1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 615-2193 NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Shaun A. Markley (SBN 291785) 225 Broadway, 19 th Floor San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492 Fax: (619) 325-0496 Attorneys for Plaintiff Kim Embry	
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
13	KIM EMBRY, an individual	Case No
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	V.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 <i>et seq.</i> and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
16	COQUETTE INTERNATIONAL, INC. and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20 21		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 2

3

4

1.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Kim Embry ("Embry") and Coquette International, Inc. ("Coquette") (collectively the "Parties").

5

1.2 Plaintiff

Embry is an individual residing in California and acting in the interest of the general public.
She seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by
reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

9

1.3 Defendant

Coquette employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business"
for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety
Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

13

1.4 General Allegations

Embry alleges that Coquette manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale in California women's lingerie products that contain di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP"), nickel, and lead (collectively "Listed Chemicals"). Embry further alleges that Coquette does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, DEHP, lead, and nickel are listed as a chemical known to cause cancer and/or reproductive harm.

20

1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment "Product" or "Products" are defined as women's
lingerie products containing the Listed Chemicals that are imported, sold, or distributed for sale in
California by Coquette and Releasees, defined *infra*.

24

28

1.6 Notices of Violation

Embry served and/or will serve Coquette and the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* alleging that Coquette violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to Listed
 Chemicals contained in the Products ("Notice").

3 No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the
4 violations alleged in the Notice.

5

1.7 Complaint

6 On ______, Embry filed a Complaint against Coquette for the alleged violations 7 of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint"). On that 8 same date, Embry and Coquette filed a Stipulation to and Request for Dismissal Without Prejudice of 9 the action removed to federal court, then pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District 10 of California, Case No. 3:17-cv-06888-WHO.

11

1.8 No Admission

Coquette denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notices and Complaint, and 12 maintains that it does not manufacture, import, sell or distribute products for sale in California. 13 Rather, Coquette maintains that it only holds the Coquette and Darque trademarks and service marks, 14 which are licensed to entities in California who import, sell and/or distribute those products. 15 Coquette maintains that all licensed products bearing the Coquette or Darque label that may have 16 been imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and 17 are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an 18 admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, 19 wrongdoing, or liability by Coquette, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or 20 affiliated entities, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of 21 any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or 22 23 liability by Coquette, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated entities, Further, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waiver or impair any right, remedy, 24 argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as 25 expressly provided in this Consent Judgment. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise 26 affect Coquette's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment. 27

28

1.9 Jurisdiction and Venue

1

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that Alameda County 2 3 Superior Court ("Court") has jurisdiction over the subject matter and acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and 4 enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of the allegations 5 against Coquette in the Complaint, pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 6 7 664.6. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter only to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6. 8 9 If the consent judgment is not approved, Coquette reserves its right to contest jurisdiction in California. 10 1.10 **Effective Date** 11 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which 12 the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 6. 13 2. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF** 14 2.1 **Reformulation and Warnings** 15 Commencing ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Coquette 16 agrees that products bearing the Coquette and/or Darque label that are distributed for sale in 17 18 California will be only (a) reformulated Products pursuant to Section 2.2, or (b) Products that are labeled with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Section 2.3. Coquette, its related companies, 19 and its downstream retailers shall have no obligation to reformulate or label Product that entered the 20 stream of commerce prior to the Effective Date. 21 2.2 **Reformulation Standard** 22 "Reformulated Product" shall mean Product that contains less than one thousand (1,000) parts 23 per million of DEHP. 24 2.3 **Clear and Reasonable Warnings** 25 Commencing ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, Coquette shall provide a clear and 26

27 reasonable warning for any Product bearing the Coquette or Darque label that is distributed or offered28 for sale in California that is not a Reformulated Product, or shall otherwise comply with the

1	provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11(f). Coquette shall take			
2	reasonable steps, consistent with the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and			
3	25249.11(f) to ensure that the warning similar to the below is affixed to the packaging or labeling, or			
4	directly on, the Products:			
5	(a) the text, "WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov," accompanied by a symbol consisting of a black			
6	exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. Where the sign, label or shelf tag for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol			
7	may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING," as			
8	provided by regulations adopted on or about August 30, 2018			
9	Or			
10	(b) the text, "WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, nickel, and lead, which are known to the State of California			
11	to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www. P65Warnings.ca.gov," accompanied by a symbol consisting of a black			
12	exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. Where the sign, label or shelf tag for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol			
13	may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING," as			
14	provided by regulations adopted on or about August 30, 2018.			
15	Coquette shall otherwise endeavor to ensure that products bearing the Coquette or Darque			
16	label comply with the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11(f) and			
17	the implementing regulations located at 27 C.C.R. section 25601 et seq., to wit:			
18	For internet purchases, a warning shall also provide either the warning or a clearly marked			
19	hyperlink using the word "WARNING" on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently			
20	displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. If warning is provided			
21	using the short-form warning label content, the warning provided on the website shall use the same			
22	content. A warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general			
23	content of the website.			
24	For catalog purchases, a warning must also be provided in the catalog in a manner that clearly			
25	associates it with the item being purchased. If a short-form warning is being provided on the label,			
26	the warning provided in the catalog may use the same content.			
27				
28				

1 Where a sign or label used to provide a warning includes consumer information about a 2 product in a language other than English, the warning shall also be provided in that language in 3 addition to English.

In the event that the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment promulgates one or
more regulations requiring or permitting warning text and/or methods of transmission different than
those set forth above, Coquette shall be entitled to use, at its discretion, such other warning text
and/or method of transmission without being deemed in breach of this Agreement.

8

9

3.

MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

Coquette shall pay fifty-five thousand dollars (\$55,000) in settlement and total satisfaction of
all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil
penalties in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section
25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs in the amount of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) pursuant to
Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

15

20

3.2 Civil Penalty

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to Embry.

All payments owed to Embry, shall be delivered to the following payment address:

21 22	Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101			
23	All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA			
24	(Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:			
25	(Wento Line Flop 05 Fenances) at the following addresses.			
For United States Postal Service Delivery:				
26	Mike Gyurics			
27	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief			
28	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010			
	6			

	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
1	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
2	Mike Gyurics		
3	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
4	1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814		
5	Sublamento, Crx 95011		
6	Coquette agrees to provide Embry's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA,		
7	simultaneous with its penalty payments to Embry.		
8	The Parties, including Embry, will exchange completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other forms as		
9	required. Relevant information for Glick Law Group, N&T, and Embry are set out below:		
10	• "Kim Embry" whose address and tax identification number shall be provided within five		
11	(5) days after this Settlement Agreement is fully executed by the Parties;		
12	• "Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i);		
13	• "Nicholas & Tomasevic" (EIN: 46-3474065) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i);		
14	and		
15	• "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA		
16	95814.		
17	3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs		
18	The portion of the settlement attributable to attorney's fees and costs shall be paid to Embry's		
19	counsel, who are entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by her in this action, including but not		
20	limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Coquette's attention, as well as		
21	litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.		
22	Coquette shall provide its payment to Embry's counsel in two checks, divided equally,		
23	payable to Glick Law Group, PC (\$25,000) and Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP (\$25,000) respectively.		
24	The addresses for these two entities are:		
25	Noam Glick Glick Law Group		
26	225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101		
27			
28			
1		1	

	Craig Nicholas	
1	Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP	
2	225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101	
3	3.4 Timing	
4	The above mentioned checks will be issued within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date.	
5	4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>	
6	4.1 Embry's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims	
7	This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Embry, on behalf of	
8	herself, and in the public interest, and Coquette and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under	
9	common ownership, its directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom	
10	Coquette directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products, including but not limited to,	
11	Coquette International U.S.A., Inc. and any downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers,	
12	retailers, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees (collectively, the "Releasees"), for all	
13	claims that were or could have been asserted against Coquette and/or Releasees for failure to	
14	provide warnings for alleged exposures to the Listed Chemicals contained in Products.	
15	For any claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about	

exposures to Listed Chemicals from Products imported, sold, or distributed by Coquette prior to the Effective Date, Embry, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Coquette and the Releasees of any and all liability. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to Listed Chemicals from Products imported, sold, or distributed by Coquette after the Effective Date.

22

4.2 Embry's Individual Release of Claims

Embry, in her individual capacity, also provides a release to Coquette and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of as well as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands by Embry of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to Listed Chemicals in Products imported, sold, or distributed by Coquette before the Effective Date. 1

4.3 Coquette's Release of Embry

Coquette, on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current
agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims
against Embry and her attorneys and other representatives arising from this matter or relating to the
Products including any and all actions taken or statements made by Embry and her attorneys and
other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce
Proposition 65 against it, in this matter or with respect to the Products.

8

5.

REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

9 Embry represents and warrants that she is not aware of any third party who has purchased any
10 Coquette Products with the intent to serve a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and
11 Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.*, alleging that Coquette violated Proposition 65.

Embry represents and warrants that she nor her agents has not provided and will not provide in the future any third-party with any Coquette Products that she has purchased with the intent to serve a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.*, alleging that Coquette violated Proposition 65.

Embry represents and warrants that neither she nor her agents has not notified or informed
any third-party of the existence of any Coquette Products with the intent to serve a 60-Day Notice of
Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.*, alleging that Coquette
violated Proposition 65.

Embry agrees and covenants not to file or serve in the future against Coquette any 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq*, or a complaint or administrative action alleging that Coquette violated Proposition 65, as related to any product manufactured, distributed or sold by Coquette.

Embry further agrees and covenants that neither she nor her agents will not cooperate with any individual or organization, other than with proper requests by public agencies authorized to enforce Proposition 65, with regard to any efforts to enforce, notify, or sue under Proposition 65.

27 The Parties hereto recognize and agree that Coquette's consent to this Consent Judgment is
28 expressly premised upon the Representations and Warranties set forth above and that, should any of

these Representations or Warranties turn out to be false, Coquette's consent to this Consent Judgment
 shall be considered invalid and this Consent Judgment unenforceable. Furthermore, all payments
 made under this Consent Judgment shall be refunded immediately upon notification by Coquette of
 the falsity of said Representation and/or Warranty.

5

6.

SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Pursuant to 11 C.C.R. section 3003, Embry shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, 6 signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review 7 this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. Embry shall file a motion to 8 approve this Consent Judgment, to be heard no sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney 9 General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, as required by 11 C.C.R. 10 section 3003. This Consent Judgment is not effective until forty-five (45) days have passed in order 11 to allow the Attorney General to review it and the court has otherwise approved it as detailed in 12 paragraph 5 below. 13

14

7. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Alameda County Superior Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

19

8. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then Coquette may provide written notice to Embry of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

- 26
- 27

9. <u>NOTICE</u>

1

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment
shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail,
return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

5	For Coquette:	For Embry:
6	Rudy Perrino	Noam Glick
7	WFBM, LLP 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 3280	Glick Law Group, PC 225 Broadway, 21st Floor
8	Los Angeles, CA 90017	San Diego, CA 92101

9 Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to
10 which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

10. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of
 which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the
 same document.

15

11

11. <u>POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES</u>

16 Embry agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety 17 Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code 18 section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which 19 motion Embry shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to 20 mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this 21 agreement as a judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For 22 purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for 23 approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing 24 before the Court if so requested.

25

12. <u>ENTIRE AGREEMENT</u>

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the
 Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations,
 commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or

1 implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the 2 Parties. 3

13. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and 5 entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application 6 of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court. 7

8

14.

4

AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they 9 have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein. 10

11

15. SETTLEMENT CONFIDENTIALITY

Until the following conditions occur, this Consent Judgment shall remain confidential 12 pursuant to the settlement privileges set forth under Cal. Evid. Code sections 1151, 1152, and 1154, 13 and any other privileges existing under state statutory, constitutional or common law: 14

(1) Embry files and serves Coquette and the California Attorney General, and all other 15 required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation, as provided in Section 1.6 16 17 above; and

18

(2) Embry files a Complaint against Coquette for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice, as provided in Section 1.7 above. 19

20	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
21	AGREED IU:	AGKEED IU:
22	Date: June 13, 2018	Date: June 18,2018
23		
24	By: Vareno	By:
25	KIM EMBRY	<u><i>RETER J. HOREA</i></u> [print name] Coquette International, Inc.
26		
27		
28		