1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10	TOR THE COUNTY C	J. ALAMEDA
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. RG 19-001951
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO GOOD FOOD,
13	V.	INC.
14	TOTAL SWEETENERS, INC., et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – GOOD FOOD, I	NC. – CASE NO. RG 19-001951

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center For Environmental Health ("CEH") and Good Food, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers the lead content of molasses that is sold by Settling Defendant as a finished product and then offered for sale to residents of the State of California ("Covered Products").
- 1.2 On November 30, 2018, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation that manufactures, distributes, sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On January 9, 2019, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter. On August 8, 2019, CEH amended the Complaint naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in the action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products distributed or sold by Settling Defendant.
 - 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the

Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The "Effective Date" is the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.2 The "Lead Level" shall mean a concentration level of no more than 35 parts per billion ("ppb") Lead by weight.
- 2.3 The "Acrylamide Level" shall mean a concentration level of no more than 325 parts per billion ("ppb") acrylamide by weight.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Products. While the Complaint does not contain allegations regarding acrylamide in Covered Products, the parties have agreed and this Consent Judgment requires that Settling Defendant will provide Proposition 65 warnings for Covered Products that exceed the Acrylamide Level in addition to Proposition 65 warnings for Covered Products that exceed the Lead Level. Accordingly, as of the date forth-five (45) days after the Effective Date, no Covered Product that:
 - contains Lead in a concentration exceeding the Lead Level; or
- contains acrylamide in a concentration exceeding the Acrylamide Level,
 shall be sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant unless Settling Defendant provides a clear
 and reasonable warning as further specified in this Section 3.
- 3.2 **Warning Language**. The warning required by Section 3.1 for Covered Products shall state the following:

3.4 **Internet Sales**. With respect to internet sales of Covered Products, the warning language set forth in Section 3.2 must be prominently displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Product being sold prior to the authorization of or actual payment by the purchaser. For purposes of this Section 3.4, the warning language is not prominently displayed if the customer must search for the warning language in the general content of Settling Defendant's website or if a reasonable consumer cannot determine the specific Covered Product to which the warning applies. If the product display page contains other warnings or nutritional information in a language other than English, the warning language set forth in Section 3.2 must also be displayed in that language in addition to English.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **Enforcement Procedures.** This Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction over all matters regarding enforcement of the Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce Proposition 65 or the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** On or before ten days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$50,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four (4) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be

1	subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each
2	day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1
3	The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys'
4	fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The
5	funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following
6	categories and made payable as follows:
7	5.2.1 A civil penalty in the amount of \$8,400 pursuant to Health & Safety Code
8	§25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety
9	Code §25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental
10	Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty
11	payment for \$6,300 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification
12	number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
13	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
14	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
15	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
16	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
17	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics
18	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
19	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814
20	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,100 shall be made
21	payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification
22	number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero
23	Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
24	5.2.2 An Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH in the amount of
25	\$6,300 pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title
26	11, §3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support
27	CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about toxic chemicals in food, to
28	-6-

work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to toxic chemicals in food and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to Lead and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.1 Settling Defendant shall pay \$35,300 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made by check payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver four (4) checks made out to the payees in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$6,300	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$2,100	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$6,300	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$35,300	LLG

5.3 **Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations.** Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and California Code of Civil Procedure §780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may obtain an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit to a Debtors Exam. In the event that Settling Defendant

6.1

6.2

7.2

modify the Consent Judgment.

Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

4 5

> 6 7

8

9

10

7.

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees including but not limited to Webstaurant Store, Inc. ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under

Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever

Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or

public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in Covered

Products sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the

discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream

fails to submit to any such Debtors Exam ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding

express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this

shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to

Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by

Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment

1	7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under
2	Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant,
3	Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with
4	Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant
5	Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products sold by
6	Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.
7	7.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment covers or releases a Downstream Defendant
8	Releasee from any liability that may arise when a Covered Product is used as an ingredient in a
9	different product such as a cookie.
10	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE
11	8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
12	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
13	Eric S. Somers
14	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
15	San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com
16	
17	8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
18	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
19	Jack Henning Dillingham & Murphy, LLP
20	601 Montgomery Street, Suite 1900
21	San Francisco, CA 94111 <u>JCH@dillinghammurphy.com</u>
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	

CONSENT JUDGMENT - GOOD FOOD, INC. - CASE NO. RG 19-001951

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1 sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

13.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

1	1 15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT	
2	15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized	
3	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and	
4	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.	
5	16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS	
6	16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim	
7	against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in	
8	this Consent Judgment.	
9	9 17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS	
10	17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to	
11		
12	constitute one document.	
13	13 14 11 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
14		
15		
16		
17	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Document Prepared	-12- CONSENT JUDGMENT – GOOD FOOD, INC. – CASE NO. RG 19-001951	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGIVIENT – GOOD FOOD, INC. – CASE NO. KG 19-001951	

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated:, 2020 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	
4	HEALTH	
5	Martin Comments	
7	Signature	
8	Michael Green	
9	Printed Name	
10	CEO	
11	Title	
12		
13	Dated: 4-/, 2020 GOOD FOOD, INC.	
14	1.0	
15	Shown Sensenie	
16	Signature	
. 17	Chara Conto	
18	Shown Sensenia Printed Name	
19		
20	Title COO	
21		
22		
23		
24		
. 25		
26		
27		
28	-13-	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – GOOD FOOD, INC. – CASE NO. RG 19-001951	