1	GLICK LAW GROUP, P.C. Noam Glick (SBN 251582)	
2	225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101	
3	Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 393-0154	
4	Email: noam@glicklawgroup.com	
5	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP. Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)	
6	Jake Schulte (SBN 293777) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900	
7	San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492	
8	Fax: (619) 325-0496 Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org	
9	Email: jschulte@nicholaslaw.org	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Kim Embry	
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
14	KIM EMBRY, an individual,	Case No. HG19024021
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
16	V.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17	THE KROGER CO. dba RALPH'S, an Ohio corporation RALPH'S GROCERY	
18	COMPANY, an Ohio corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
26		
28		
20		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Kim Embry ("Embry") and The Kroger Co. ("Defendant" or "Kroger") with Embry and Kroger each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Embry is a citizen in the state of California and purports to act in the interest of the general public. She seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendants

Kroger employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Embry alleges that Kroger manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale almonds that contain acrylamide. Embry further alleges that Kroger does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, acrylamide is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm. Kroger denies Embry's allegations that the Products (as defined below) require a Proposition 65 warning or that Kroger has violated Proposition 65.

1.5 Notices of Violation

On March 6, 2019, Embry served The Kroger Co., the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.*, and on November 5, 2019, served The Kroger Co. and Ralphs Grocery Company with an amended Notice (collectively "Notices"). The Notices alleged that Kroger had violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards

associated with exposures to acrylamide contained in its "Simple Truth Roasted Almonds (Sea Salt)."

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.6 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are Simple Truth Roasted Almonds (Sea Salt) manufactured or processed or distributed by Kroger that allegedly contain acrylamide and are imported, sold, shipped, delivered or distributed for sale to consumers in California by Releasees (as defined in section 4.1) ("Products").

1.7 State of the Pleadings

On June 21, 2019, Embry filed a Complaint against Kroger for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notices ("Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

Kroger denies the material factual and legal allegations of the Notices and Complaint and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Kroger's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Kroger as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.10 **Effective Date and Compliance Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 5. The "Compliance Date" is the date that is sixty (60) days after the Effective Date.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: COMPLIANCE OF PRODUCTS OR WARNINGS

- 2.1 Except as otherwise provided herein, any Products that are manufactured by Kroger on and after the Compliance Date that Kroger sells in California or distributes for sale in California shall not exceed 225 parts per billion ("ppb") of acrylamide based on an average of up to five samples, using tests performed by a laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a nationally recognized accrediting organization, using LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), unless such Products comply with the warning requirements of Section 2.3. As used in this Section 2, "distributed for sale in California" means to directly ship Products into California or to sell or distribute Products to a distributor or retailer that Kroger knows will sell Products in California.
- 2.2 Compliance may be demonstrated by Kroger using the average results of up to five samples of the same Product.
- 2.3 For Products that contain acrylamide in amounts exceeding the level set forth in Section 2.1, above, and which are manufactured, supplied or distributed for sale in California on or after the Compliance Date, Kroger or its retailer shall provide one of the following Proposition 65 warnings, or any warning for acrylamide in foods such as the Products, that is deemed complaint with Proposition 65 by the State of California:
 - 1) WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including Acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
 - 2) WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the packaging of the Product, or on a placard, shelf tag, or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sign provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information. Defendant shall informal all relevant retailers that the same warning shall be posted on any websites where Products are sold into California. 3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 **Settlement Amount**

Kroger shall pay fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) in settlement in total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs in the amount of forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

3.2 **Civil Penalty**

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to Embry individually.

All payments owed to Embry shall be made payable to the Glick Law Group Client Trust Account, and shall be delivered to the following address:

> Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:

For Federal Express Two-Day Delivery:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

25

26 27

For Non-Federal Express Two-Day Delivery: 1 Mike Gyurics 2 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 3 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814 4 Kroger agrees to provide Embry's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA, 5 simultaneous with its penalty payments to Embry. 6 7 Plaintiff and its counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as required. Relevant information is set out below: 8 "Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i); 9 "Nicholas & Tomasevic" (EIN: 46-3474065) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i); 10 11 and "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 12 13 95814. 3.3 **Attorney's Fees and Costs** 14 The portion of the settlement attributable to attorney's fees and costs shall be paid to Embry's 15 counsel, who claims attorney's fees and costs under Proposition 65, including but not limited to 16 17 investigating potential violations, suing Kroger, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. 18 Kroger shall provide their payment to Embry's counsel in four checks, divided equally, payable 19 to Glick Law Group, PC and Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP respectively as set out in section 3.4, below. 20 The addresses for these two entities are: 21 22 Noam Glick 23 Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, 19th Floor 24 San Diego, CA 92101 25 Craig Nicholas Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 26 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101 27 28

3.4 Timing

The above-mentioned checks will be issued as follows:

- Civil penalties of \$5,000 and \$35,000 in attorneys' fees (two checks of \$17,500 each to each law firm) within fourteen (14) days of the Effective Date for a total of \$40,000.
- A final payment of \$10,000 (payable in two checks of \$5,000 to each law firm) (the "Final Payment") within 120 days of the Compliance Date ("Final Payment Date"). Embry and her counsel shall waive the Final Payment, and Kroger shall not owe the Final Payment, if Kroger provides test results showing compliance with the 225 ppb standard on or before the 120th day from the Effective Date.

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Embry's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Embry, suing on her own behalf and in the public interest, hereby releases Kroger and each of its past, present and future owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownerships, its directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Kroger directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesales, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees, and their successor and assigns (including Ralphs Grocery Company) (collectively, the "Releasees") for any and all liabilities, claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about exposures to acrylamide from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Kroger prior to the Compliance Date. Releasees include defendant, its parents, and all subsidiaries and affiliates thereof and their respective employees, agents, and assigns that sell Kroger's Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to acrylamide from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Kroger after the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted against Kroger and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Products.

4.2 Embry's Individual Release of Claims

Embry, in her individual capacity, on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees also provides a general release to Kroger and Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to acrylamide in Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Kroger before the Effective Date. Embry acknowledges that she is familiar with California Civil Code section 1542, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

Embry, in her individual capacity only, and on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which she may have under, or which may be conferred on her by the provisions of California Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that she may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters.

4.3 Kroger's Release of Embry

Kroger on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Embry and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Embry and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them, in this matter or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that, after the Court approves this Consent Judgment, Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then Kroger may provide written notice to Embry of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Any such change will have no effect on Kroger's financial obligations set forth in this Consent Judgment.

8. NOTICE

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (1) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

If to Kroger: If to Embry:

Gregory P. O'Hara

Lauren M. Michals

Noam Glick

Glick Law Group, PC

Nixon Peabody LLP

225 Broadway, 19th Floor

One Embarcadero Center, 32nd Floor

San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, CA 94111

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Embry agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code

section 2524
motion Emb
mutually en
agreement a
purposes of t
responding t
Court if so r
11. MOI
mutually en
agreement a
purposes of t
responding t
motion by a

section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Embry shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

11. MODIFICATION

- 11. 1 This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time or terminated (1) by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or (2) by an order of this Court upon motion by a particular Party.
- 11.2 Feasibility. If, despite using commercially reasonable and good faith efforts, Kroger has not achieved compliance with Section 2.1 by the Compliance Date, the Parties shall meet and confer as to an extension of the Compliance Date not shorter than one year. The Parties may also agree to any other modification that the Parties agree is appropriate and in the public interest. Grounds for an extension or other modification may include, but are not limited to, that, despite Defendant's good faith efforts to comply with Section 2.1, it is not reasonably commercially feasible for one or more Products to comply with that standard.
- 11.3 Other Embry or Environmental Health Advocates Settlements. Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment to substitute higher reformulation levels that Embry or her counsel on behalf of Environmental Health Advocates agrees to in a future consent judgment applicable to products sufficiently similar to the Products, and Embry agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.
- 11.4 **Court Decision Regarding Similar Products**. If a court of competent jurisdiction renders a final judgment that one or more products that are sufficiently similar to the Products do not require a warning for acrylamide under Proposition 65, then Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling.

- 11.5 **Other Court Decisions**. If a final decision of a court determines that warnings for acrylamide exposures or that enforcement of Proposition 65 claims for acrylamide exposures are unconstitutional, preempted, or otherwise unlawful or unnecessary with respect to products that are similar to the Products, then Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling in order to avoid unfair, inconsistent, or anti-competitive results.
- 11.6 Change in Proposition 65. If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment in a manner that impacts Section 2.1 through 2.3, or if OEHHA or a federal regulatory agency takes some other final regulatory action for products similar to the Products in a manner that impacts reformulation standards, or that determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required or necessary or desired for such products, then Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment.
- 11.7 **Scientific Studies**. If an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or legally binding act, following a review of scientific studies and following public notice and comment, a cancer potency estimate for acrylamide that equates to a no significant risk level higher than 0.2 micrograms per day, then Defendant shall be entitled to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment to be relieved of its obligations to meet any requirements of this Consent Judgment that are inconsistent with such a change.
- 11.8 **Federal Agency Action and Preemption**. If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure for noticed motions set forth herein to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law.
- 11.9 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify or terminate the Consent Judgment.
- 11.10 Any requested modification pursuant to this Section 11 will have no effect on Kroger's financial obligations set forth in this Consent Judgment.

12. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

13. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

16	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
17	Date: March 29, 2021.	Date:3/30/2021
18	() G On	DocuSigned by:
19	Ву:	By: Steve Prough
20	KIM EMBRY	THE KROGER CO.
21		
22		
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	Date:	
27		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT