1	George Rikos (State Bar No. 204864) LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE RIKOS 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (858) 342-9161		
2			
3			
4	Facsimile: (858) 724-1453 Email: george@gerorgerikoslaw.com		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff,		
6	BRAD VAN PATTEN		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO		
10	BRAD VAN PATTEN, and individual,	Case No. 37-2019-00053698-CU-PO-CTL	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	V.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DARE FOODS, INC.	
13	DARE FOODS, INC., et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18			
19	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
20	1.1 The Parties. This settlement	and prospective consent judgment	
21	("Settlement") is entered into by and between Brad Van Patten ("Van Patten") and Dare		
22	Foods, Inc. ("Dare"). Together, Van Patten and Dare are collectively referred to as the		
23	"Parties." Van Patten is an individual that resides in the State of California, and seeks to		
24	promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by		
25	reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Dare is		
26	company which employs ten or more persons and distributes food products in the United		
27	States, including to California.		
28			

1.2 General Allegations. Van Patten alleges that Dare is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code Sections 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65") and that acrylamide is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. Van Patten alleges that Dare has therefore exposed individuals to acrylamide from its sales of multigrain crackers without first providing users and consumers of the product with a clear and reasonable cancer warning as required pursuant to Proposition 65. Van Patten further alleges that Dare's alleged failure to meet Proposition 65's requirements are also a violation of California's Unfair Competition Act, Business and Professions Code Sections 17,200 *et seq*. Dare denies Van Patten's allegations and claims and, for a variety of reasons, avers that it is not required to provide such Proposition 65 warnings for the multigrain crackers it distributes for sale in California.

1.3 Product Description. The products covered by this Settlement are all multigrain crackers, including, without limitation, all varieties and pack sizes of Breton multigrain crackers, (the "Products") that have been manufactured, imported, distributed, offered for sale and/or sold in California by Dare or its affiliates.

17 1.4 Notice of Violation, Complaint, and Jurisdiction. On July 3, 2019, Van
Patten served Dare and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled
"Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq." (the "Notice").
The Notice provided Dare and such others, including public enforcers, with notice that
alleged that Dare was in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing
to warn California consumers and customers that use of the Products will expose them to
acrylamide. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the
Notice. On October 10, 2019, based on the Notice and the absence of any authorized public
prosecutor of Proposition 65 having filed a suit based on the allegations contained therein,
Van Patten filed a complaint ("Complaint") in the Superior Court of and for San Diego
County (the "Court"), Case No. 37-2019-00053698-CU-PO-CTL. For purposes of this

Settlement, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Dare, that venue is proper in the County of San Diego, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Settlement as a consent judgment as a full and final resolution of the claims and allegations contained in the Complaint.

1.5 No Admission. This Settlement resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Settlement as a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Settlement shall be construed as an admission by Dare of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Settlement constitute or be construed as an admission by Dare of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Dare. However, this Section 1.5 shall not diminish or otherwise affect Dare's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Settlement.

1.6 Effective Date. For purposes of this Settlement, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Settlement has been approved by the Court and Van Patten has provided notice to Dare that it has been entered in the Court's records as a consent judgment.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

2.1 As of the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Dare, with respect to the Products as defined herein which Dare elects to manufacture, import, distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California, shall take any and all necessary measures to ensure that acrylamide levels in a Product are, on average, kept below that requiring a cancer warning under Proposition 65 based on an average consumer's level and frequency of consumption of crackers and a 1×10^{-5} cancer risk for acrylamide based on scientific evidence equivalent or better in quality to that which formed the basis for the Proposition 65 listing of acrylamide as a carcinogen.

2.2 Dare may establish compliance with the requirement set forth in Section 2.1 by averaging acrylamide concentration level test results derived from multiple samples of the Products, or one or more composited samples drawn randomly from the Products, on an annual basis. An average acrylamide level less than or equivalent to 350 parts per billion shall be deemed to meet the requirement set forth in Section 2.1, but shall not prejudice Dare from establishing that an alternative acrylamide concentration level is more appropriate based on the criteria set forth in Section 2.1 and the Proposition 65 regulations. Such acrylamide testing shall be performed by Eurofins, Silliker, KPrime or another accredited laboratory using either: 1. GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry),

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

2. LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or

3. any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties.

13 After annual testing is completed, Dare shall provide written confirmation to counsel for 14 Van Patten that it has complied with the requirements of this Section 2. Dare's monitoring 15 obligation shall terminate on the second anniversary of the Effective Date.

16

17

3.

SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

3.1 **Civil Penalties**

18 In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Settlement, Dare shall pay \$6,000 19 as a civil penalty, allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §§ 20 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the penalty to be remitted to the California Office of 21 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the 22 Penalty remitted to Van Patten no later than ten (10) business days following the Effective 23 Date. More specifically, Dare shall issue two separate checks for the civil penalty payment 24 to (a) "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" in the amount of \$4,500 25 (75%); and to (b) "Law Offices of George Rikos in Trust for Brad Van Patten" in the 26 amount of \$1,500 (25%). These Payments shall be delivered as follows:

1 (i) The penalty payment owed to Van Patten shall be delivered to the 2 following address: 3 George Rikos Law Offices of George Rikos 4 Attn. Van Pattern v. Dare Settlement 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 5 San Diego, CA 92101 6 (ii) The penalty payment owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be 7 delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following 8 address: 9 Mike Gyurics **Fiscal Operations Branch Chief** 10 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment Attn. Prop 65 Penalties - Van Patten v. Dare Settlement 11 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814 12 13 Dare shall provide Van Patten's counsel with a copy of the check it sends to OEHHA with 14 its penalty payment to Van Patten. Late payments by Dare shall be subject to additional 15 penalties of \$100/day to be allocated between OEHHA and Van Patten in the same ratio as 16 set forth above. Van Patten's counsel shall provide Dare with an IRS W-9 form for its firm 17 and trust account for Van Patten within three (3) days of the Effective Date and Dare's 18 payment deadlines under this Agreement shall be tolled pending its receipt of the W-9 19 form. In association with the issuance of the payments under this Settlement, Dare will 20 issue IRS 1099 forms as appropriate given the payees. 21 3.2 **Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Costs** 22 Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Dare shall reimburse 23 Van Patten's counsel \$54,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating and 24 bringing this matter to Dare's attention, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and 25 obtaining the Court's approval of the Settlement and its entry as a consent judgment. Dare 26 shall issue a check for this amount payable to "Law Offices of George Rikos" and deliver 27 it to the address identified in Section 3.1 above. 28

4.

1

26

27

28

MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

2 4.1 Release of Dare and Downstream Customers and Entities. This 3 Settlement is a full, final and binding resolution between Van Patten, acting on his own 4 behalf and in the public interest, and Dare, of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or 5 could have been asserted by Van Patten or on behalf of his past and current agents, 6 representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assigns ("Releasors") for failure to provide 7 warnings for alleged exposures to acrylamide contained in the Products, and Releasors 8 hereby release any such claims against Dare and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities, 9 shareholders, marketplaces, directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, successors 10 and assignees, and each entity to whom Dare directly or indirectly distributes or sells the 11 Products, including but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, 12 retailers, and its respective subsidiaries, affiliates and parents, franchisees, cooperative 13 members and licensees (collectively, the "Releasees"), from all claims for violations of 14 Proposition 65 through the Effective Date based on their failure to warn of alleged exposure 15 to the chemical acrylamide from use of the Products.

16 In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for 17 the payments to be made pursuant to Section 3 above, Van Patten, on behalf of himself, his 18 past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby 19 covenants not to sue and waives any right to institute, participate in, directly or indirectly, 20 any form of legal action and releases all claims that he may have, including without 21 limitation, all actions and causes of action in law and in equity, all obligations, expenses 22 (including without limitation all attorneys' fees, expert fees, and investigation fees, and 23 costs), damages, losses, liabilities and demands against any of the Releasees of any nature, 24 character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and 25 arising out of the alleged or actual exposure to chemicals contained in Dare's crackers.

4.2 Dare's Release of Van Patten. Dare, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all

claims against Van Patten, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Van Patten and/or his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter.

4.3 California Civil Code Section 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and relating to the Products will develop or be discovered. Van Patten on behalf of himself only, on one hand, and Dare, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Agreement is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 4.1 and 4.2, above, may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Van Patten and Dare each acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542.

4.4 Deemed Compliance with Proposition 65. Compliance by Dare with this Settlement following the Effective Date constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to acrylamide from the Products. Products distributed by Dare prior to the Effective Date may be sold through as previously manufactured and labeled.

3

4

5

6

7

5.

ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

The Parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Settlement as a consent judgment based on the motion for its approval Van Patten will be making pursuant to Section 10 below. Upon entry of the Settlement as consent judgment, Van Patten and Dare waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations contained in the Complaint.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

8 If, subsequent to the execution of this Settlement, any of the provisions of this 9 Settlement are deemed by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable 10 provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected but only to the extent the deletion of 11 the provision deemed unenforceable does not materially affect, or otherwise result in the 12 effect of the Settlement being contrary to the intent of the Parties in entering into this 13 Settlement.

14

7.

GOVERNING LAW/ENFORCEMENT

15 The terms of this Settlement shall be governed by the law of the State of California 16 and apply within the State of California. The rights to enforce the terms of this Settlement 17 are exclusively conferred on the Parties hereto. Any Party may, after providing sixty (60) 18 days written notice and meeting and conferring within a reasonable time thereafter to 19 attempt to resolve any issues, by motion or application for an order to show cause before 20 this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Settlement. In the event that 21 Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable or limited by reason of law 22 generally, due to federal preemption or the First Amendment commercial speech rights of 23 the U.S. Constitution, or as to the Products or multigrain crackers more specifically, Dare 24 shall provide written notice to Van Patten of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Settlement Agreement with respect to, and to the 25 26 extent that, a Product is so affected.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

8. <u>NOTICES</u>

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight or two-day courier on any party by the other party to the following addresses:

With a come to:

For Dare:

7	
	Ingrid Bett Robin Stafford, Esq. VP Finance and Administration Morrison & Foerster, LLP
)	Dare Foods Limited 425 Market Street, 32 nd Floor 25 Cherry Blossom Road San Francisco, CA 94105
)	Cambridge, ON N3H 4R7
	For Van Patten:
	George Rikos, Esq. Law Offices of George Rikos
	225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

15 Either party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address16 to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

17

9.

COUNTERPARTS: SIGNATURES

This Settlement may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or .pdf signature,
each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall
constitute one and the same document.

21 | 10.

COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Van Patten agrees to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in Health
& Safety Code Section 25249.7(f) and to seek, by formal and properly noticed motion
(including with service to the Office of the California Attorney General being fully
effectuated at least 45 days prior to a requested hearing thereon), approval of this
Settlement's terms pursuant to Proposition 65 and its associated entry as a consent
judgment by the Court.

11. MODIFICATION

This Settlement may be modified only by a written agreement of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon a duly noticed motion of either Party for good cause shown. A showing of technical infeasibility or commercial unreasonableness in meeting the requirements of Section 2 with respect to the Products shall be deemed to constitute good cause for a modification to substitute an alternative no significant risk level on the basis of 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25703(b) in place of the cancer risk level and presumptive part per billion average concentration threshold set forth in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, and such a modification shall not be opposed by Van Patten. Any proposed modification shall be sent to the Office of the California Attorney General in advance of its submission to the Court such that the Attorney General has a reasonable opportunity to review and comment thereon.

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Settlement contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof. This Settlement shall have no effect if it is not approved by the Court and entered as a consent judgment.

20 /////

21 | /////

- 22 | /////
- 23 | /////
- 24 | /////
- 25 | /////

26 | /////

27 | /////

13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

1

2

3

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Settlement and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained of this Settlement.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date:	Date: January 7, 2020
By: George Rikos	By: Robin Stafford
Counsel to Brad Van Patten	Counsel for Dare Foods, Inc.
AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: Jan 9, 2020
Зу:	By: DiBett
T IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN FORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY THIS COURT:	ND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMEN
T IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN ORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY HIS COURT:	ND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMENT
T IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN ORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY HIS COURT:	ND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMENT Y BE ENTERED AS A CONSENT JUDGM
T IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN ORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY HIS COURT:	ND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMENT Y BE ENTERED AS A CONSENT JUDGM
T IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN ORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY HIS COURT:	ND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMENT Y BE ENTERED AS A CONSENT JUDGM
T IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN ORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY HIS COURT:	ND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMENT Y BE ENTERED AS A CONSENT JUDGM
T IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN FORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY THIS COURT:	ND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMENT Y BE ENTERED AS A CONSENT JUDGM
IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN	ND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMENT Y BE ENTERED AS A CONSENT JUDGM
T IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN FORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY FHIS COURT:	ND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMENT Y BE ENTERED AS A CONSENT JUDGM

13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

1

2

3

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Settlement and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained of this Settlement.

4	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
6	Date: January 9, 2020	Date:	
7 8 9	By: <i>George Rikos</i> George Rikos Counsel to Brad Van Patten	By: Robin Stafford Counsel for Dare Foods, Inc.	
10 11	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
12	Date: 01/07/2020 Da	te:	
13	210.10.		
14	By: Mulle Valatte By		
15	Brad Van Patten	Dare Foods, Inc.	
16 17 18	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMENT SET FORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY BE ENTERED AS A CONSENT JUDGMENT BY THIS COURT:		
19	DATED:		
20	JU	DGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	CONSENT JUDGMENT		