1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 Joseph Mann, State Bar No. 207968 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com jmann@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	COUNTY OF A	ALAMEDA
12		
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 19-038937
14	Plaintiff,)) [PROPOSED] CONSENT
15	v.) JUDGMENT AS TO CHANEL, INC.
16	CHANEL, INC., et al.	
17	Defendant.	
18		
19		
20		
21	1. INTRODUCTION	
22	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgmen	t ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental
23	Health ("CEH") and defendant Chanel, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant	
24	are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	
25	1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that	
26	manufactures, distributes, and/or sells makeup foundation in the State of California or has done so	
27	in the past.	
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-	1-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – CHANEL, INC. – CASE NO. RG 19-038937

- 1.3 On April 15, 2019, CEH sent a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of perfluorooctanoic acid ("PFOA") in makeup foundation manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.4 On October 11, 2019, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Chanel maintains that it reformulated its products to eliminate ingredients that might result in trace contamination with PFOA well in advance of the effective date for the warning requirement under Proposition 65, and well in advance of the Notice sent by CEH. CEH maintains that it nevertheless found PFOA in significant levels in Chanel makeup foundation sold in California without a Proposition 65 warning after the applicable statutory effective date.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2.

1

DEFINITIONS

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application.

4.2.2 If Settling Defendant responds to a Notice of Violation with evidence that, notwithstanding the presence of PFOA in the Covered Products, Settling Defendant has complied with Section 3.1, the Parties shall meet and confer as to the source of the PFOA in the Covered Products and what additional measures, if any, Settling Defendant must take to ensure that no unwarned exposures to PFOA from Covered Products occurs thereafter. Should the Parties reach agreement on a proposal to eliminate future exposures to PFOA from Covered Products thereafter, they shall seek modification of the Consent Judgment to include the proposal pursuant to Section 6. Should the Parties be unable to reach such agreement within the applicable meet-and-confer period, the Parties shall submit simultaneous briefs to the Court for a binding determination regarding any appropriate modification to the Consent Judgment to ensure compliance with Proposition 65 as to PFOA exposures from Covered Products.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$32,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section 5.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section 5 shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- 5.2.1 \$3,704 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §

1	25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health
2	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty
3	payment for \$2,778 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification
4	number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
5	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
6	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
7	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
8	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
9	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
10	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
11	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B
12	Sacramento, CA 95814
13	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$926 shall be made payable to the
14	Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.
15	This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco,
16	CA 94117.
17	5.2.2 Settling Defendant shall pay the sum of \$2,776 as an Additional
18	Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and
19	California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's
20	Toxics and Youth Fund and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate
21	the public about PFOA and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to
22	youth, expand its use of social media to communicate with youth in California about the risks of
23	exposures to PFOA and other toxic chemicals in the products they use and about ways to reduce
24	those exposures, work with industries that market products to youth to reduce exposure to PFOA
25	and other toxic chemicals, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to
26	PFOA and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth in California.
27	CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASP funds are spent on these
28	activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty

days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section 5.2.2 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.

5.2.3 \$25,520 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$20,780 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$4,740 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** A Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to PFOA contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Michael Steel Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street, 31st Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 MSteel@mofo.com

8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1

10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 10.1 Should any Party prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, that Party may apply to the Court for an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application, which the Court may grant or deny in accordance with governing law.
- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and its respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release any claims, or in any way affect any rights that either Party might have against any other entity, except as otherwise provided herein.
- 11.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.
- 11.6 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 11.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.
- 11.8 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result

of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654. [SIGNATURES NEXT PAGE] DOCUMENT PREPARED -10-ON RECYCLED PAPER

CONSENT JUDGMENT - CHANEL, INC. - CASE NO. RG 19-038937

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	Dated: December 18, 2020 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	
3	HEALTH	
4	M-10	
5	Michael C	
6	Signature	
7	Michael Green	
8	Printed Name	
9	CEO	
10	Title	
11		
12	Detail. 2020	
13	Dated:, 2020 CHANEL, INC.	
14		
15	Signature	
16		
17	Printed Name	
18		
19		
20	Title	
21		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.	
23		
24		
25	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court	
26	Juage of the Superior Court	
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11-	

CONSENT JUDGMENT – CHANEL, INC. – CASE NO. RG 19-038937

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
2	Dated:, 2020 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL
3	HEALTH
4	
5	
6	Signature
7	
8	Printed Name
9	
10	Title
11	
12	Dated: December 17, 2020 CHANEL INC
13	CITAL DE LA CO
14	Bailaia Menaignes Signature
15	Signature
16	Barbara Menarguez
17 18	Printed Name
19	General Manager of Fragrance & Beauty
20	Title
21	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.
23	
24	
25	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court
26	Judge of the Superior Court
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11-