1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 393-0154 Email: noam@glicklawgroup.com NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Jake Schulte (SBN 293777) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492 Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org Email: jschulte@nicholaslaw.org	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Kim Embry	
10		
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
14	KIM EMBRY, an individual,	Case No.: HG19023416
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS
16	v.	TO DGL GROUP, LTD.
17	DGL GROUP, LTD., a New Jersey corporation, FIVE BELOW, INC., a	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 <i>et. seq.</i> and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
18	Pennsylvania corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

# 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1.

## **INTRODUCTION**

### 1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Kim Embry ("Embry") on one hand, and DGL Group, Ltd. ("Defendant" or "DGL") on the other hand, with Embry and DGL individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Embry is a citizen of the State of California and acting in the interest of the general public. She seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

DGL employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4

# General Allegations

Embry alleges that DGL manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale in California, hands-free phone neck mounts that contain Diisononyl phthalate ("DINP"). Embry further alleges that DGL does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65. Pursuant to Proposition 65, DINP is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer. DGL denies that warnings are required under Proposition 65 for any exposures to DINP in the Products, and DGL maintains that it has complied with all applicable federal and state laws, including but not limited to Proposition 65.

# 1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Product" or "Products" are defined as phone accessories, including but not limited to Hands-free Phone Neck Mount manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by DGL.

26

## 1.6 Notice of Violation

On March 1, 2019, Embry served DGL, Five Below, Inc., the California Attorney General, and
all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health

and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq*. ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that DGL violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DINP contained in the Products.

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

On June 18, 2019, Embry filed a complaint against DGL for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice. On July 29, 2019, Embry filed a First Amended Complaint ("Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

DGL denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold and distributed in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect the Parties' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over DGL as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

### 1.10 Effective Date and Compliance Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term (1) "Effective Date" means the date on which
the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment; (2) "Compliance Date" means July
1, 2020 and is the date that DGL must be in compliance with the Injunctive Relief terms (infra) of this
Consent Judgment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

2.

## **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

### 2.1 Definitions

 (a) "Accessible Component" means any accessible part of the Product that can be mouthed, handled, or touches the body during the ordinary and customary conditions of purchase or use of the Product.

(b) "Listed Chemicals" means the following listed chemicals: Di[2-Ethylhexyl]
Phthalate (DEHP), Diisononyl Phthalate (DINP), Di-n-butyl Phthalate (DBP), Di-isodecyl Phthalate
(DIDP), Benzyl Butyl Phthalate (BBP), and Di-n-hexyl Phthalate (DnHP) each of which are listed
pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth
defects, and/or other reproductive harm.

(c) "Phthalate Free" means that each Accessible Component of the Products contains less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million (ppm) of DEHP, DINP, DBP, DIDP, BBP, and DnHP when analyzed pursuant to U.S. EPA testing methodology 3580A and 8270C or similar methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining phthalate content in a solid substance. DGL may rely on its suppliers' test results in order to determine whether the Products are Phthalate Free,

### 2.2

## 2 Reformulation Commitment

No later than July 1, 2020 (the Compliance Date), DGL shall not manufacture, import, distribute, sell or offer for sale in the State of California the Product unless it is either Phthalate Free or carries an appropriate Proposition 65 warning per Section 2.3, below.

## 2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Commencing on July 1, 2020 (the Compliance Date), DGL shall provide a clear and reasonable warning that complies with Proposition 65's warning regulations, including 27 California Code of Regulations Section 25600 *et. seq.* on the label of any Product that is not Phthalate Free and is distributed for sale in the State of California.

26

# 2.2 Grace Period for Existing Inventory of Product

The requirements of this Consent Judgment shall not apply to Products manufactured as of July 1, 2020, which Products are expressly subject to the releases provided in Section 4.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.

### MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

### 3.1 Settlement Amount

DGL shall pay fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs in the amount of forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and Health and Safety Code section 25249 *et seq*.

3.2 Civil Penalty

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to Embry. The Civil Penalty shall be due within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date.

All payments owed to Embry, shall be delivered to the following payment address:

### Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties) at the following addresses:

For United States Postal Delivery:

Mike Gyuries Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyuries Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814

DGL agrees to provide Embry's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA simultaneous with its penalty payment to Embry.

The Parties will exchange completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other forms as required by law. Relevant information for Embry, Glick Law Group and Nicholas & Tomacevic are set out below:

- "Kim Enbry" whose address and tax identification number shall be provided within five (5) days after this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties;

•

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- "Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at address provided in Section 3.2; and
- "Nicholas & Tomasevic" (EIN: 46-3474065) at address provided in Section 3.3.

### 3.3 **Attorney's Fees and Costs**

The portion of the settlement attributable to attorney's fees and costs shall be paid to Embry's counsel, who are entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by her in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to DGL's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

DGL shall provide its payment to Embry's counsel in eight monthly payments of five thousand six hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$5,625), commencing thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter for seven months. For example, if the Effective Date is June 1<sup>st</sup>, DGL's first 16 payment would be due July  $1^{st}$ , and their next payment would be due August  $1^{st}$ , etc. Each monthly payment shall be divided equally, payable to Glick Law Group, PC (\$2,812.50) and Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP (\$2,812.50) respectively each month. The addresses for these two entities are:

> Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

**Craig Nicholas** Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED 4.

### 4.1 **Embry's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims**

Embry, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases DGL of any and all liability

arising from or related to the Notice and Complaint. This release includes DGL's owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, its directors, officers, agents, employees, 2 attorneys, and each entity to whom DGL directly or indirectly distributes or sells or has distributed or sold the Products, including but not limited to, Five Below, Inc., distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees, (collectively, the "Releasees") and the Releasees' parents, subsidiaries and affiliates and their respective employees, agents, and assigns. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with 8 respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to Listed Chemicals from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by DGL after the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted against DGL 10 and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposures to Listed Chemicals contained in the Products. 12

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

### 4.2 **Embry's Individual Release of Claims**

Embry, in her individual capacity, also provides a release to DGL and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of as well as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands by Embry of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to Listed Chemicals in Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by DGL or Releasees before the Effective Date. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this Section, Embry hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which she now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

In addition, Embry expressly waives and relinquishes any all rights and benefits she may have or 26 which may be conferred by any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect 27

to the fullest extent that she may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### 4.3 **DGL's Release of Embry**

DGL, on its own behalf, as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Embry and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Embry and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it, in this matter or with respect to the Products.

4.4

### **Dismissal of Five Below, Inc.**

Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Embry shall file a request for dismissal without prejudice of Five Below, Inc. in this action.

5. **COURT APPROVAL** 

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

#### SEVERABILITY 6.

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

### 7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable to the Products, then DGL may provide written notice to Embry of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

#### 8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall 27 be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return 28

1 receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

2 For DGL:

For Embry:

Peg Carew Toledo
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
Three Embarcadero Center, 10th floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4024

Noam Glick Glick Law Group, PC 225 Broadway, 21st Floor San Diego, CA 92101

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

8

6

7

9

10

11

## 9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# 10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Embry agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Embry shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

## 11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

27 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they28 have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

13.

### GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

14. <u>ENTIRE AGREEMENT</u>

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

**AGREED TO:** 

# AGREED TO BY (DEFENDANT)

14	Date:March 26, 2020	April 3, 2020
15		By:
16	By:	Ezra Zaafarani
17	Kim Embry	[print name/title]
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		