1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY O	F ALAMEDA
11		
12		a
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	Case No. RG-19-047748
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS
15	V.	TO HOLY KOMBUCHA, INC.
16	GT'S LIVING FOODS, LLC; MILLENNIUM PRODUCTS, INC.; ALBERTSON'S LLC; O ORGANICS LLC; LUCERNE FOODS INC.; SAFEWAY INC.; HUMM KOMBUCHA,	
17	ORGANICS LLC; LUCERNE FOODS INC.; SAFEWAY INC.; HUMM KOMBUCHA,	
18	LLC; INC.; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	I .	

CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: HOLY KOMBUCHA - Case No. RG-19-047748

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH") and Holy Kombucha, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers Kombucha drinks sold by Settling Defendant other than those sold as alcoholic beverages that have been or will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers ("Covered Products").
- 1.2 On October 30, 2019, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and Settling Defendant, alleging that, by selling the Covered Products, Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to ethyl alcohol in alcoholic beverages and alcoholic beverages (collectively, "Alcohol") without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold or offered for sale or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On December 20, 2019, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter. On February 26, 2020, CEH filed an amendment to the Complaint naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, rule or regulation, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, rule or regulation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal or administrative proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The "Complaint" means the operative complaint in the above-captioned matter.
- 2.2 "Covered Products" means kombucha drinks manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant other than kombucha drinks that are sold as alcoholic beverages.
- 2.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.
 - 2.4 "Expiration Date" means the best-by or sell-by date of a Covered Product.
 - 2.5 "Compliance Level" means less than 0.5% Alcohol by volume.
- 2.6 "Type" means flavor. To the extent different flavors of Covered Products contain identical ingredients, such products will be treated as the same Type for purposes of this Consent Judgment.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Alcohol Content of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not sell or offer for sale directly to consumers or to a reseller a Covered Product manufactured on or after the Effective Date to California consumers if that Covered Product contains more than 0.5% Alcohol by volume as measured at any time between the production date and the expiration date of the Covered Product according to the results of the testing described in Section 3.2 below. Settling Defendant shall not be deemed to be in violation of this injunction if a reseller, who received a Covered Product prior to the Effective Date, resells a Covered Product after the Effective Date.

- 3.2 **Testing.** In order to ensure that the Covered Products meet the Compliance Level, Settling Defendant shall conduct random testing of Covered Products from its production facility and take the follow-up actions described in this section ("Validation Testing").
- 3.2.1 <u>Covered Products To Be Tested.</u> For a period of three (3) years following the date this judgment is entered by the Court, Validation Testing shall be performed on a quarterly basis for each Type of Covered Product Settling Defendant manufactures or arranges to be manufactured on or after the Effective Date; such Validation Testing shall be performed on samples drawn randomly from single production lots of each Type of Covered Product manufactured during that quarter. Three (3) samples of each Type of Covered Product shall be tested at the beginning and end of the Covered Product's shelf-life, extending from a Covered Product's manufacturing date to its expiration or "best-by" date (the "Testing Period").
- 3.2.2 <u>Methods of Testing</u>. Settling Defendant shall conduct Alcohol by Volume Validation Testing pursuant to one of the following methods: (1) Ethanol Analysis by Headspace Gas Chromatography with Mass Spectroscopy Detection; or (2) Ethanol Analysis by Headspace Gas Chromatography with Flame Ionization Detector (AOAC 2016.12). Additionally, Settling Defendant may use any other methodology for alcohol testing of kombucha products that is accepted for First Action by the AOAC in the future.
- 3.2.3 <u>Laboratories Conducting Validation Testing</u>. Any Validation Testing shall be performed by an independent, accredited third party laboratory.
- 3.2.4 Covered Products That Exceed Compliance Level. If the Validation

 Testing result indicates that any of the tests for a type of a Covered Product exceeds the Compliance

 Level, Settling Defendant shall, within ten days, select another production lot of the same Type and
 sample three additional bottles for testing ("Secondary Testing"). Should this Secondary Testing
 show an exceedance of a Compliance Level, Settling Defendant shall, within 10 days of the
 exceedance: (a) notify CEH as set forth in Section 8; and (b) undertake a root cause analysis of the
 formulation, production and storage of that Type of Covered Product to determine the cause of the
 exceedance, and shall institute appropriate corrective measures to avoid future exceedances as

27

28

measured by the quarterly Validation Testing. Settling Defendant must provide CEH with notice regarding the corrective measures taken.

3.2.5 <u>Records</u>. The testing reports and results of the Validation Testing and Secondary Testing performed pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be retained by Settling Defendant for four (4) years and made available to CEH upon reasonable request, but no more than twice per year.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Alameda, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation setting forth the basis for the alleged violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. Should such attempts at meeting and conferring fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. In ruling on any motion to enforce the terms of this section, the Court may, in addition to ordering compliance with the terms of this Judgment, employ such remedies as necessary to ensure compliance with Proposition 65 including, but not limited to, requiring Settling Defendant to provide warnings. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application to enforce a material violation of this Consent Judgment under this Section, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion or application under this Section, Settling Defendant may be awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application was not in good faith.

5. PAYMENTS

5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of Thirty-five Thousand Dollars and no cents \$35,000.00 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1)

94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$3,480 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund, which is used to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about alcohol and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to alcohol and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$26,850 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$22,600 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$4,250 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$3,510	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$1,160	LLG

Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$3,480	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$22,600	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$4,250	LLG

5.2.5 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure § 780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant do not comply fully with its payment obligations under this Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may seek an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit a debtor's examination in the Alameda County Superior Court. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such debtor's examination ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn

28

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective as a contract upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall also prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or

1	shall	onstitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such		
2	waiver constitute a continuing waiver.			
3	13.	SUBMISSION OF REPORTS AND DATA TO CEH		
4		13.1 For any report or information that Settling Defendant submit to CEH pursuant to this		
5	Cons	t Judgment, Settling Defendant may make such a submission subject to the terms of a		
6	prote	ive order		
7	14.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		
8		14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent	t	
9	Judgr	Judgment.		
10	15.	AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT		
11		Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
12	by the	Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute		
13	the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.			
14	16.	NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
15		16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
16	again	an entity that is not the Settling Defendant (or a Defendant Releasee) on terms that are		
17	different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.			
18	17.	EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
19		17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
20	mean	of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
21	const	ate one document.		
22		TO OPPERED ADMINGER AND		
23	DEC	SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND EED:		
24				
25				
26	Dated	, 2020		
27			_	
28		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California		
		-10-		
		CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: HOLY KOMBUCHA - Case No. RG-19-047748		

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: April 23 , 2020-2021	CENTED FOR ENTINONIMENTAL HEALTH
4	Dated: <u>1 19 11 20 , 2020 2021</u>	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5		.1
6		Michael C
7		
8		Michael Green Chief Executive Officer
9		
10		
11	Dated: April 9 , 20202021	HOLY)KOMBUCHA, INC.
12		XI TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE
13		Signature
14		Leandro Bienati
15		Printed Name
16		CEO
17		Title
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		