1	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582)	
2	225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101	
3	Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 393-0154	
4	Email: noam@glicklawgroup.com	
5	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)	
6	Ethan T. Litney (SBN 295603) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900	
7	San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492	
8	Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org Email: elitney@nicholaslaw.org Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9		
10	Kim Embry	
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
14	KIM EMBRY, an individual,	Case No.: RG19010129
15	Plaintiff,	
16	V.	SECOND REVISED [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO
17	DIVAN LOUNGE dba MINA LOUNGE, a	DEFENDANT DIVAN LOUNGE
18	California corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et. seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
19	Defendants.	Code Civ. 1100. § 00 1.0)
20	Detendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Kim Embry ("Embry"), Everest Industries, Inc. (erroneously sued as its d/b/as, "Divan Lounge" and "Mina Lounge") ("Mina"), and its owners, Solaiman Mesdaq ("Mesdaq") and Sabri Biyik ("Biyik") (collectively "Owners") (Mesdaq, Biyik, and Mina are collectively referred to as "Defendant"), with Embry, Mesdaq and Mina individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Embry is an individual in California, acting in the interest of the general public. She seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances.

1.3 Defendant

Plaintiff alleges Mina employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). Mesdaq and Biyik are the owners of Mina.

1.4 General Allegations

Embry alleges that Defendant failed to inform the People of exposures to carcinogens and/or reproductive toxicants listed on the Proposition 65 list. The toxicants that customers are exposed to in Defendant's place of business may include but are not limited to: tobacco smoke, carbon monoxide (CO), arsenic, 1,3-butadiene, hexavalent chromium, formaldehyde, cadmium, lead, nickel, nicotine, benzene, quinoline, benzofuran, ethylbenzene, and/or styrene. ("Toxicants"). Embry further alleges that Defendant allows customers to be exposed to these Toxicants by willingly providing materials used for hookah smoking, including charcoals which harbor carcinogens and reproductive toxins without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related regulations ("Product(s)"). Pursuant to Proposition 65, carbon monoxide is listed as a chemical known to cause developmental/reproductive harm. Defendant denies that warnings are required under Proposition 65 for any exposures to carbon monoxide or other Toxicants in the Product, and Defendant

9 10

11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23

25

24

27

26

28

maintains that it has complied with all applicable federal and state laws, including but not limited to Proposition 65.

1.5 **Product Description**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment "Product" or "Products" are defined as hookahs, and any other single- or multi-stemmed instrument for vaporizing, burning, or smoking tobacco, charcoal, fuel, coals, coils, stems, hoses, bowls, valves, trays, filters, adapters, shisha, alfahker, al fakher, mu'assel, or any other products used, operated, maintained, imported, sold, or distributed by Defendant for the purposes of smoking tobacco in California by Defendant and Releasees, defined infra.

1.6 **Notice of Violation**

On October 5, 2018 Embry served Defendant, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to Toxicants customers are exposed to in Defendant's place of business or by use of its Products.

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On March 8, 2019, Embry filed a Complaint against Defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

By stipulating to the entry of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Defendant does not admit that is has violated, or threatened to violate, Proposition 65 or any other law or legal duty.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment, subject to subsequent modifications thereof or Court orders regarding any such obligation, responsibility, and/or duty.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date and Compliance Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 5.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

Defendant shall prominently place signage in its location meeting all the requirements of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, and Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, section 25600 et seq.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

Defendant shall pay fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notices, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs in the amount of forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and Health and Safety Code section 25249 et seq.

3.2 Civil Penalty

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to Embry.

1	All payments owed to Embry, shall be delivered to the following payment address:	
2	Noam Glick	
3	Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 2100	
	San Diego, CA 92101	
4	All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo	
5	line "Prop 65 Penalties) at the following addresses:	
6		
7	For United States Postal Delivery:	
8	Mike Gyuries	
	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief	
9	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment	
10	P.O Box 4010	
11	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010	
12		
13	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:	
14		
	Mike Gyuries	
15	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief	
16	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment	
17	1001 I Street	
	Sacramento, CA 95814	
18	Defendant agrees to provide Embry's counsel with a copy of all checks payable to OEHHA	
19	simultaneous with its payment to OEHHA	
20	The Parties will exchange completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other forms as required. Relevant	
21	information for Glick Law Group and N&T are set out below:	
22	• "Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at address provided in Section 3.2;	
23	• "Nicholas & Tomasevic" (EIN: 46-3474065) at address provided in Section 3.3; and	
24	 "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment at 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 	
25	95814.	
26	3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs	
27	The portion of the settlement attributable to attorney's fees and costs shall be paid to Embry's	
28	counsel, who are entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by her in this action, including but not	

For any claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about

28

exposures Toxicants from Products or related products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Defendant or used on Defendant's premises prior to the Effective Date, Embry, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendant of any and all liability. This includes Defendant's owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, its directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products, including but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees, (collectively, the "Releasees"). Releasees include defendant, its parent, and all subsidiaries and affiliates thereof and their respective employees, agents, and assigns that sell Defendant's Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to Toxicants from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Defendant or used on Defendant's premises after the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of any claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about exposures Toxicants from Product that were or could have been asserted against Defendant and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposures to Toxicants contained in Products.

4.2 Embry's Individual Release of Claims

Embry, in her individual capacity, also provides a release to Defendant and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of as well as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands by Embry of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to Toxicants in Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Defendant before the Effective Date.

4.3 Defendant's Release of Embry

Defendant, on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Embry and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Embry and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it, in this matter or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully submitted to the Court by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then Defendant may provide written notice to Embry of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Defendant:	For Embry:
Matthew Soleimanpour, Esq. Soleiman APC 5771 La Jolla Blvd., Suite 4	Noam Glick, Esq. Glick Law Group, PC 225 Broadway, 21st Floor
La Jolla, CA 92037	San Diego, CA 92101

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Embry agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Embry shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

13. ENFORCEMENT

Mina, Solaiman Mesdaq, and Sabri Biyik agree they shall be jointly and severally liable for all payments required under this Consent Judgment (See Sections 3.1-3.4). In any action to enforce the payment provision of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

27 ///

28 ///

GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES 14. If a dispute arises with respect to any Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. [Rest of page intentionally left blank]

2 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, 3 4 commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or 5 implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral 6 or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party. 7 8 AGREED TO BY PLAINTIFF AGREED TO BY DEFENDANT 9 **KIM EMBRY: EVEREST INDUSTRIES, INC.:** 10 Date: November 17, 2020 11 12 By: By: 13 Kim Embry Solaiman Mesdag For Everest Industries, Inc. 14 (Sued as Divan Lounge) 15 16 AGREED TO BY SOLAIMAN MESDAQ: AGREED TO BY SABRI BIYIK: 17 18 19 20 21 Solaiman Mesdac Sabri Biyik 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 Date: 26 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 27 28

1

15.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT