1 2 3 4	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582) Kelsey McCarthy (SBN 305372) 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 615-2193		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Kim Embry		
6			
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
9	KIM EMBRY, an individual	Case No. RG 19025632	
10 11	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
12	v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)	
13	JOHN B. SANFILIPPO & SON, INC., and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive	Code Civ. 110c. § 004.0)	
14	Defendants.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

CONSENT JUDGMENT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between Kim Embry ("Embry") and John B. Sanfilippo & Sons, Inc. ("Sanfilippo") with Embry and Sanfilippo each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Embry is an individual residing in California and acting in the interest of the general public. She seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Sanfilippo employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

14

1.4 General Allegations

Embry alleges that Sanfilippo manufactures, imports, sells, and/or distributes for sale roasted 15 almonds that contain acrylamide and that Proposition 65 warnings are required for such products. 16 Embry further alleges that Sanfilippo does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as 17 required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, acrylamide is listed as 18 a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive harm. Sanfilippo denies that warnings are required 19 under Proposition 65 for any exposures to acrylamide in roasted almonds, and Sanfilippo maintains 20 21 that it has complied with all applicable federal and state laws, including but not limited to Proposition 65. 22

23

1.5 Notice of Violation

On April 27, 2018, Embry served Target Corporation ("Target"), the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq*. ("Target Notice"). The Notice alleges that Target violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to acrylamide contained in its "Archer Farms Roasted Almonds."

On May 3, 2018, Embry served Rite Aid Corporation ("Rite Aid"), the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of 2 California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Rite Aid Notice"). This Notice alleges 3 that Rite Aid violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the 4 health hazards associated with exposures to acrylamide contained in its "Big Win Roasted Almonds 5 Salted." 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

27

28

1

On June 5, 2019, Embry served Sanfilippo a supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation to claim that Sanfilippo violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn consumers in California of alleged exposures to acrylamide in roasted almonds ("Amended Notice"). The Target Notice, Rite Aid Notice and Amended Notice are referred to collectively as the "Notices."

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notices.

1.6 **Product Description**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Product" or "Products" are defined as roasted almond products containing acrylamide that are manufactured, purchased, distributed, or sold by Sanfilippo and which are sold in California.

1.7 Other Releases

18 This Consent Judgment expressly encompasses all of the subject Products, whether sold under 19 Sanfilippo's own brand name, or some other private label, at all grocery, retail, and other locations and sales channels, as well as derivative products containing other ingredients made with the 20 Products. 21

1.8 Complaint

On June 25, 2019, Embry filed a Complaint against Sanfilippo for the alleged violations of 23 Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint"). Upon entry 24 of this Consent Judgment, the Complaint shall be deemed amended nunc pro tunc to include allegations 25 asserted in the Amended Notice as to the Products. 26

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.9 No Admission

By stipulating to the entry of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Sanfilippo does not admit that it has violated, or threatened to violate, Proposition 65 or any other law or legal duty, and Sanfilippo does not admit that the chemical acrylamide in food poses any risk to human health.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Sanfilippo's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

10 11

1.10 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Sanfilippo as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

16

1.11 Effective Date and Compliance Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 5. Due to the fact that the Products are subject to other regulatory requirements and any reformulation must ensure compliance with these other applicable regulatory requirements, the "Compliance Date" is the date that is six (6) months after the Effective Date.

22

23

27

28

2. 2.1

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformulation of the Product

Any Products that are manufactured by Sanfilippo on and after the Compliance Date that are thereafter sold in California or distributed for sale in California shall not exceed 225 ppb on average for acrylamide, as set forth in this Section 2. As used in this Section 2.1, "distributed for sale in

> 4 CONSENT JUDGMENT

California" means to directly ship a Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Product to 1 a distributor that Sanfilippo knows will sell the Product in California. 2

3

5

6

7

2.2 Testing

Compliance with the Average Level shall be determined using LC-MS/MS (Liquid (a) 4 Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), GC/MS (Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties. On the Compliance Date, and continuing thereafter, Sanfilippo shall not manufacture any Product that will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceeds the following acrylamide concentration limits, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by a laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a nationally recognized accrediting organization.

(b) The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing, over no less than a ten-day period, one sample from at least five batches (or from as many batches as are available, if fewer than five) and a maximum of ten batches of Products produced at locations that supply such Products to California ("Sampling Data"). The mean and standard deviation shall be calculated using the Sampling Data. Any data points that are more than three standard deviations outside the mean shall be discarded once, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated using the remaining data points. The arithmetic mean determined in accordance with this procedure shall be deemed the "Average Level."

For at least three consecutive years after the Compliance Date, Sanfilippo shall arrange (c) for testing under Section 2.2. The testing shall be at least once per year, with the first testing occurring prior to the Compliance Date. No further testing shall be required unless Sanfilippo materially modifies its almond roasting processes, at which point testing shall recommence on an annual basis for at least three years.

2.2 Sell-Through Period

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, the Products that are manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to

customers. As a result, the obligations of Sanfilippo, or any Releases (if applicable), do not apply to these Products manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.

1

2

MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

Sanfilippo shall pay seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notices, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of eight thousand dollars (\$8,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs in the amount of sixty-seven thousand dollars (\$67,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

10

3.2 Civil Penalty

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to Embry.

All payments owed to Embry, shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street

1	Sacramento, CA 95814		
2	Sanfilippo agrees to provide Embry's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA,		
3	simultaneous with its penalty payments to Embry.		
4	The Parties, including Embry, will exchange completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other forms as		
5	required. Relevant information for Glick Law Group, N&T, and Embry are set out below:		
6	• "Kim Embry" whose address and tax identification number shall be provided within five		
7	(5) days after this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties;		
8	• "Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at the address provided in Section 3.2;		
9	• "Nicholas & Tomasevic" (EIN: 46-3474065) at the address provided in Section 3.3; and		
10	• "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" at 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA		
11	95814.		
12	3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs		
13	The portion of the settlement attributable to attorney's fees and costs shall be paid to Embry's		
14	counsel, who are entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by her in this action, including but not		
15	limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Sanfilippo's attention, as well as		
16	litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.		
17	Sanfilippo shall provide its payment to Embry's counsel in two checks, divided equally, payable		
18	to Glick Law Group, PC (\$33,500) and Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP (\$33,500) respectively. The		
19	addresses for these two entities are:		
20	Noam Glick Glick Law Group		
21	225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101		
22	Craig Nicholas		
23	Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 225 Broadway, 19th Floor		
24	San Diego, CA 92101 3.4 Timing		
25	The above mentioned checks will be issued within fourteen (14) days of the Effective Date.		
26	The above mendened encens and be assued main fourteen (14) days of the Brieflye Bater		
27			
28	7		

CONSENT JUDGMENT

2

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.

4.1 Embry's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

For any claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about 3 exposures to acrylamide from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Sanfilippo 4 prior to the Compliance Date, Embry, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases 5 Sanfilippo of any and all liability. This includes parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common 6 ownership, its directors, officers, principals, agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, 7 predecessors, successors, and assigns, and each entity to whom Sanfilippo directly or indirectly 8 9 distributes, ships, or sells the Products, including but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including, but not limited to, Target and Rite Aid), franchisees, 10 cooperative members, and licensees, and their owners, directors, officers, agents, principals, 11 employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, representatives, predecessors, successors, and assigns 12 (collectively, the "Releasees") of all claims that have been or could have been asserted under 13 Proposition 65 for any exposures to acrylamide from the Products manufactured, purchased, 14 distributed, or sold by Sanfilippo before the Compliance Date. Compliance with the terms of this 15 Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to any exposures to 16 acrylamide from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Sanfilippo after the 17 Compliance Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that 18 were or could have been asserted against Sanfilippo and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings 19 for alleged exposures to acrylamide contained in Products. 20

21

4.2 Embry's Individual Release of Claims

Embry, in her individual capacity, also waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and discharges and releases all claims, actions, causes of action (in law or in equity), suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, expenses, and fees (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorney's fees), and expenses (collectively, "Claims") as to all Releasees, under Proposition 65 or any statutory or common law from the alleged failure to provide warnings for any exposures to acrylamide, or for causing any exposures to acrylamide, in the Products. The release in this Section 4.2 is effective as a

which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of as well as a bar to all actions, causes of 1 action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands 2 3 by Embry of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, or suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to acrylamide in Products manufactured, imported, sold, or 4 5 distributed by Sanfilippo before the Compliance Date.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4.3 Sanfilippo's Release of Embry

Sanfilippo, on its own behalf as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Embry and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Embry and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it, in this matter or with respect to the Products.

4.4 Mutual Release of Known and Unknown Claims

Embry, on behalf of herself and her agents, attorneys, representatives, successors, and assigns, in her respective individual capacity only and not in her representative capacity, and Sanfilippo, each 14 15 provide a general release of the other including the Releasees herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all claims of any nature, character or kind, known or 16 unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect 17 to the Products. Embry and Sanfilippo each acknowledge that they are each familiar with Section 18 19 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY. Embry and Sanfilippo understand and acknowledge the significance and consequence of this waiver

of California Civil Code section 1542.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

5.

COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California.

8. <u>NOTICE</u>

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall
 be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt
 requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

15	For Sanfilippo:	For Embry:
16	Steven Siros Jenner & Block LLP	Noam Glick Glick Law Group, PC
17	353 N. Clark St. Chicago, IL 60654-3456	225 Broadway, 21st Floor
18	Chicago, IL 60654-5456	San Diego, CA 92101

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to whichall notices and other communications shall be sent.

21

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by signature through facsimile or
 portable document format (PDF), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when
 taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

25 10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Embry agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Embry shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

11. ENFORCEMENT

Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce the Consent Judgment shall provide the other Party written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. Embry shall not bring an enforcement action or institute a judicial proceeding if Sanfilippo demonstrates it has complied with the requirements of Section 2. Sanfilippo is entitled to designate such information as confidential.

In the event that meet and confer efforts are unsuccessful, the Party alleging a violation may initiate a judicial proceeding to enforce this Consent Judgment no earlier than 60 days after issuing the written notice specified in Section 11. In the event that a Party initiates such a judicial proceeding, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

12. MODIFICATION

12.1 **Modification**. This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

12.3 Change in Proposition 65. If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations
(including but not limited to the published "no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at Cal.
Code Regs., tit. 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2) or any "alternative risk level" adopted by

regulation or court decision) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, or if OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action that determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required or modifies the standard for warnings for acrylamide, then Sanfilippo may seek to modify this Consent Judgment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

27

28

12.4 Other Court Decisions. If a final decision of a court determines that warnings for acrylamide exposures or that enforcement of Proposition 65 claims for acrylamide exposures are preempted or otherwise unlawful or unconstitutional, then Sanfilippo may move to modify this Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling in order to avoid unfair, inconsistent, or anti-competitive results.

12.5. Federal Agency Action and Preemption. If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation or legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure for noticed motions set forth in Section 8 to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law.

12.6 Scientific Studies. If an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited
 to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or other legally
 binding act, following a review of scientific studies and following public notice and comment, a
 cancer potency estimate for acrylamide that equates to a no significant risk level higher than 0.2
 micrograms per day, then Sanfilippo shall be entitled to seek a modification of this Consent
 Judgment.

12.7 Before filing any motion to modify the Consent Judgment, Sanfilippo shall provide written notice to Embry to initiate the meet and confer procedure in Section 12.2. If the Parties do not agree on the proposed modification during informal meet and confer efforts, Sanfilippo may file a motion to modify the Consent Judgment within sixty (60) days of the date of the written notice that Sanfilippo provides to Embry under this Section 12.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 12, nothing in this Consent Judgment limits or affects the Court's authority to modify this Consent Judgment as provided by law.

14. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

Date: July 8, 2019

Bv

KIM EMBRY

Date:

ó CFO

John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc