1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10			
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	) Case No. RG 19010030	
13	Plaintiff,	) ) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
14	V.	RE: CONN-SELMER, INC.	
15	CONN-SELMER, INC., et al.,	)	
16	Defendants.	)	
17		) )	
18		)	
19			
20	1. INTRODUCTION		
21	1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgmen	nt are the Center for Environmental Health, a	
22	California non-profit corporation ("CEH") and Conn-Selmer, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH		
23	and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims		
24	asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint")		
25	in the above-captioned matter.		
26	1.2 On or about December 21, 2018, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of		
27	Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in		
28	1		

California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead contained mouthpieces used with brass instruments without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On March 7, 2019, CEH filed the original Complaint in the above-captioned matter naming Settling Defendant as a defendant. On March 20, 2019, CEH filed an amended complaint.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

## 2. **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 The "Complaint" means the operative complaint in the above-captioned matter.

or shelf tag for the Covered Product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be provided in black and white, consistent with section 25603(a) of the Proposition 65 Regulations. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. The warning statement must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. In the event that subsequent revisions to Proposition 65's warning regulations set forth in in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600, *et seq.*, preclude the use of the warning language set forth herein, Settling Defendant shall utilize a warning consistent with the new regulations, upon providing prior written notice to CEH.

3.2.2 **Election to Warn Permanently**. If Settling Defendant is unable to comply with the Reformulation provision set forth in Section 3.1 or otherwise elect to permanently avail themselves of the warning option provided by this Section 3.2, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH prior to the Compliance Date, and Settling Defendant concurrently shall make the additional payment specified in Section 5.2.4 below. Settling Defendant shall then continue to provide warnings in accordance with this Section 3.2 for each Covered Product sold in California.

## 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a notice setting forth the factual basis for the alleged violation of Section 3 ("Notice of Violation"). The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it

informally. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement				
motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment				
shall be entitled to its fees and costs associated with the motion. In addition, should CEH prevail				
on a motion to enforce the Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall pay \$10,000 to be				
allocated between a civil penalty and an additional settlement payment ("Additional Settlement				
Payment" or "ASP") as follows: 57% to civil penalties and 43% to ASP. In the event that				
Settling Defendant does not contest CEH's Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall pay				
\$3,500 to be divided between a Civil Penalty and Additional Settlement Payment in accordance				
with the allocation set forth above. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more				
than \$25,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar				
year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.				
5. PAYMENTS				
5.1 <b>Payments by Settling Defendant.</b> Within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective				
Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$115,000 as a settlement payment as further				
set forth in this Section.				
5.2 <b>Allocation of Payments.</b> The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall				
be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth				
below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the				
following categories and made payable as follows:				
5.2.1 \$13,000 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §				

Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$9,750 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$3,250 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$9,720 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics and Youth Fund and use them to: (1) support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about lead and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth; (2) expand its use of social media to communicate with Californians about the risks of exposures to lead in the products they and their children use and about ways to reduce those exposures; and (3) work with industries that market products to youth to reduce exposures to lead and other toxic chemicals, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposures to lead and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$92,280 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks

as follows: (a) \$78,100 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$14,180 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$9,750	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$3,250	Lexington Law Group
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$9,720	Lexington Law Group
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$78,100	Lexington Law Group
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$14,180	Lexington Law Group

5.2.5 If Settling Defendant avails itself of the permanent warning option provided for by Section 3.2, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$29,000 to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth herein, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.2. Of the additional payment, \$16,600 shall be a civil penalty, which shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$12,450 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment for \$4,150 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. The remaining \$12,400 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2.2 above. Both

Francisco, CA 94117.

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law 5.2.6 and Code of Civil Procedure § 780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under this Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may seek an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit to a debtor's examination in the Alameda County Superior Court. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such debtor's examination ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San

### MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION 6.

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice**; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including but not limited to Guitar Center, Inc. and Sam Ash Music Corporation), franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn

Michael Scott Feeley LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 100 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560

Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

## 9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 The Parties shall use their reasonable best efforts to support the Court's approval of the Consent Judgment and entry of the Consent Judgment as soon as practicable. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion CEH shall draft and file and Settling Defendant shall support, appearing at the hearing if so requested.
- 9.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and, if possible, prior to the hearing on the Motion for Court Approval.
- 9.3 This Consent Judgement shall not be effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one (1) year after it has been fully executed by the Parties. If, despite the Parties' best efforts, the Court does not approve this settlement and enter a Consent Judgment thereon within nine (9) months of the date the Consent Judgment is executed by the Parties, the Parties shall meet to try and resolve the matter amicably. If such resolution cannot be reached, the settlement between the Parties is null and void and of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

## 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

## 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application

# 6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 **15.** MOST FAVORED NATION

arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of 11.2 sanctions pursuant to law.

### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

### 13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

### 14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

15.1 If CEH enters into any consent judgment (a "Settlement Document") with any other similarly situated entity with respect to mouthpieces used with brass musical instruments, then CEH shall as soon as practicable provide notice thereof to Settling Defendant. In the event that Settling Defendant believes that such Settlement Document contains provisions that are more favorable to the defendant than those contained herein, Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment in accordance with the provisions of Section 6 above. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section 16 will apply to a monetary remedy contained or offered in any Settlement Document.

## 16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

16.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

## [SIGNATURE PAGE TO FOLLOW]

1 2	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.			
3 4	Dated:	Judge of the Superior Court		
5 6 7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	LATED:		
8	Dated: 7/25, 2019	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
9		Medialt		
11		Michael Green		
12		CEO		
13 14	Dated:, 2019	CONN-SELMER, INC.		
15				
16		Signature Jennifer Wang		
17 18		General Counsel		
19				
20				
21 22				
23				
24		· ·		
25				
26 27				
28		13		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CONN-SELMER, INC. – CASE NO. RG19010030			

1	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.			
2	AND DECREED.			
3	Data			
4	Dated:	Judge of the Superior Court		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	IS SO STIPLIL ATED:		
6	II 18 SU STIFULATED:			
7 8	Dated:, 2019	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
9	, 2017	CENTER OF EACH CONTRACT CONTRA		
10				
11		Michael Green		
12		CEO		
13				
14	Dated: July 24 , 2019	CONN-SELMER, INC.		
15		1111		
16		Signature		
17		Jennifer Wang General Counsel		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27 28				
DOCUMENT PREPARE ON RECYCLED PAPE	ED 13			