1 2 3	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069	
4	Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC	
6	THOMES IN THIMITH, THE SEED, EDC	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF LOS ANGELES
9		
10	APS&EE, LLC, a limited liability company,	) CASE NO. 19STCV13113
11	Plaintiff,	() [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO VALUE SOURCE, INC., DBA
12	V.	COASTLINE IMPORTS
13	COASTLINE IMPORTS, INC., a corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	Judge: Hon. Michael P. Linfield Dept.: 34
14 15	Defendants.	Compl. Filed: April 15, 2019
16		Unlimited Jurisdiction
17		,
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	/// /// /// /// ///	
25	///	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	///	
27	///	
28		1

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO VALUE SOURCE, INC., DBA COASTLINE IMPORTS

### 1. RECITALS

#### 1.1 The Parties

- 1.1.1 This Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between Plaintiff APS&EE, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "APS&EE") and Defendant Value Source, Inc., dba Coastline Imports ("Defendant" or "Coastline"), with Plaintiff and Defendant each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.1.2 Plaintiff is an organization based in California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.
- **1.1.3** Defendant is a corporation and a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

# 1.2 Allegations

APS&EE alleges that Coastline manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold and/or offered to sell mugs with exterior decorations including, but not limited to, the *Stechcol Impressions Mug #2040, 3040, 1118, 2051072* (hereinafter the "Products") in the State of California, causing users in California to be exposed to lead, without providing a "clear and reasonable warning" required by Proposition 65. Lead is listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

On January 3, 2019, APS&EE issued a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice"), along with a Certificate of Merit, to Coastline, Tuesday Morning, Inc., and various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. On April 15, 2019, Plaintiff, acting in the public interest, filed the instant action in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violations of Proposition 65, and on April 16, 2019, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint clarifying the alleged violations of Proposition 65 (the "Complaint").

#### 1.3 No Admissions

Defendant denies all the material, factual and legal allegations in Plaintiff's Notice and Complaint and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Defendant has not violated Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment, or compliance with it, shall not constitute or be construed as an admission of liability by Defendant but, to the contrary, as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.4 Jurisdiction and Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 and Proposition 65.

#### 1.5 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be five (5) business days after Plaintiff's counsel provides written notice to Defendant or its counsel that the Motion to Approve this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

### 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND REFORMULATION</u>

#### 2.1 Reformulation Standard

As of sixty (60) calendar days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, supply, sell or offer for sale in California Products that contain more than 1.0 microgram of lead per 100 square centimeter area (1.0 µg/100 cm²) based on a wipe sample collected using NIOSH Method 9100 from the part of the Product that contains the Exterior Decorations, unless the Product is accompanied by a clear and reasonable warning as described below in Section 2.2. "Exterior Decorations" is defined as all colored artwork, designs and/or markings on the exterior surface of the Products.

4

8

10

11

12 13

15

16

14

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

#### 2.2 **Proposition 65 Warnings**

When a warning is required for Products under Section 2.1, Defendant shall provide a warning substantially similar to either the following long-form or short-form warning.

Long-Form Warning:

**MARNING:** This product can expose you to [chemicals including] lead, which is[are] known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Short-Form Warning:

**MARNING:** Cancer and Reproductive Harm – www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Defendant may use "chemicals including lead" in place of "lead" in the long-form warning. The warning shall be accompanied by a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline. Where the label, labeling, package, shelf tag or sign for the Products is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING." The warning shall be provided directly on each Product or its label, labeling, package, shelf tag or sign with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase. However, if Defendant opts to use the Short-Form Warning, said warning must be provided on each Product or its label or package; providing it solely on the labeling, shelf tag or sign is insufficient.

2.2.2 Compliance with Warning Regulations. Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with the warning requirements of this Consent Judgment by either adhering to Sections 2.1 and 2.2 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with Proposition 65 warning

requirements adopted by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") after the Effective Date that pertain to such Products.<sup>1</sup>

### 2.3 Sell-through For Existing Inventory

The injunctive requirements of Section 2 shall not apply to Products that Defendant manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold and/or offered to sell prior to sixty (60) calendar days after the Effective Date, which Products are subject to the releases provided in Section 4.1.

### 3. PAYMENTS

### 3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint and this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay a total civil penalty of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00), to be apportioned in accordance with Health and Safety Code Section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$2,250.00) for OEHHA, and the remaining 25% (\$750.00) for Plaintiff.

Defendant shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$2,250.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of \$750.00. Defendant shall remit the civil penalty payments within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

Upon receipt, Plaintiff's counsel will forward delivery of Defendant's civil penalty payment to OEHHA

#### 3.2 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs

Defendant shall issue a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of twenty-two thousand dollars (\$22,000.00) as full reimbursement of Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action.

<sup>1</sup> As of the date of execution of this Consent Judgment, the warning requirements are located at 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25601, et seq.

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

Other than the payment required hereunder, each Party is to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

# 4. RELEASES

# 4.1 Plaintiff's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Plaintiff, acting in its individual capacity, and in the public interest, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, and their predecessors, successors and assignees, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees ("Defendant Releasees"), as well as each entity to whom Defendant Releasees directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees, franchisees, cooperative members, and retailers, including without limitation Tuesday Morning, Inc., and their respective parents, affiliates and subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees (all collectively, including Defendant Releasees, "Released Parties"), from any claims or allegations of violation arising under Proposition 65 pertaining to the failure to warn about exposures to lead from the Products that Defendant has distributed, supplied, and/or sold prior to sixty (60) calendar days after the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Products.

### 4.2 Defendant's Release of Plaintiff

Defendant, on its own behalf, by this Consent Judgment waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, its shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, experts, successors and assignees for reasonable actions or statements made or

undertaken in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter. If any Released Party should institute any such action, then APS&EE's release of said Released Party in this Agreement shall be rendered null and void.

### 4.3 Waiver of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with California Civil Code Section 1542 which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under California Civil Code Section 1542 or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

### 5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. Plaintiff and Defendant agree to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain the Court's approval of their settlement in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion and supporting papers Plaintiff shall timely draft and file. If any third-party objection to the motion is filed, the Parties agree to work together to file a reply.

# 6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment for any reason be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

### 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally or as to the Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

### 8. <u>NOTICES</u>

All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally, sent by valid email, or sent by first class or certified mail, addressed as follows:

TO DEFENDANT:

TO PLAINTIFF:

Malcolm C. Weiss Hunton Andrews Kurth, LLP 550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, CA 90071 mweiss@HuntonAK.com Lucas T. Novak Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069 lucas.nvk@gmail.com

# 9. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and may not be amended or modified except in writing signed by each of the Parties and approved by the Court.

## 10. COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document.

.1	Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by email, facsimile, or other electronic means	
2	shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed	
3	Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.	
4	11. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>	
5	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their	
6	11	
7		
8	Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or	
9	interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.	
10		
11	AGREED TO:	
12	Date: 5 10 2019	
13		
14	By:	
15	Authorized Officer of Value Source, Inc., dba Coastline Imports	
16		
17	AGREED TO:	
18	Date: 5/11/19	
19		
20	By: Salleyg	
21	Authorized Officer of APS&EE, LLC	
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24		
25	Dated:	
26	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
27		
- 28		
-	9	
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO	
4.3	r	

VALUE SOURCE, INC., DBA COASTLINE IMPORTS