#### State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501

San Diego

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612 PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF SETTLEMENT					
Please	print or type required information	emental Filing			
	PLAINTIFF(S)  Jennifer Fishman				
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMENT  Swisher International, Inc.				
CASE	COURT DOCKET NUMBER  37-2019-00044381-CU-MC-CTL  SHORT CASE NAME  Fishman v. Swisher International,  INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	COURTNAME San Diego Superior Cour	rt		
REPORT INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY  \$2,000  WILL SETTLEMENT BE SUBMITTED TO COURT?  XYes \( \sigma \) No  PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES  \$18,000  WILL SETTLEMENT BE SUBMITTED TO COURT?  MUST BE SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENER  COPY OF SETTLEMENT MUST	PAYMENT: OTHER  DATE SETTLEMENT SIGNED  10 / 02 / 2019	For Internal Use Only		
FILER	NAME OF CONTACT  Jack Fitzgerald  ORGANIZATION  The Law Office of Jack Fitzgerald  ADDRESS  3636 4th Ave., Ste. 202  CITY STATE ZIP  San Diego CA 9210	(( 6 ) FAXN (( 6	PHONE NUMBER 19)) 692-3840  UMBER 19)) 362-9555		

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

CA

jack@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com

1	THE LAW OFFICE OF JACK FITZGERALD, PC				
2	JACK FITZGERALD (SBN 257370)				
3	jack@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com TREVOR M. FLYNN (SBN 253362)				
4	trevor@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com				
5	MELANIE PERSINGER (SBN 275423) melanie@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com				
6	Hillcrest Professional Building				
7	3636 Fourth Avenue, Suite 202 San Diego, California 92103				
8	Phone: (619) 692-3840				
9	Fax: (619) 362-9555				
	Counsel for Plaintiff				
$\begin{vmatrix} 10 \\ 11 \end{vmatrix}$					
11					
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
13	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO				
14	JENNIFER FISHMAN,				
15		Case No.: 37-2019-00002496-CU-MC-CTL			
16	Plaintiff,	Case 110 37 2017 00002470 CO WIC CIL			
17	V.	CONSENT JUDGMENT			
18	SWISHER INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.			
19	SWISHER INTERNATIONAL, INC.				
20	Defendant.				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

sf-4043487

#### 1. INTRODUCTION & FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Jennifer Fishman ("Plaintiff"), acting on behalf of the public interest, and Swisher International Inc. ("Defendant") to settle claims asserted by Fishman against Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter of *Jennifer Fishman v. Swisher International Inc.*, 37-2019-00002496-CU-MC-CTL (the "Action"). Plaintiff and Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties.".
- 1.2. On April 30, 2019, Plaintiff served a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") on Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of carbon monoxide and soot in smoke resulting from the combustion of rolling papers manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant, designed for use with tobacco and other legal smoking herbs.
- 1.3. Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California, or has done so in the past.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notices and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Diego; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.5. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or

conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notices and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1. "Covered Products" means rolling paper products that were or are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale by Defendant, and specifically rolling papers branded "Hempire."
  - 2.2. "Effective Date" means the date on the Court enters this Consent Judgment.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1. As of one year following the Effective Date, no Covered Product may be distributed or sold in California by Defendant unless such Covered Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the product. The warning shall include one of the following statements:
  - 3.2.1 A symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline to the left of the word "warning" in bold, all capital letters, followed by the statement "The combustion of this product can expose you to carbon monoxide, which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm and soot, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information, go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov." Where the sign, label

or shelf tag for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white.

- 3.2.2 A symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline to the left of the word "warning" in bold all capital letters, followed by the statement "Cancer and Reproductive harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov." Where the sign, label or shelf tag for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white.
- 3.2. The warning provided pursuant to Section 3.1 shall be provided in a manner consistent with the warnings regulations published by the State of California at Title 27 California Code of Regulations Section 25600 et seq. All Covered Products that have been or will have been distributed, shipped, sold, or otherwise placed in the stream of commerce through and including the date one year following the Effective Date of this Consent Judgement are exempt from the provisions of Section 3.1 and are included in the release in Section 5. Defendant may manufacture or package and sell Covered Products without providing a Proposition 65 compliant warning so long as such products are only for sale to consumers located outside of California and Defendant does not distribute them in California.

#### 4. MONETARY PAYMENTS

- 4.1. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$20,000, which shall be allocated as set forth in this Section.
- 4.2. \$2,000 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Plaintiff and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")).
  - 4.2.1 Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall issue two separate checks for the Civil Penalty to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500; and to (b) "The Law Office of Jack Fitzgerald in Trust for Jennifer Fishman" in the amount of \$500.

- 4.3. \$18,000 as a reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This amount shall be issued in a check made payable to The Law Office of Jack Fitzgerald within ten (10) days of the Effective Date.
- 4.4. All checks shall be sent to counsel for Plaintiff, Jack Fitzgerald, 3636 Fourth Ave #202, San Diego, California 92103. Plaintiff's counsel shall promptly forward all checks or amounts due to the payees indicated.

## 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- 5.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Plaintiff, acting in the public interest, and Defendant, as well as Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Released Parties"), and all entities who distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Released Parties"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant and Downstream Released Parties, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to carbon monoxide in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 5.2. Plaintiff on her own behalf releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Complaint against Defendant and Downstream Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to carbon monoxide or soot in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 5.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the Downstream Released Parties shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant and Downstream Released Parties with respect to any alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings in Covered Products after the Effective Date.

5.4. Upon the Effective Date, the Released Parties and Downstream Released Parties, on behalf of themselves and their officers, directors, owners, partners, servants, agents, attorneys, employees, affiliates, and other representatives hereby release and forever discharge Plaintiff, and Plaintiff's attorneys, family members, predecessors, successors, heirs, assigns, related persons and other representatives, from any and all claims or causes of action that were alleged or could have been alleged in the Action, or arising out of the Action.

## 6. OVERSIGHT AND ENFORCEMENT OF TERMS

- 6.1. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to oversee, enforce and/or modify the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2. Any Party may, by means of filing an application for an order to show cause, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such action or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such action or application.

## 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1. This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties and their respective privies, successors, and assigns, and it shall be deemed to inure the benefit of the Parties and their respective privies, successors, and assigns.

## 8. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

8.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of Plaintiff and Defendant, or upon noticed motion of Plaintiff or Defendant as provided by law.

#### 9. PROVISION OF NOTICE

9.1. All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by (a) first-class, registered, (b) certified mail, (c) overnight courier, or (d) personal delivery to the following:

For Plaintiff Jennifer Fishman

The Law Office of Jack Fitzgerald, PC Jack Fitzgerald 3636 Fourth Ave #202 San Diego, CA 92103

For Defendant Swisher International Inc.

Christopher L. Casey Senior VP & General Counsel Swisher International, Inc. 459 E. 16th Street Jacksonville, FL 32206

# With a copy to:

Michael J. Steel Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market St., 31st Floor San Francisco, CA 94105

9.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Parties notice by first class and electronic mail.

## 10. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

- 10.1. The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the parties prior to its signing, and each party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.
- 10.2. In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

## 11. GOVERNING LAW

11.1. The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

## 12. COURT APPROVAL

12.1. The Parties shall use their reasonable best efforts to support the Court's approval of the Consent Judgment and entry of the Consent Judgment.

12.2. If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and, if possible, prior to the hearing on the Motion for Court Approval.

12.3. This Consent Judgement shall not be effective until it is approved by the Court. If, despite the Parties' best efforts, the Court does not approve this Settlement and enter a Consent Judgment thereon, the parties shall have the option of (a) proceeding to try and resolve the matter amicably, or (b) determining that the Settlement is null and void and of no force or effect, in which event, all payment-related obligations set forth in Section 4 shall be deemed never to have existed and the Parties may thereafter proceed of their own accord.

#### 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 13.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of Plaintiff and Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related hereto, if any, and are hereby merged herein. No representations, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party.
- 13.2. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- 13.3. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 13.4. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

## 14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

1	15. AUTHORIZATION		
2	15.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully		
3	authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter		
4	into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind		
5	that Party.		
6	16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
7	16.1. This Consent Judgement may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each		
8	of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute		
9	one and the same document.		
10	IT IS AGREED:		
11	Plaintiff, Jennifer Fishman		
12	1H		
13	By: Dated:9/6/2019		
14	Jennifer Fishman		
15			
16	Defendant, Swisher International Inc.		
17	ph / Q of C		
18	Dated: 8 15 19  Name: CHEASTORNER L. CASEY		
19	Position: SELVOR V.P. AND GHERAL COWSEL		
20	TOSITION. SEPIOLE WILL PROBLEC COMPLETE		
21	APPROVED AS TO FORM		
22			
23	By:		
24	Defendant's Counsel, Michael J. Steel		
25			
26	By: Dated:		
27	Plaintiff's Counsel, Jack Fitzgerald		
28	$\vee$ '		

Fishman v. Swisher International, Inc.
CONSENT JUDGMENT