1 2 3 4	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069 Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC	
6	CLIDEDIOD COLIDT OF TH	JE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
8	FOR THE COUNT	I OF LOS ANGELES
9		GAGDNO 100MCNA/004
10		) CASE NO. 19STCV26224
11	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
12	V	) Judge: Hon. Elizabeth R. Feffer
13	ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION, a corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,	Dept.: 39 Compl. Filed: July 26, 2019
14	inclusive,	)
15	Defendants.	) Unlimited Jurisdiction
16		)
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

### 1. RECITALS

### 1.1 The Parties

- 1.1.1 This Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC ("Plaintiff") and Defendant, Ace Hardware Corporation ("Defendant"). Plaintiff and Defendant shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."
- 1.1.2 Plaintiff is an organization based in California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.
- **1.1.3** Defendant is a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

## 1.2 Allegations

- 1.2.1 Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sold Ace Hardware Deck Screws, including but not limited to 6x1-1/8, #5387303, 0-82901-21753-4 (hereinafter collectively the "Products") in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to hazardous levels of Lead without providing "clear and reasonable warnings", in violation of Proposition 65. Lead is potentially subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because it is listed as known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.2.2 On May 2, 2019, Plaintiff sent a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") to Defendant and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. On July 26, 2019, Plaintiff, acting in the public interest, filed the instant action (the "Complaint") in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violations of Proposition 65.

### 1.3 No Admissions

Defendant denies all allegations in Plaintiff's Notice and Complaint and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Defendant has not violated Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by

Defendant but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

## 1.4 Compromise

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to resolve the controversy described above in a manner consistent with prior Proposition 65 settlements and consent judgments that were entered in the public interest and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation between them.

### 1.5 Jurisdiction and Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 and Proposition 65.

#### 1.6 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

**Execution Date**. The term "Execution Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is signed by the parties

## 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

### 2.1 Reformulation Standard

After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not manufacture or ship the Products for sale into California unless (a) the finished Product produces a test result no higher than a ratio of 1.0 microgram of lead per 100 square centimeters based on a wipe sample collected using NIOSH Method 9100 or equivalent ("Reformulated Products"), or (b) the Product is distributed, sold, or offered for sale with a clear and reasonable warning as described below in Section 2.2.

## 2.2 Proposition 65 Warnings

**2.2.1** Whenever a clear and reasonable warning is required under Section 2.1,

Defendant shall use a warning with the capitalized and emboldened wording substantially similar to the following, or otherwise compliant with Prop 65:

**WARNING:** This product can expose you to Lead which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</a>.

The warning shall be accompanied by a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING".

2.2.2 The Products shall carry said warning directly on each unit or its label or package, with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary consumer prior to sale. A Product that is sold by Defendant on the internet shall also provide the warning message by a clearly marked hyperlink on the product display page, or otherwise prominently displayed to the purchaser before the purchaser completes his or her purchase of the Product. For Products that Defendant provides for a downstream retailer to sell on the internet, Defendant shall include an instruction that the retailer comply with the warning requirements of this section.

# 3. <u>PAYMENTS</u>

# 3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay a total civil penalty of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health and Safety Code* section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$2,250.00) for State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% (\$750.00) for Plaintiff. These penalties will be included in Defendant's total payment made to Plaintiff's Counsel under section 3.3 and Plaintiff's Counsel will in turn arrange for payments to Plaintiff and OEHHA after the effective date.

### 3.2 Reimbursement Of Plaintiff's Fees And Costs

Defendant shall reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution and approval of this Consent Judgment in the amount of twenty-one thousand dollars (\$21,000.00).

### 3.3 Total Payment

Defendant shall arrange to mail Plaintiff's Counsel the total sum of \$24,000 representing the civil penalty and attorney fees in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 within 30 days following the Execution Date and upon receipt of the appropriate W-9.

Defendant or its agent shall remit the payment to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

## 4. RELEASES

### 4.1 Plaintiff's Release Of Defendant

Plaintiff, acting in its individual capacity, and in the public interest, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases Defendant, and its parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members, including but not limited to JC Grand Corporation and their respective parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, principals, agents, attorneys, franchisees, cooperative members, marketplaces, insurers, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, affiliates, each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Products, and their respective predecessors, successors, and assigns ("Releasees") of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to lead from the Products as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Products

distributed by JC Grand or distributed or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to warnings about lead exposure from the Products.

### 4.2 Defendant's Release Of Plaintiff

Defendant, by this Consent Judgment, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, its shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, experts, successors and assignees for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter. If any Releasee should institute any such action, then Plaintiff's release of said Releasee in this Consent Judgment shall be rendered void and unenforceable.

### 4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California Civil Code which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California Civil Code or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

# 5. COURT APPROVAL

2.1 Plaintiff agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.

2.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within twelve months after it has been fully executed by the Parties. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30-days, any monies that have been paid pursuant to Section 3.3 shall be refunded and the case shall proceed on its normal course.

### 6. SEVERABILITY

Should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment for any reason be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

## 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

# 8. <u>NOTICES</u>

All correspondence and notice required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows:

TO DEFENDANT:	TO PLAINTIFF
Lee N. Smith, Esq. Craig A. Tristao, Esq. COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP 499 W Shaw Avenue, Suite 116 Fresno, CA 93704	Lucas Novak, Esq. Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

# 9. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

10.	<b>AUTHORIZATION</b>

respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and is not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their **AGREED TO:** Date: By: Authorized Representative of APS&EE, LLC AGREED TO: Date: By: Authorized Representative of Ace Hardware Corporation John Surane, EUP IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT