1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
10	
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL) Case No. RG 17-881940 HEALTH,
12	Plaintiff, (proposed] Consent Judgment As To Saratoga Potato CHIPS,
13	v.) LLC
14	THINK FOOD GROUP LLC, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	
17	1. DEFINITIONS
18	1. DEFINITIONS1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative First Amended complaint in the above-
19	captioned matter.
20	1.2 "Covered Products" means sliced potato chips that are manufactured,
21	distributed, or sold by Saratoga Potato Chips, LLC ("Settling Defendant").
22	1.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent
23	Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.
24	2. INTRODUCTION
25	2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health,
26	a California non-profit corporation ("CEH") and Saratoga Potato Chips, LLC. CEH and
27	Settling Defendant (collectively, the "Parties" and individually, a "Party") enter into this
28	and the state of t
	1

CONSENT JUDGMENT - SARATOGA POTATO CHIPS, LLC - CASE NO. RG 17-881940

Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the Complaint in the above-captioned matter.

- 2.2 On or about November 8, 2017, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant in which CEH alleged that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 2.3 Settling Defendant is a business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 2.4 On November 9, 2017, CEH filed the original complaint in the above-captioned matter. On December 22, 2017, CEH filed the Complaint. On January 19, 2018, CEH filed an amendment to the Complaint pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 474, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the operative Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the operative Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment

4 5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

26

27 28 is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Except as provided in Section 3.2, on and after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase or manufacture Covered Products that will thereafter be offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration levels (the "Reformulation Levels"):
- 3.1.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 281 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Average Level"). The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least one (1) sample each from at least three (3) and up to ten (10) different lots of a particular Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if fewer than three) during a testing period of 60 days. The mean and standard deviation shall be calculated using the sampling data. Any data points that are more than three standard deviations outside the mean shall be discarded, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated using the remaining data points. The mean determined in accordance with this procedure shall be deemed the "Average Level."
- 3.1.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Unit Level"). Testing for acrylamide shall be performed using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatrography/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry/Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties to this Consent Judgment.
- 3.2 Covered Products purchased or manufactured by Settling Defendant on and after the Effective Date that will thereafter be offered for sale in California may, as an alternative to meeting the Reformulation Levels set forth in Section 3.1, comply with the warning requirements under this Section 3.2.
- 3.2.1 The warning shall contain the following statement or any substantially similar language thereto:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer [and birth defects or other reproductive harm]. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product, on the packaging of the Covered Product, or on a placard, shelf tag, or sign, provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard, shelf tag, or sign where the Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between that Covered Product and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For sales by Settling Defendant on the internet or by catalog where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

The warning requirements set forth herein are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment, and are recognized by the Parties as not being the exclusive manner of providing a warning for the Covered Products. Warnings may be provided as specified in the Proposition 65 regulations for food in effect as of the Effective Date (Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25601, et seq.) or as such regulations may be amended in the future. In addition, Settling Defendant may rely on the notification procedure set out in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600.2.

ENFORCEMENT 4.

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3 by Settling

25

26

27

28

Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.6 if applicable.

4.2 **Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment by CEH.**

4.2.1 Covered Product Identification. Within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall notify CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products supplied or offered by Settling Defendant for sale on or after that date by, for example, a unique brand name or characteristic system of product numbering or labeling. Upon written request by CEH, but no more than once in any calendar year, Settling Defendant shall, within thirty (30) days of receiving a request from CEH, update the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1 by notifying CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products currently supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant. If CEH is unable to determine whether a particular product is a Covered Product as to Settling Defendant based on the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1, Settling Defendant shall cooperate in good faith with CEH in determining whether the product at issue is a Covered Product supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant. Information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1, including but not limited to the identities of parties to contracts between Settling Defendant and third parties, may be designated by Settling Defendant as competitively sensitive confidential business information, and if so designated shall not be disclosed to any person without the written permission of Settling Defendant. Any motions or pleadings or any other court filings that may reveal information designated as competitively sensitive confidential business information pursuant to this Section shall be submitted in accordance with California Rules of Court 8.46 and 2.550, et seq., if applicable. The provisions of Section 4.2.1 shall sunset seven years after the Effective Date.

4.2.2 Notice of Violation. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant and that has a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured or purchased by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the Unit Level, and which

lacks a warning that complies with Section 3.2, then CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section 4.2. If the manufacture or purchase date is not apparent from the dates or coding used on the Covered Product label, CEH shall withdraw the Notice of Violation if Settling Defendant demonstrates that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured or purchased before the Effective Date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, CEH may not issue any Notice of Violation if the packaging of the Covered Product is marked or labeled with the statement "Not for Sale in California" or equivalent language so long as such statement is prominently placed upon such Covered Product's label or other labeling, as compared with other words or statements on the label or labeling, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customer conditions of purchase or use. If Settling Defendant marks or labels a Covered Product with such a statement, Settling Defendant shall include a letter to each of its retailer or distributor customers receiving that Covered Product notifying the customer that the Covered Product may not be sold in California.

4.2.3 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

4.2.3.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.3.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

4.2.3.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all

26

27

28

test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

- 4.2.4 Notice of Election of Response. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.
- 4.2.5 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all then-available non-privileged documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available acrylamide test data for Covered Products with the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.6, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.
- 4.2.6 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

- 4.2.7 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.
- 4.2.7.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that, with respect to all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products"), Settling Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant if Settling Defendant has reason to believe the Noticed Covered Products are still offered for sale to California consumers. Settling Defendant shall make available to CEH for inspection and copying records of non-privileged correspondence sufficient to show market withdrawal of the Noticed Covered Products to the extent it has such documents on file. If the Notice of Violation is based on a violation of the Unit Level with respect to a single Covered Product, Settling Defendant may be excused from the corrective obligation described in the foregoing (but not the monetary payments, if any, required by this Section 4) if Settling Defendant produces test results and other evidence that: (1) demonstrates that the acrylamide levels found by CEH in the unit alleged to be in violation is an aberration; and (2) otherwise provides reasonable assurance that the remainder of the Noticed Covered Products, aside from the unit alleged to be in violation, comply with the Reformulation Levels. The Parties agree that this Section 4.2.7.1 is satisfied if Settling Defendant can demonstrate that the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation satisfies the Average

1	Leve
2	all n
3	type
4	prior
5	Defe
6	conf

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Level. However, to avail itself of this provision, Settling Defendant must provide CEH with all non-privileged acrylamide test data in its possession, custody, or control pertaining to the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation that was performed within the year prior to the date of the Notice of Election. If there is a dispute over whether Settling Defendant is excused from the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first year following the Effective Date.

4.2.7.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.2 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.2 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that : (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant is excused from corrective action pursuant to Section 4.2.7.1, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 for that Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product or per store visited in any 90-day period. CEH shall be limited to issuing no more than two total Notices of Violation to Settling Defendant in the first twelve months after the Effective Date.

- 4.2.8 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- 4.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$135,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant to CEH in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- 5.2.1 \$18,230 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health &

1	Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of
2	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of
3	the civil penalty payment for \$13,672.50 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated
4	with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
5	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
6	Attn: Mike Gyurics
7	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
8	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
9	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
10	Attn: Mike Gyurics
11	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
12	1001 I Street, MS #19B
13	Sacramento, CA 95814
14	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$4,557.50 shall be made payable to
15	the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-
16	3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street,
17	San Francisco, CA 94117.
18	5.2.2 \$13,670 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant
19	to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204.
20	CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following
21	purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH
22	programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic
23	chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure
24	to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health
25	impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in
26	California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent
27	on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General
28	within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to

10

11

13

16

14

19

20 21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$103,100 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$87,065 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$16,035 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION 6.

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 Warnings From Other Settlements. To the extent Settling Defendant elects to warn pursuant to Section 3.2, Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to substitute different warning language or methods approved by a court in a consent judgment or judgment for exposures to acrylamide in snack food products (provided Settling Defendant only seeks to use such language and methods to a comparable manner of sale of the Covered Products) (e.g., internet warnings will only be used for internet sales). If Settling Defendant seeks to exercise this option, it shall provide notice to CEH, and the Parties shall meet and confer, pursuant to this Section 6. If the Parties cannot resolve the dispute informally during such meet and confer, Settling Defendant may file a motion to modify the Consent Judgment, and the Court shall approve the requested modification unless it finds that the proposed warning

- Change in Proposition 65. If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations (including but not limited to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2) or any "alternative risk level" adopted by regulation or court decision) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels, or if OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action for products similar to the Covered Products in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels or determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required for such products, then Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to modify the Reformulation Levels. The Parties recognize that the Reformulation Levels are based on a compromise of a number of issues, and that a change to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide would not necessarily entitle a Party to a modification of the terms of this Consent Judgment corresponding to a linear relationship with such a change.
- 6.5 **Other Court Decisions.** If a final decision of a court determines that warnings for acrylamide exposures or that enforcement of Proposition 65 claims for acrylamide exposures are preempted or otherwise unlawful or unconstitutional with respect to products similar to the Covered Products, then Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling in order to avoid unfair, inconsistent, or anti-competitive results.
- 6.6 **Federal Agency Action and Preemption.** If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation or legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure for noticed motions set forth in Section 6.1 to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law. Any such modification shall be limited to those changes that are necessary to bring this Consent Judgment into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law.
- 6.7 Before filing any motion to modify the Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH to initiate the meet and confer procedure in Section 6.2. If

the Parties do not agree on the proposed modification during informal meet and confer efforts, Settling Defendant may file a motion to modify the Consent Judgment within sixty (60) days of the date of the written notice that Settling Defendant provides to CEH under this Section 6.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including but not limited to Jet.com, Inc.), franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were manufactured, sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself and its agents, successors, and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant on and after the

1	Effective Dat	te.
2	8. PRO	VISION OF NOTICE
3	8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
4	notice shall b	be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
5		Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group
67		503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com
8	8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
9	Judgment, th	e notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
10		Mark GiaQuinta Haller & Colvin
11		444 East Main Street Fort Wayne, IN mgiaquinta@hallercolvin.com
13	Any 1	Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by
14	sending the o	other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
15	9. COU	RT APPROVAL
16	9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH
17	and Settling	Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file
18	a Motion for	Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of
19	this Consent	Judgment by the Court.
20	9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
21	effect and sh	all not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
22	purpose other	r than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section
23	9.1.	
24	10. APPI	LICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
25	10.1	Except as otherwise set forth herein, this Consent Judgment shall apply to and be
26	binding upon	CEH and Settling Defendant and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and
27	subsidiaries,	and the successors or assigns of any of them.

11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

12. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 12.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 12.2 Nothing in this Section 12 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 6, nothing in this Consent

1	Judgment limits or affects the Court's authority to modify this Consent Judgment as provided		
2	by law.		
3	15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT		
4	15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully		
5	authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter		
6	into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind		
7	that Party.		
8	16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
9	16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
10	against an entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained		
11	in this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment		
12	pursuant to Section 6 to substitute higher Reformulation Levels that CEH agrees to in a future		
13	consent judgment applicable to products identical to the Covered Products, and CEH agrees		
14	not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.		
15	17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
16	17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and		
17	by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be		
18	deemed to constitute one document.		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,		
20	AND DECREED		
21			
22	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court		
23	Judge of the Superior Court		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Dated:, 2020	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
	Signature
	Printed Name
	Title
Dated: 17 th Jan, 2020	By:
	its i resident and ivianaging iviember.