chips Unit Level").	
10	3.1.2 For Extruded Products:	
11	3.1.2.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 350	
12	ppb by weight (the "Extruded Products Average Level"). The Extruded Products Average Level	
13	is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5 different lots of a	
14	particular type of Covered Product that is an Extruded Product (or the maximum number of lots	
15	available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least sixty (60) days.	
16	3.1.2.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of	
17	Extruded Products shall not exceed 490 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite	
18	sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Extruded Products Unit Level").	
19	3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings. A Covered Product purchased, manufactured,	
20	shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant may, as an alternative to meeting the	
21	reformulation levels set forth in Section 3.1, be sold or offered for sale in California with a Clear	
22	and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of this Section 3.2. A Clear and	
23	Reasonable Warning may only be provided for Covered Products that Settling Defendant	
24	reasonably believes do not meet the Reformulation Levels. A Clear and Reasonable Warning	
25	under this Agreement shall state:	
26		

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including acrylamide, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product, on the packaging of the Covered Product, or on a placard or sign provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard or sign where the Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which specific Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between that Covered Product and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. The warning requirements set forth herein are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment, and are recognized by the Parties as not being the exclusive manner of providing a warning for the Covered Products. Warnings may be provided as specified in the Proposition 65 regulations for food in effect as of the Effective Date (Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25601, et seq.) or as such regulations may be amended in the future.

2 4.

ENFORCEMENT

4.1 General Enforcement Provisions. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3 by Settling Defendants shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.5 if applicable.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment by CEH.

4.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH identifies a Covered Product that was sold or offered for sale to California consumers by Settling Defendants with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date more than 6 months after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the applicable Unit Level, and which lacks a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with Section 3.2, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

8

4.2.2 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation</u>.

4.2.2.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendants, and must be served within thirty (30) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendants, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional thirty (30) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after
effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendants shall provide written

notice to CEH whether they elect to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendants may have up to an additional thirty (30) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendants' good faith efforts, Settling Defendants are unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

4.2.4 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which Settling Defendants are relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available acrylamide test data for Covered Products with the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products"). If a Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.5, it shall notify the other party and promptly provide all such data or information to the party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

4.2.5 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and 16 Settling Defendants shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within fifteen (15) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendants may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling 20 Defendants shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If 23 24 no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within fifteen (15) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. The parties may extend this fifteen (15) day time period by stipulation. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

25

26

are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to an order by the Court requiring Settling Defendants to implement corrective action to remedy any violations of this Consent Judgment. In the event CEH proves a violation of Section 3 in an enforcement proceeding, the Court in its discretion may order that Settling Defendants cease selling any affected Covered Products in California without a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Proposition 65. In any enforcement proceeding regarding this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants may assert any and all defenses that are available.

4.2.6 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendants elect to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, they shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

4.2.6.1 Settling Defendants shall include in their Notice of Election a 11 detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that they have 12 13 undertaken or propose to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Noticed Covered Products will not be 14 thereafter be sold or offered for sale in California without a Clear and Reasonable Warning 15 pursuant to Section 3.2. Settling Defendants shall make available to CEH for inspection and 16 copying records of non-privileged correspondence sufficient to show market withdrawal of 17 and/or the provision of warnings on the Noticed Covered Products to the extent they have such 18 documents on file. If the Notice of Violation is based on a violation of the Unit Level with 19 respect to a single Covered Product, Settling Defendants may be excused from the corrective 20 21 action obligation described in the foregoing (but not the monetary payments, if any, required by this Section 4) if Settling Defendants produce test results and other evidence that: (1) 22 demonstrates that the acrylamide levels found by CEH in the unit alleged to be in violation is 23 24 an aberration; and (2) otherwise provides reasonable assurance that the remainder of the Noticed Covered Products, aside from the unit alleged to be in violation, comply with the 25 applicable Reformulation Levels. The Parties agree that this Section 4.2.6.1 is satisfied if 26 Settling Defendants can demonstrate that the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Violation satisfies the applicable Average Level. However, to avail itself of this provision, Settling Defendants must provide CEH with all non-privileged acrylamide test data in their possession, custody, or control pertaining to the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation that was performed within the year prior to the date of the Notice of Election. If there is a dispute over whether Settling Defendants are excused from the corrective action, Settling Defendants and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first year following the Effective Date.

4.2.6.2 10 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, or third Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendants under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully 11 12 contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendants shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of 13 Violation. If Settling Defendants have received more than three (3) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendants shall 14 pay \$25,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendants produce with their Notice of 15 Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave 16 Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same type of Covered Product; and 17 (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the applicable Unit Level, then any payment under 18 this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by 19 seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for 20 21 any subsequent Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendants are excused from the corrective action obligation pursuant to Section 4.2.6.1, then Settling Defendants shall pay \$2,500 for that 22 Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendants be obligated to pay more than 23 24 \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued. Nothing in Section 4.2.6.1 25 (addressing non-contested violations) shall impact the Court's authority in an enforcement 26 proceeding to impose appropriate remedies for any contested Notices of Violation. 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

4.2.7 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.

4.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendants have received three (3) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to an order requiring that Settling Defendants cease selling any affected Covered Products in California without a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Proposition 65. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendants for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendants and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendants can undertake to prevent future alleged violations. In any enforcement proceeding regarding this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants may assert any and all defenses that are available.

5. **PAYMENTS**

5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendants.** Within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall pay the total sum of \$38,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.

5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendants shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendants to CEH in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendants shall be allocated as set forth	
2	below between the following categories and made payable as follows:	
3	5.2.1 \$4,928 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §	
4	25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health &	
5	Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of	
6	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of	
7	the civil penalty payment for \$3,696 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with	
8	taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:	
9	For United States Postal Service Delivery:	
10	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief	
11	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B	
12	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010	
13	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:	
14	Attn: Mike Gyurics	
15	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment	
16	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814	
17		
18	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,232 shall be made payable to the Center	
19	for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.	
20	This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San	
21	Francisco, CA 94117.	
22	5.2.2 \$3,692 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant	
23	to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204.	
24	CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following	
25	purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH	
26	programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic	
27	chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure	
28	to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health	
	11	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – WORLD'S BEST CHEESES WEST, INC., ET AL. – CASE NO. RG 18-928947	

impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$29,380 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$24,985 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$4,395 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

15

6.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.

6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

23

24

25

26

27

7.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under
Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on
behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendants and each entity's parents,
subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees,

agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.

7.2 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself and its agents, successors, and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.

7.3 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.

21

8.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROVISION OF NOTICE

8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com

8.2 When any Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this

Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

Kendra Tietjen Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP 525 Market Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 kendra.tietjen@wilsonelser.com

Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. **COURT APPROVAL**

9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendants, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.

9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. **GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION**

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. **ATTORNEYS' FEES**

11.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendants prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding, Settling Defendants may be awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery

Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

5 12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all 6 prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are 7 8 hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral 9 10 or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically 11 contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the 12 13 Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are 14 expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of 15 this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound 16 thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall 17 constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such 18 waiver constitute a continuing waiver. 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14.

AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity other than Settling Defendants on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

16. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

16.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendants, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,

AND DECREED

21	
22	Dated:Judge of the Superior Court
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	16
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – WORLD'S BEST CHEESES WEST, INC., ET AL. – CASE NO. RG 18-928947

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

2		
3	Dated: February 12, 2021	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4 5		
6		Michael 6
7		Signature
8		Michael Green
9		Printed Name
0		CEO
1		Title
12	Dated:, 2021	WORLD'S BEST CHEESES WEST, INC.
13		
14 15		Signature
16		
17		Printed Name
18		
19		Title
20		
21	Dated:, 2021	CHEEZWHSE.COM, INC.
22		
23		Signature
24		
25 26		Printed Name
27		
28		Title
		17

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated:, 2021	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
	Signature
	Printed Name
	Title
Dated: 2/9,2021	
Dated: $$, 2021	WORLD'S BEST CHEESES WEST, INC.
	STA
and the second se	Signature
	Stephen GellerL
	Printed Name
	Executive Vice president
	Title
Dated: 2/9, 2021	CHEEZWHSE.COM, INC.
	Storet
200 A	Signature
	Stephen GellerL
	Printed Name
	executive Vice president
	Title
	17
1 / CONSENT JUDGMENT – WORLD'S BEST CHEESES WEST, INC., ET AL. – CASE NO. RG 18-928947	

1

.