1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	ΓΗΕ STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COU	NTY OF ALAMEDA	
10			
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEAL	TH, ) Case No. RG 17-881932	
12	Plaintiff,	) [PROPOSED] CONSENT	
13	V.	<ul><li>) JUDGMENT AS TO GENERAL</li><li>) MILLS, INC., ANNIE'S, INC., AND</li></ul>	
14	BARREL O'FUN SNACK FOODS CO., LL	C, ANNIE'S HOMEGROWN, INC.	
15	et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17		) 	
18			
19	1. DEFINITIONS		
20	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative First Amended Complaint in the		
21	above-captioned matter.		
22	1.2 "Compliance Date" shall	mean May 1, 2021.	
23	1.3 "Covered Products" mean	ns Annie's Homegrown Organic Animal Cookies.	
24	1.4 "Effective Date" means t	ne date on which notice of entry of this Consent	
25	Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendants.		
26	2. INTRODUCTION		
27	2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental		
28	Health a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), on the one hand, and General Mills, Inc.,		
PARED			

Annie's, Inc., and Annie's Homegrown, Inc. ("Settling Defendants"), on the other hand. CEH and Settling Defendants (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendants as set forth in the Complaint.

- 2.2 On or about July 25, 2019, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendants, alleging that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons in California to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning (the "Notice").
- 2.3 Each Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so at times relevant to the Complaint.
- 2.4 On November 9, 2017, CEH filed the initial Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendant General Mills, Inc. as an original defendant with respect to alleged acrylamide exposures from different products than the Covered Products. On November 20, 2019, CEH filed the Complaint, naming Settling Defendants as defendants with respect to alleged acrylamide exposures from the Covered Products.
- 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notice with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendants. The Parties agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment does not resolve CEH's claims in the Complaint against Settling Defendants with respect to acrylamide exposures from Betty Crocker Molasses Cookie Mix.
  - 2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission

against interest by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission against interest by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** After the Compliance Date, Settling Defendants shall not purchase or manufacture Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California which do not meet the Reformulation Levels in sections 3.1.1-3.1.2 below. Covered Products purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Compliance Date are not subject to the injunctive relief requirements of Section 3, even if such products are sold in California or to California consumers after the Effective Date.
- 3.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 175 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Average Level").
- 3.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products shall not exceed 200 ppb by weight (the "Unit Level"), based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested.
- 3.2 Average Level Determination. The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least one (1) sample each from at least five (5) and up to ten (10) different lots of a particular Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if fewer than three) during a testing period of at least 365 days. The mean and standard deviation shall be calculated using the sampling data. Any data points that are more than three standard deviations outside the mean shall be discarded, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated using the remaining data points. The mean determined in accordance with this procedure shall be deemed the "Average Level." Alternatively, the Average Level may be determined in accordance with any applicable Proposition 65 regulations.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER 3.3 **Compliance Testing.** Compliance with the Reformulation Levels shall be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry) or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties.

## 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 by a Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

## 4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 Notice of Violation. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was manufactured, distributed, sold or offered for sale by a Settling Defendant with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured on or after the Compliance Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product has an acrylamide level exceeding the Unit Level, then CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section. If the manufacturer or purchase date is not apparent from the dates or coding used on the Covered Product label, CEH shall withdraw the Notice of Violation if Settling Defendants demonstrate that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured or purchased by Settling Defendants before the Compliance Date. Such Notice of Violation shall be based upon a test result sufficient to establish an exceedance of the Unit Level as it is to be evaluated under the sampling and testing criteria described in Section 3 above.

# 4.2.2 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

4.2.2.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendants, and must be served within thirty (30) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by a Settling Defendant, provided,

23

24

25

however, that CEH may have up to an additional thirty (30) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

4.2.3 Notice of Election of Response. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendants shall provide written notice to CEH whether they elect to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendants shall be granted up to an additional thirty (30) days to elect a response if, notwithstanding Settling Defendants' good faith efforts, Settling Defendants are unable to verify the test data provided by CEH in the Notice of Violation before the expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documents upon which Settling Defendants are relying to contest the alleged violation, including all then-available non-privileged test data. If a Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other non-privileged data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.4, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such non-privileged data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

4.2.3.2 Settling Defendants can successfully contest a Notice of Violation if they produce with their Notice of Election a copy of correspondence dated before the time of the purchase that triggered CEH's Notice of Violation, to a person and/or entity that caused the Covered Product to be sold in California, instructing that person and/or entity to cease offering the Covered Product(s) for sale in California.

4.2.4 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendants shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within fifteen (15) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendants may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendants shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within fifteen (15) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. The parties may extend this fifteen (15) day time period by stipulation. In any such enforcement proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to an order by the Court requiring Settling Defendants to implement corrective action to remedy any violations of this Consent Judgment. In the event CEH proves a violation of Section 3.1 in an enforcement proceeding, the Court in its discretion may order that Settling Defendants cease selling any affected Covered Products in California without a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Proposition 65. In any enforcement proceeding regarding this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants may assert any and all defenses that are available.

4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendants elect to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, they shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

**WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer [and birth defects or other reproductive harm]. [Acrylamide is a chemical that can form in some foods during high-temperature cooking processes, such as frying, roasting, and baking.] For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

2.5

26

27

9

10

11

12

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. The bracketed terms may be provided at Settling Defendants' option. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Noticed Covered Product, on the packaging of the Noticed Covered Product, or on a placard, shelf tag, or sign, provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Noticed Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard, shelf tag, or sign where the Noticed Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which Noticed Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between the Noticed Covered Products and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For sales by Settling Defendants on the internet or by catalog where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

19

20

21

22232425

26

27

copying records of non-privileged correspondence sufficient to show market withdrawal of and/or the provision of warnings on the Noticed Covered Products to the extent they have such documents on file. If the Notice of Violation is based on a violation of the Unit Level with respect to a single Covered Product, Settling Defendants will be excused from the corrective action obligation if Settling Defendants produce test results or other evidence that: (1) demonstrates that the acrylamide levels found by CEH in the unit alleged to be in violation is an aberration; and (2) otherwise provides reasonable assurance that the remainder of the Noticed Covered Products, aside from the unit alleged to be in violation, comply with the Reformulation Levels. The Parties agree that this Section 4.2.5.2 is satisfied if Settling Defendants can demonstrate that the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation satisfies the applicable Average Level. However, to avail themselves of this provision, Settling Defendants must provide CEH with all non-privileged acrylamide test data in their possession, custody, or control pertaining to the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation that was performed within the year prior to the date of the Notice of Violation. If there is a dispute over whether Settling Defendants are excused from the corrective action obligation, Settling Defendants and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. 4.2.5.3 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, or third Notice of

Settling Defendants shall make available to CEH for inspection and

4.2.5.2

Violation received by Settling Defendants under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendants shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation as the total monetary amount. If Settling Defendants have received more than three (3) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendants shall pay \$25,000 for each subsequent Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendants produce with their Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of

Violation. If Settling Defendants are excused from the corrective action obligation pursuant to Section 4.2.5.2, then Settling Defendants shall pay \$2,500 for that Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendants be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued. Nothing in Sections 4.2.5.1 or 4.2.5.2 (addressing non-contested violations) shall impact the Court's authority in an enforcement proceeding to impose appropriate remedies for any contested Notices of Violation.

- 4.2.5.4 In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product. CEH shall be limited to issuing no more than two total Notices of Violation to Settling Defendants in the first three hundred and sixty-five (365) days after the Compliance Date.
- 4.2.6 Payments. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities, and shall be the extent of all monetary remedies available to CEH under this Consent Judgment for a non-contested Notice of Violation.
- Repeat Violations. If Settling Defendants have received three (3) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, as to the third and subsequent Notices of Violation in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek from Settling Defendants whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to issuing an order requiring that Settling Defendants cease selling any affected Covered Products in California without a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Proposition 65. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendants for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendants

1	and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendants can undertake to prevent future alleged
2	violations.
3	5. PAYMENTS
4	5.1 Payments by Settling Defendants. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the
5	Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall pay the total sum of \$85,000 as a settlement payment as
6	further set forth in this Section.
7	5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling
8	Defendants shall be paid in four (4) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered
9	as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein
10	shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendants to CEH in the amount of
11	\$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section
12	5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable
13	attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent
14	Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendants shall be allocated as set forth below between
15	the following categories and made payable as follows:
16	5.2.1 \$11,375 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
17	The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §
18	25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health
19	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty
20	payment for \$8,531.25 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer
21	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
22	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
23	Attn: Mike Gyurics
24	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
25	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
26	
27	
28	

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,843.75 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$8,525 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$65,100 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$58,600 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$6,500 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer

identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

#### 6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law. Any modification of this Consent Judgment shall not impact Settling Defendants' initial payment obligations under Section 5.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.
- Other CEH Settlements. Settling Defendants may move to modify this Consent Judgment to substitute a higher Reformulation Level that CEH agrees to in a future consent judgment applicable to products similar to the Covered Products, and CEH agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown. Any such modification shall only apply to Covered Products that are similar to those products that are subject to a higher Reformulation Level.
- Other Court Decisions Regarding Similar Products. If a final decision of a court determines that warnings for acrylamide exposures or that enforcement of Proposition 65 claims for acrylamide exposures are preempted or otherwise unlawful or unconstitutional with respect to products similar to the Covered Products, then Settling Defendants may move to modify this Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling in order to avoid unfair, inconsistent, or anti-competitive results.
- 6.5 **Change in Proposition 65.** If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations (including but not limited to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2) or any "alternative risk level" adopted by regulation or court decision) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels, or if

OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action for products similar to the Covered Product in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels or that determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required for such products, then Settling Defendants may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to modify the Reformulation Levels. The Parties recognize that the Reformulation Levels are based on a compromise of a number of issues, and that a change to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide would not necessarily entitle a Party to a modification of the terms of this Consent Judgment corresponding in a linear relationship with such a change.

6.7 **Federal Agency Action and Preemption.** If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or other legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure for noticed motions set forth in Section 6.1 to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law. Any such modification shall be limited to those changes that are necessary to bring this Consent Judgment into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law.

## 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendants prior to the Compliance Date, even if such products are sold in California or to California consumers after the Compliance Date.

Rachel Porter General Mills, Inc. Number One General Mills Blvd. Minneapolis, MN 55426 Rachel.Porter@genmills.com

Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

# 9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendants, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 9.2 If the Court does not approve the Consent Judgment, the Parties agree to meet and confer as to whether to modify the language or appeal the ruling. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the Court's trial calendar. In the event that this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court and subsequently overturned by any appellate court, then any monies that have been provided to CEH or its counsel under this Consent Judgment shall be refunded within 15 days of the appellate decision becoming final and the Parties shall reasonably cooperate to obtain a timely refund of monies paid to OEHHA under this Consent Judgment.
- 9.3 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

Within ten (10) days of receiving the initial payments as required by Section 5.1, CEH shall dismiss all other defendants besides Settling Defendants that are named in this action without prejudice, and those defendants shall waive all costs in this action.

## 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

# 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendants prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding, Settling Defendants may be awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

## 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

## 13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

## 14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

#### 15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against any other entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendants may move to modify this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 6 to substitute higher Reformulation Levels that CEH agrees to in a future settlement or consent judgment applicable to products substantially identical to the Covered Products, and CEH agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.

## 16. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

16.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendants, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

# 17. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

17.1 CEH agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

### 18. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

18.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

**∠O** Document Prepared

1	CD, AND	
2	DECREED.	
3		
4		
5	Dated:	
6 7		Judge of the Superior Court
8		
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
10	Dated: February 19, 2021	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
11		M-10/
12		Michael C
13		Signature
14		Michael Green
15		Printed Name
16		CEO
17		Title
18	Dated:, 2021	GENERAL MILLS, INC.
19		
20		
21		Signature
22		
23		Printed Name
24		
25		Title
26		
27		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		18

CONSENT JUDGMENT – GENERAL MILLS/ANNIE'S – CASE NO. RG 17-881932

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8		SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9		FOR THE COUNTY	OF ALAMEDA
10			
11	CENTER	FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	) Case No. RG 17-881932
12	Plaintiff,		) [PROPOSED] CONSENT ) JUDGMENT AS TO GENERAL
13	v.		) MILLS, INC., ANNIE'S, INC., AND
14	BARREI et al.,	O'FUN SNACK FOODS CO., LLC,	ANNIE'S HOMEGROWN, INC.
15	<i>c. u</i> ,	Defendants.	)
16		2 Clandanios	) )
17			,
18			
19		CFINITIONS	
20	1.1	-	erative First Amended Complaint in the
21	above-captioned matter.		
22	1.2	"Compliance Date" shall mean	May 1, 2021.
23	1.3 "Covered Products" means Annie's Homegrown Organic Animal C		nie's Homegrown Organic Animal Cookies.
24	1.4	"Effective Date" means the date	e on which notice of entry of this Consent
25	Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendants.		
26	2. IN	TRODUCTION	
27	2.1		gment are the Center for Environmental
28	Health a C		, on the one hand, and General Mills, Inc.,
PARED PAPER		1	

Annie's, Inc., and Annie's Homegrown, Inc. ("Settling Defendants"), on the other hand. CEH and Settling Defendants (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendants as set forth in the Complaint.

- 2.2 On or about July 25, 2019, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendants, alleging that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons in California to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning (the "Notice").
- 2.3 Each Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so at times relevant to the Complaint.
- 2.4 On November 9, 2017, CEH filed the initial Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendant General Mills, Inc. as an original defendant with respect to alleged acrylamide exposures from different products than the Covered Products. On November 20, 2019, CEH filed the Complaint, naming Settling Defendants as defendants with respect to alleged acrylamide exposures from the Covered Products.
- 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notice with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendants. The Parties agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment does not resolve CEH's claims in the Complaint against Settling Defendants with respect to acrylamide exposures from Betty Crocker Molasses Cookie Mix.
  - 2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER against interest by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission against interest by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** After the Compliance Date, Settling Defendants shall not purchase or manufacture Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California which do not meet the Reformulation Levels in sections 3.1.1-3.1.2 below. Covered Products purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Compliance Date are not subject to the injunctive relief requirements of Section 3, even if such products are sold in California or to California consumers after the Effective Date.
- 3.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 175 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Average Level").
- 3.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products shall not exceed 200 ppb by weight (the "Unit Level"), based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested.
- 3.2 Average Level Determination. The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least one (1) sample each from at least five (5) and up to ten (10) different lots of a particular Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if fewer than three) during a testing period of at least 365 days. The mean and standard deviation shall be calculated using the sampling data. Any data points that are more than three standard deviations outside the mean shall be discarded, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated using the remaining data points. The mean determined in accordance with this procedure shall be deemed the "Average Level." Alternatively, the Average Level may be determined in accordance with any applicable Proposition 65 regulations.

3.3 **Compliance Testing.** Compliance with the Reformulation Levels shall be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry) or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties.

# 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 by a Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

## 4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 Notice of Violation. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was manufactured, distributed, sold or offered for sale by a Settling Defendant with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured on or after the Compliance Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product has an acrylamide level exceeding the Unit Level, then CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section. If the manufacturer or purchase date is not apparent from the dates or coding used on the Covered Product label, CEH shall withdraw the Notice of Violation if Settling Defendants demonstrate that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured or purchased by Settling Defendants before the Compliance Date. Such Notice of Violation shall be based upon a test result sufficient to establish an exceedance of the Unit Level as it is to be evaluated under the sampling and testing criteria described in Section 3 above.

# 4.2.2 Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.

4.2.2.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendants, and must be served within thirty (30) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by a Settling Defendant, provided,

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

however, that CEH may have up to an additional thirty (30) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendants shall provide written notice to CEH whether they elect to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendants shall be granted up to an additional thirty (30) days to elect a response if, notwithstanding Settling Defendants' good faith efforts, Settling Defendants are unable to verify the test data provided by CEH in the Notice of Violation before the expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documents upon which Settling Defendants are relying to contest the alleged violation, including all then-available non-privileged test data. If a Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other non-privileged data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.4, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such non-privileged data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 4.2.3.2 Settling Defendants can successfully contest a Notice of Violation if they produce with their Notice of Election a copy of correspondence dated before the time of the purchase that triggered CEH's Notice of Violation, to a person and/or entity that caused the Covered Product to be sold in California, instructing that person and/or entity to cease offering the Covered Product(s) for sale in California.
- 4.2.4 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendants shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within fifteen (15) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendants may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendants shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within fifteen (15) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. The parties may extend this fifteen (15) day time period by stipulation. In any such enforcement proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to an order by the Court requiring Settling Defendants to implement corrective action to remedy any violations of this Consent Judgment. In the event CEH proves a violation of Section 3.1 in an enforcement proceeding, the Court in its discretion may order that Settling Defendants cease selling any affected Covered Products in California without a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Proposition 65. In any enforcement proceeding regarding this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants may assert any and all defenses that are available.
- 4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendants elect to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, they shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

4.2.5.1 Settling Defendants shall include in their Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that they have undertaken or propose to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number or lot code as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter be sold or offered for sale to consumers in California by retailer or distributor customers of Settling Defendants, nor shipped for sale in California by Settling Defendants, without a clear and reasonable warning. Any such warning shall contain the following statement:

**WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer [and birth defects or other reproductive harm]. [Acrylamide is a chemical that can form in some foods during high-temperature cooking processes, such as frying, roasting, and baking.] For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. The bracketed terms may be provided at Settling Defendants' option. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Noticed Covered Product, on the packaging of the Noticed Covered Product, or on a placard, shelf tag, or sign, provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Noticed Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard, shelf tag, or sign where the Noticed Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which Noticed Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between the Noticed Covered Products and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For sales by Settling Defendants on the internet or by catalog where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4.2.5.2 Settling Defendants shall make available to CEH for inspection and copying records of non-privileged correspondence sufficient to show market withdrawal of and/or the provision of warnings on the Noticed Covered Products to the extent they have such documents on file. If the Notice of Violation is based on a violation of the Unit Level with respect to a single Covered Product, Settling Defendants will be excused from the corrective action obligation if Settling Defendants produce test results or other evidence that: (1) demonstrates that the acrylamide levels found by CEH in the unit alleged to be in violation is an aberration; and (2) otherwise provides reasonable assurance that the remainder of the Noticed Covered Products, aside from the unit alleged to be in violation, comply with the Reformulation Levels. The Parties agree that this Section 4.2.5.2 is satisfied if Settling Defendants can demonstrate that the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation satisfies the applicable Average Level. However, to avail themselves of this provision, Settling Defendants must provide CEH with all non-privileged acrylamide test data in their possession, custody, or control pertaining to the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation that was performed within the year prior to the date of the Notice of Violation. If there is a dispute over whether Settling Defendants are excused from the corrective action obligation, Settling Defendants and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

4.2.5.3 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, or third Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendants under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendants shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation as the total monetary amount. If Settling Defendants have received more than three (3) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendants shall pay \$25,000 for each subsequent Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendants produce with their Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of

Violation. If Settling Defendants are excused from the corrective action obligation pursuant to Section 4.2.5.2, then Settling Defendants shall pay \$2,500 for that Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendants be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued. Nothing in Sections 4.2.5.1 or 4.2.5.2 (addressing non-contested violations) shall impact the Court's authority in an enforcement proceeding to impose appropriate remedies for any contested Notices of Violation.

- 4.2.5.4 In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product. CEH shall be limited to issuing no more than two total Notices of Violation to Settling Defendants in the first three hundred and sixty-five (365) days after the Compliance Date.
- 4.2.6 Payments. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities, and shall be the extent of all monetary remedies available to CEH under this Consent Judgment for a non-contested Notice of Violation.
- Repeat Violations. If Settling Defendants have received three (3) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, as to the third and subsequent Notices of Violation in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek from Settling Defendants whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to issuing an order requiring that Settling Defendants cease selling any affected Covered Products in California without a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Proposition 65. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendants for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendants

1 and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendants can undertake to prevent future alleged 2 violations. 3 5. **PAYMENTS** 4 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendants. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the 5 Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall pay the total sum of \$85,000 as a settlement payment as 6 further set forth in this Section. 7 5.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling 8 Defendants shall be paid in four (4) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered 9 as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein 10 shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendants to CEH in the amount of 11 \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 12 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable 13 attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent 14 Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendants shall be allocated as set forth below between 15 the following categories and made payable as follows: 16 5.2.1 \$11,375 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). 17 The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 18 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health 19 Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$8,531.25 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer 20 21 identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows: 22 For United States Postal Service Delivery: 23 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 24 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B 25 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 26 27

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief

Office of Environmenta

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B

Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,843.75 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$8,525 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$65,100 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$58,600 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$6,500 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer

ON RECYCLED PAPER

identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

### 6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law. Any modification of this Consent Judgment shall not impact Settling Defendants' initial payment obligations under Section 5.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.
- Other CEH Settlements. Settling Defendants may move to modify this Consent Judgment to substitute a higher Reformulation Level that CEH agrees to in a future consent judgment applicable to products similar to the Covered Products, and CEH agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown. Any such modification shall only apply to Covered Products that are similar to those products that are subject to a higher Reformulation Level.
- Other Court Decisions Regarding Similar Products. If a final decision of a court determines that warnings for acrylamide exposures or that enforcement of Proposition 65 claims for acrylamide exposures are preempted or otherwise unlawful or unconstitutional with respect to products similar to the Covered Products, then Settling Defendants may move to modify this Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling in order to avoid unfair, inconsistent, or anti-competitive results.
- 6.5 **Change in Proposition 65.** If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations (including but not limited to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2) or any "alternative risk level" adopted by regulation or court decision) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels, or if

∠ð

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action for products similar to the Covered Product in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels or that determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required for such products, then Settling Defendants may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to modify the Reformulation Levels. The Parties recognize that the Reformulation Levels are based on a compromise of a number of issues, and that a change to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide would not necessarily entitle a Party to a modification of the terms of this Consent Judgment corresponding in a linear relationship with such a change.

6.7 **Federal Agency Action and Preemption.** If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or other legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure for noticed motions set forth in Section 6.1 to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law. Any such modification shall be limited to those changes that are necessary to bring this Consent Judgment into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law.

# 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendants prior to the Compliance Date, even if such products are sold in California or to California consumers after the Compliance Date.

1	7.2 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under		
2	Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever		
3	discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream		
4	Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or		
5	common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the		
6	public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection		
7	with Covered Products that were purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold, or offered		
8	for sale by Settling Defendants prior to the Compliance Date, even if such products are sold in		
9	California or to California consumers after the Compliance Date.		
10	7.3 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under		
11	Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants		
12	shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and		
13	Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in		
14	Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Compliance		
15	Date.		
16	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
17	8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
18	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
19	Howard Hirsch		
20	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
21	San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com		
22			
23	When Settling Defendants are entitled to receive any notice under this		
24	Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:  David T. Biderman		
25	Perkins Coie LLP 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700		
26	Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721 DBiderman@perkinscoie.com		
27	DDIGCI III ali (wpci kiliscole.com		
28			

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

27

Rachel Porter General Mills, Inc. Number One General Mills Blvd. Minneapolis, MN 55426 Rachel.Porter@genmills.com

Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

# 9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendants, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 9.2 If the Court does not approve the Consent Judgment, the Parties agree to meet and confer as to whether to modify the language or appeal the ruling. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the Court's trial calendar. In the event that this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court and subsequently overturned by any appellate court, then any monies that have been provided to CEH or its counsel under this Consent Judgment shall be refunded within 15 days of the appellate decision becoming final and the Parties shall reasonably cooperate to obtain a timely refund of monies paid to OEHHA under this Consent Judgment.
- 9.3 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

Within ten (10) days of receiving the initial payments as required by Section 5.1, CEH shall dismiss all other defendants besides Settling Defendants that are named in this action without prejudice, and those defendants shall waive all costs in this action.

## 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

## 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendants prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding, Settling Defendants may be awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

## 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

# 13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

## 14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

#### 15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against any other entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendants may move to modify this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 6 to substitute higher Reformulation Levels that CEH agrees to in a future settlement or consent judgment applicable to products substantially identical to the Covered Products, and CEH agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.

## 16. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendants, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

# 17. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

17.1 CEH agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

### 18. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

18.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

1	CD, AND	
2	DECREED.	
3		
4		
5	Dated:	
6 7		Judge of the Superior Court
8		
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
10	Dated: February 19, 2021	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
11		M-10/
12		Michael C
13		Signature
14		Michael Green
15		Printed Name
16		CEO
17		Title
18	Dated:, 2021	GENERAL MILLS, INC.
19		
20		
21		Signature
22		
23		Printed Name
24		
25		Title
26		
27		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		18

CONSENT JUDGMENT – GENERAL MILLS/ANNIE'S – CASE NO. RG 17-881932

1 2	IT IS SO ORI	DERED, ADJUDGE	D, AND
3	DECREED.		
4			
5			
6	Dated:		
7			Judge of the Superior Court
8			
9	IT IS SO STIP		
10	Dated:	. 2021	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
11		,	CENTER I OR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
12			
13			Signature
14			
15			Printed Name
16			
17			Title
18	D ( 1 2/17/2	2021 2021	
19	Dated: 2/17/2021	, 2021	GENERAL MILLS, INC.
20			Emily Thomas
21			Signature
22			Emily Thomas
23			Printed Name
24			
25			VP/Managing Director, 3BOU
26			Title
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER			18

1		
1 2	Dated: <sup>2/15/2021</sup> , 2021	ANNIE'S, INC. AND ANNIE'S
3	Dated: <sup>2/15/2021</sup> , 2021	HOMEGROWN, INC.
4		Docusigned by: Emily Thomas
5		Signature 334B3
6		
7		Emily Thomas Printed Name
8		Timed Tume
9		VP/Managing Director, 3BOU
10		Title
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		19