1 2	Evan Smith (SBN 242352) BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC. 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900				
3	Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160				
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff Gabriel Espinosa				
5					
6	Andre A. Khansari (SBN 223528) KHANSARI LAW CORPORATION				
7	11845 Olympic Blvd., Suite 1000 Los Angeles, CA 90064				
8	Tel: (424) 248-6688 Fax: (424) 248-6689				
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC				
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA				
12	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA				
13	GABRIEL ESPINOSA and CA CITIZEN PROTECTION GROUP, LLC, a California	Case No.: RG19007043			
14	limited liability company,	CONSENT JUDGMENT			
15	Plaintiffs,	Judge: Paul D. Herbert Dept.: 20			
16	v.	Hearing Date: August 19, 2020 Hearing Time: 3:00 PM			
17	DGL GROUP, LTD.,	Reservation #: R-2184289			
18	Defendant.				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
1	II				

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 **The Parties.** This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiffs Gabriel Espinosa ("Espinosa") and CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC ("CACPG"), acting on behalf of the public interest (collectively "Plaintiffs") and DGL Group Ltd. ("DGL") with Plaintiffs and DGL collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party."
 - 1.1.1 Plaintiff Espinosa is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.
 - 1.1.2 Plaintiff CACPG is an organization dedicated to reducing the amount of chemical toxins in consumer products, the promotion of human health, environmental safety, and improvement of worker and consumer safety.
 - 1.1.3 Defendant DGL is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Plaintiffs allege that DGL has exposed individuals to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) and diisononyl phthalate (DINP) from its sales of headphones without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. DEHP is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity. DINP is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.
- 1.3 **Notices of Violation/Complaint.** On or about November 8, 2018, Espinosa served DGL, and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Espinosa Notice"), alleging that DGL violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that headphones expose users in California to DEHP.
 - 1.3.1 On November 17, 2018, CA Citizen Protection Group served DGL, and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "Sixty-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violations of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986"

("CCPG Notice"), alleging that DGL violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that Folding Fathead Stereo Headphones expose users in California to DEHP and DINP.

- 1.3.2 On February 15, 2019, Espinosa filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter.
 - 1.3.3 On March 16, 2020, a First Amended Complaint was filed which adds CA Citizen Protection Group as a plaintiff and adds the allegations contained in the CCPG Notice.
 - 1.3.4 No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Espinosa Notice and/or the CCPG Notice.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over DGL as to the allegations contained in the Complaint and First Amended Complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint and First Amended Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Espinosa Notice and CCPG Notice.
- Notice, Complaint and First Amended Complaint. DGL maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by DGL of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by DGL of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by DGL. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of DGL under this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 **Covered Products.** The term "Covered Products" means headphones, including, but not limited to Hype Cat Ears LED headphones and Folding Fatheads Stereo headphones that are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California by DGL.
- 2.2 **Effective Date.** The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS

- Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Covered Products that DGL directly manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California shall either: (a) be Reformulated Products pursuant to Section 3.2, below; or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Sections 3.3 and 3.4, below.
 - 3.1.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a "Reformulated Product" is a Covered Product that is in compliance with the standard set forth in Section 3.2 below.
 - 3.1.2 The warning requirement set forth in Sections 3.3 and 3.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product.
- 3.2 **Reformulation Standard.** "Reformulated Products" shall mean Covered Products that contain concentrations less than or equal to 0.1% (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) of DEHP and less than or equal to 0.1% (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) of DINP when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining the phthalate content in a solid substance. DGL may rely on its suppliers' test results.
- 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warning. As of the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this Section 3.3 and Section 3.4 must be provided for all Covered Products that DGL manufacturers, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California that is not a Reformulated Product. There shall be no obligation for DGL to provide a warning for Covered Products that enter the stream of commerce prior to the

Effective Date. The warning shall consist of either the Warning or Alternative Warning described in Sections 3.3(a) or (b), respectively:

Warning. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement: (a)

MARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including di(2ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Alternative Warning: DGL may, but is not required to, use the alternative short-(b) form warning as set forth in this Section 3.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:

⚠ WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov. 1

A Warning or Alternative Warning provided pursuant to Section 3.3 must print the word "WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING." The warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or electronic device or automatic process, providing that the warning is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. A warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings.

23

24

25

26

27 28

above.

is required under Section 3.3 above contain DINP concentrations in excess of 1,000 ppm, and do not contain DEHP in concentrations in excess of 1,000 ppm, DGL shall substitute DINP for DEHP in the Warning shown in Section 3.3(a) above. In this event, DGL shall delete the phrase

"and birth defects or other reproductive harm" from the Warning in Section 3.3(a), and shall

delete the phrase "and Reproductive Harm" from the Alternative Warning of Section 3.3(b)

To the extent DGL determines that the Covered Products for which a warning statement

If DGL sells Covered Products via an internet website to customers located in California, the warning requirements of this section shall be satisfied if the foregoing warning appears either:

(a) on the same web page on which a Covered Product is displayed and/or described; (b) on the same page as the price for the Covered Product; or (c) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser prior to purchase during the checkout process. Alternatively, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow or white equilateral triangle may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, price, or checkout listing of the Covered Product, if the warning statement appears elsewhere on the same web page in a manner that clearly associates it with the product(s) to which the warning applies.

3.5 Compliance with Warning Regulations. DGL shall be deemed to be in compliance with the warning requirements of this Consent Judgment by either adhering to Sections 3.3 and 3.4 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with any of the safe harbor warning requirements as set forth in the regulations of the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and in effect after the Effective Date.

4. MONETARY TERMS

- 4.1 **Total Amount of Settlement Payment.** The total settlement amount to be paid by DGL is \$25,000.00.
- 4.2 **Civil Penalty.** DGL shall pay \$2,000.00 as a Civil Penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code section 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the Civil Penalty remitted to Plaintiffs, as provided by California Health & Safety Code section 25249.12(d).
- 4.1.1 Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, DGL shall issue three (3) separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00; (b) "Brodsky & Smith, LLC in Trust for Espinosa" in the amount of \$250.00, and (c) "Khansari Law Corp in trust for CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC" in the amount of \$250.00. Payment owed to Plaintiffs pursuant to this Section shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Evan J. Smith, Esquire Brodsky & Smith, LLC Two Bala Plaza, Suite 510 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Payment owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) pursuant to this Section shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following address(es):

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814

A copy of the check payable to OEHHA shall be mailed to Brodsky & Smith, LLC at the address set forth above as proof of payment to OEHHA.

- 4.3 Attorneys' Fees Paid to Counsel for Espinosa. Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, DGL shall pay \$16,500.00 to Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") as complete reimbursement for Espinosa's attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to DGL's attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.
- 4.4 Attorneys' Fees Paid to Counsel for CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC. Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, DGL shall pay \$6,500.00 to Khansari Law Corporation as complete reimbursement for CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC's attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to DGL's attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

28

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Plaintiffs acting on their own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and DGL, and its parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents. attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain, or in the past have obtained, and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell, or in the past have directly or indirectly distributed or sold, Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members, including but not limited to 1616 Holdings, Inc., formerly known as Five below, Inc., Five Below Merchandising, Inc., Marshalls of MA, Inc., The TJX Companies, Inc. and each of their parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP and DINP from Covered Products as set forth in the Espinosa Notice, CCPG Notice, the Complaint and First Amended Complaint, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by DGL prior to the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other person or entity, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Complaint or the First Amended Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Espinosa Notice and CCPG Notice against DGL, Defendant Releasees and/or the Downstream Releasees of the Covered Products ("Proposition 65 Claims"). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Covered Products.

5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in their representative capacity, hereby waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly,

any form of legal action and releases DGL, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by DGL, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Espinosa and CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC hereby specifically waive any and all rights and benefits which they now have, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

5.3 DGL waives any and all claims against Plaintiffs, their attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Plaintiffs and their attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

6. INTEGRATION

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then DGL

10. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(f)/COURT</u> APPROVAL

- 10.1 Plaintiffs agree to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment. DGL agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.
- 10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within thirty (30) days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.
- 10.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

11. MODIFICATION

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

12. <u>ATTORNEY'S FEES</u>

- 12.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 12.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

14. AUTHORIZATION

14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this

1 2 3 4	document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.		
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
6		n June 16, 2020	
7	Date:	Date: Julie 10, 2020	
8	By: GABRIEL ESPINOSA	Date: June 16, 2020 By: DGL GROUP LTD.	
9	AGREED TO:		
10	AGREED TO.		
11	Date:		
12	By:CA CITIZEN PROTECTION GROUP,		
13	CA CITIZEN PROTECTION GROUP, LLC		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DEC	DEED.	
15 16	II IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DEC	KEED:	
17	Dated:		
18		Judge of Superior Court	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1	2	

1 2 3 4	document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.			
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:		
6 7	Date: 12/10/197	Date:		
8	By: GABRIEL ESPINOSA	By: DGL GROUP LTD.		
9	AGREED TO:			
11	Date:			
12				
13	By:CA CITIZEN PROTECTION GROUP, LLC			
14 15	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:			
16	11 15 50 OKDERED, ADVODGED MAD DEC.			
17	Dated:			
18		Judge of Superior Court		
19				
20				
21				
22	5.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
2728				
ں ہے	12	2		

1 2 3 4	document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to executhe Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.			
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:		
6	Date:	Date:		
7				
8	GABRIEL ESPINOSA	By:DGL GROUP LTD.		
9	AGREED TO:			
10	TOTALL TO			
11	Date: 12/9/19	=		
12				
13	By: <i>Tal Ohana</i> CA CITIZEN PROTECTION GROUP, LLC	_		
14				
15	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DEC	REED:		
16				
17	Dated:	Judge of Superior Court		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	12			