1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	RICHARD T. DRURY (CBN 163559) REBECCA L. DAVIS (CBN 271662) LOZEAU DRURY LLP 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94607 Ph: 510-836-4200; Fax: 510-836-4205 Email: richard@lozeaudrury.com rebecca@lozeaudrury.com <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> COMMUNITY SCIENCE INSTITUTE ANGELA C. AGRUSA (CA Bar No. 131337) Angela.Agrusa@dlapiper.com		
 10 11 12 13 14 15 	GEORGE GIGOUNAS (CA Bar No. 209334) George.Gigounas@dlapiper.com GREGORY G. SPERLA (CA Bar No. 278062) Greg.Sperla@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US) 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, California 94105-2933 T: 415.836.2500; F: 415.836.2501		
15 16	Attorneys for Defendant SEQUEL NATURALS ULC		
17 18	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
19 20	COMMUNITY SCIENCE INSTITUTE, a non-profit association,	Case No. RG18909334	
21	Plaintiff,	Assigned For All Purposes to The Honorable Brad Seligman—Department 23	
22	VS.	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	
23 24	SEQUEL NATURALS ULC, a Canadian unlimited liability corporation,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.	
25 26	Defendant.	Case Filed: June 16, 2018 First Amend. Comp. Filed: August 6, 2018 Trial Date: None Set	
27 [–] 28			
	Page 1 of 18 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No RG18909334		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On June 16, 2018, Plaintiff Community Science Institute ("CSI"), a non-profit association, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Civil Penalties pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), against Sequel Naturals ULC ("Sequel" or "Defendant"), and Sequel Naturals Ltd.

1.2 CSI's June 16, 2018 complaint was based on allegations contained in CSI's
Notice of Violation ("NOV") dated March 30, 2018 that was served on the California Attorney
General, other public enforcers, Sequel, and Sequel Naturals Ltd. A true and correct copy of the
March 30, 2018 NOV is attached as Exhibit A to this Consent Judgment and incorporated
herein by reference.

1.3 On August 6, 2018, CSI filed a First Amended Complaint against Sequel,
Sequel Naturals Ltd., and The WhiteWave Foods Company based on the allegations in CSI's
March 30, 2018 NOV and a January 18, 2018 NOV that was served on the California Attorney
General, other public enforcers, and The WhiteWave Foods Company. A true and correct copy
of the January 18, 2018 NOV is attached as Exhibit B to this Consent Judgment and
incorporated herein by reference.

8 1.4 On January 23, 2019, Sequel Naturals Ltd. and The WhiteWave Foods
9 Company were dismissed from this action without prejudice.

1.5 CSI filed the operative Second Amended Complaint (the "Complaint") against Defendant based on the allegations contained in CSI's March 30, 2018 NOV, and January 18, 2018 NOV, and a November 1, 2019 NOV served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Defendant (collectively, the "NOVs"). A true and correct copy of the November 1, 2019 NOV is attached as **Exhibit C** to this Consent Judgment and incorporated herein by reference.

1.6 CSI alleges products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant contain the chemicals lead and cadmium, which are listed under Proposition 65 as carcinogens and/or reproductive toxins, and expose consumers to lead and/or cadmium at levels which require a

Page 2 of 18

Proposition 65 warning. The products (herein individually a "Covered Product" or collectively the "Covered Products") are all Vega powders, including powder supplements, shakes, including shake powders and ready-to-drink products, protein bars, and protein snacks. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a non-exhaustive list of exemplar Vega products subject to the Consent Judgment.

1

2

3

4

5

13

23

24

25

26

27

28

6 1.7 Defendant denies all material and factual allegations in, or that arise from, CSI's NOVs and the Complaint and asserts it has affirmative defenses to CSI's claims. Defendant 7 8 further specifically denies that CSI or California consumers have been harmed or damaged by 9 its alleged conduct or the Covered Products or other products Defendant sold or sells. 10 Defendant asserts that the lead and cadmium in the Covered Products are naturally occurring as the result of natural geological and plant processes. Defendant and CSI each reserve all rights 11 to allege additional facts, claims, and affirmative defenses if this Consent Judgment is not 12 approved.

14 1.8 CSI and Defendant are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties." 15

16 1.9 CSI is an unincorporated association whose mission is to unite consumers and industrial neighbors to reform government and industry practices for a toxic-free future. 17

18 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Defendant is a 1.10 business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and 19 Defendant qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of 20 Proposition 65. Further, for purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Defendant 21 22 manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.

1.11 Over 60 days have passed since the NOVs were served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and Defendant, and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Defendant with regard to the Covered Products or the violations alleged in the NOVs.

1.12 CSI's NOVs and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products expose persons in California to lead and/or cadmium without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Defendant denies all material allegations contained in the NOVs and Complaint.

1.13 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any Party or any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, affiliates, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law. Nor shall this Consent Judgment be construed to impair or limit Sequel's rights under any prior Proposition 65 Consent Judgment.

10 1.14 Except as expressly set forth in this Consent Judgment, nothing in this Consent
11 Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties
12 may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.

13 1.15 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which Notice of
14 Entry of Judgment is served via email on counsel for Defendant.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the NOVs and Complaint.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

3.1 Starting one month from the Effective Date, Defendant shall not manufacture for sale in, distribute into, or directly sell in the State of California, any Covered Product unless such Covered Product (a) qualifies as a "Compliant Product" or (b) meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2. A "Compliant Product" is one for which the results of the testing performed by Defendant under Section 3.4 yield a daily exposure at or below 0.5

Case No RG18909334

micrograms of lead and 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day, as determined by exposure calculation methodology of Section 3.1.2, excluding any naturally occurring heavy metal levels as defined in Section 3.1.3, and by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4. Defendant shall be required to provide the warning set forth in Section 3.2 only for products causing exposures in excess of the foregoing levels.

3.1.1 As used herein, "distribute into the State of California" means to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California, or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor Defendant knows or has reason to know will sell it in California.

3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and determining Defendant's compliance with Proposition 65, daily heavy metal exposure levels shall, provided there are no directions on the product label to consume more than one serving per day, be calculated by using the following formula: micrograms of heavy metal per gram (mcg/g) in the product multiplied by one serving per day (4 grams for powders and shakes, 31.6 grams for ready-todrink products, 7 grams for bars, and 1.8 grams for protein snacks).

15 3.1.3 For the purposes of this Consent Judgment and determining Defendant's compliance with Proposition 65, Defendant shall be afforded a naturally occurring allowance 16 17 of up to one part per million (1,000 ppb) lead for any cocoa powder in the Covered Products 18 pursuant to the September 28, 2001 letter from the Attorney General to Roger Lane Carrick and Michele Corash and, 0.32 part per million (320 ppb) cadmium for any cocoa powder in the 19 Covered Products, pursuant to the Judgment approved by the Attorney General in As You Sow 20 v. Trader Joe's Company et al., S.F. Sup. Co. No. CGC-15-548791 (Feb. 15, 2018).

3.1.4 Any additional determination of any naturally occurring heavy metal in a given Covered Product may be established by a preponderance of evidence under California Code of Regulation ("CCR"), Title 27, § 22501, pursuant to a meet and confer of the Parties and, if necessary, a determination by a neutral arbitrator.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.2 **Clear and Reasonable Warnings**

If Defendant is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, Defendant must include either a long- or short-form warning ("Warning") as provided below.

1 3.2.1 If a long-form Warning is provided, the following Warning must be 2 utilized: 3 WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to [chemicals including] lead, which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other 4 reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food. 5 Defendant shall use the phrase "cancer and" in this Warning if the daily lead exposure 6 level from consuming the Covered Product is greater than 15 micrograms or if the daily cadmium 7 exposure level from consuming the Covered Product is greater than 4.1 micrograms as determined 8 pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4, or if additional testing 9 determines that another chemical is present that may require a Proposition 65 cancer warning. 10 3.2.2 Alternatively, if Defendant must provide a Warning under Section 3.1, 11 Defendant may provide a short-form Warning. Where a short-form Warning is provided in 12 place of a long-form Warning, one of the following Warnings must be utilized: 13 14 1) MARNING: Reproductive Harm – www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food. 15 16 OR 17 2) Marning: Cancer and Reproductive Harm – www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food. 18 19 3.2.3 Defendant shall use the second short-form Warning above if the daily 20 lead exposure level from consuming the Covered Products exceeds 15 micrograms or the daily 21 cadmium exposure level exceeds 4.1 micrograms, as determined under the quality control 22 23 methodology in Section 3.4, or if additional testing determines that another chemical is present that may require a Proposition 65 cancer warning. The first short-form Warning may be used in 24 25 all other cases. If the container or label of the Covered Product is not printed using the color 26 yellow, the equilateral triangle that precedes the short-form Warning language may be printed in 27 black and white. 28 Defendant shall provide the Warning on the container or label of each Covered Product

> Page 6 of 18 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No RG18909334

or through an online Warning before purchase. On-product Warnings shall be securely affixed 1 2 to or printed on the container or label of each Covered Product. If the Warning is on the label, 3 it must be set off from surrounding information and enclosed in a box. For Covered Products 4 sold on the internet, the Warning shall appear on the checkout page, when a California delivery 5 address is indicated, on the product display page, or by any other method authorized under § 6 25602(b) of Title 27 of the CCRs. If the Warning for a Covered Product is provided on the checkout page, an asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which product or products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning.

9 The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety 10 warnings also appearing on a website or on the labeling or container of the Covered Products' 11 product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No 12 statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of the Warning on the average lay person can accompany the Warning, and no statements may accompany the Warning 13 14 that state or imply the source of the listed chemical has any impact on or results in a less harmful 15 effect of the listed chemical. Defendant must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label or container, 16 17 or on its website, if applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an 18 ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

3.3 **Modification to Safe-Harbor Warning Regulations**

The Parties agree that, should Proposition 65 warning regulations change after the date this Consent Judgment is executed by the Parties, Defendant may either conform its warnings to the revised regulations, or comply with the terms provided in this Consent Judgment, and in so doing, will be in compliance with this Consent Judgment.

3.4 **Testing and Quality Control Methodology**

25 3.4.1 Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, Defendant shall 26 arrange for lead and cadmium testing of each of the Covered Products at least once a year by 27 arranging for testing of one randomly selected sample of each of the Covered Products from 28 three randomly selected lots of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-

Page 7 of 18

user, which Defendant intends to distribute into the State of California. Each sample to be tested shall be randomly selected. After three years from the Effective Date, Defendant shall have no further obligation to test pursuant to this section.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23

25

26

27

28

3.4.2 If tests conducted under this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during the three-year testing period, Defendant changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, Defendant shall test that Covered Product annually for three consecutive years after such change is made.

10 **3.4.3** For purposes of measuring the daily lead and cadmium exposure levels, 11 the highest of the three tested samples of each of the Covered Products shall be used for calculating lead and cadmium exposure levels for that Covered Product as set forth in Section 12 3.1.2, above. If the highest lead or cadmium content test reflects an exposure in excess of the 13 14 Compliant Product Standard, Defendant has the right to retest three samples from the same lot. 15 The highest lead or cadmium content testing results from each lot that is retested for the second round of sampling shall be used for calculating lead or cadmium exposure levels for that 16 17 Covered Product.

18 **3.4.4** All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a 19 laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate 20 for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that 21 meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") 22 achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg.

3.4.5 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an 24 independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.

3.4.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Defendant's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including

the raw materials used in their manufacture.

3.4.7 If CSI has a good faith belief that a Covered Product is in violation of this Consent Judgment, it may request from Defendant (1) lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 for such product(s) and (2) if Defendant is excluding "naturally occurring" amounts of lead or cadmium under this Section, document(s) sufficient to show all ingredients, including the quantity of each ingredient in the finished product, that contain naturally occurring lead or cadmium in amounts that are necessary to reduce daily heavy metal exposure level(s) below 0.5mcg/day lead and 4.1mcg/day cadmium. Sequel shall respond and provide requested documents within one month of receipt of CSI's written request. Defendant shall retain all test results and documentation collected pursuant to this Consent Judgment for a period of three years from the date of each test.

12 **3.4.8** The requirements of Section 3.4 shall not apply to any Covered Products for which Defendant has provided a warning that complies with Section 3.2 13

14 4.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

15 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, 16 attorney's fees, and costs, Defendant shall make a total payment of \$362,500.00 ("Total 17 Settlement Amount") to CSI within 20 business days of the Effective Date ("Due Date") on the 18 condition that CSI provides all necessary tax forms one month in advance of the Due Date. 19 Defendant shall make this payment via check made payable to Lozeau Drury LLP and sent to 20 1939 Harrison St., Suite 150, Oakland, California, 94612. The Total Settlement Amount shall 21 be apportioned as follows:

22 4.1 **Civil Penalty.** \$164,281.54 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). CSI shall remit 75% (\$121,928.57) 23 24 of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for 25 deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California 26 Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). CSI will retain the remaining 25% (\$40,642.86) 27 of the civil penalty.

28

4.2 Additional Settlement Payment. \$121,928.57 shall be considered an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to CCR, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. These funds shall be distributed by CSI or its counsel as follows:

4.3 Key Sciences, LLC. \$8,414.28 of the ASP funds shall be distributed to Key Sciences, LLC ("Key Sciences"). Key Sciences will use the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as alleged in this matter. Key Sciences will restrict use of the ASP received from this Consent Judgment to the following purposes:

4.3.1 ASP funds will be used by Key Sciences to offer free testing of products purchased in California for Proposition 65 listed chemicals.

4.3.2 Key Sciences activities will have a direct and primary effect within the State of California because the funds will be used to support work being done by non-profits to monitor compliance with Proposition 65.

4.3.3 Key Sciences shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. CSI shall require, as a prerequisite to the transfer of any funds pursuant to this Consent Judgment, that Key Sciences agree to provide the California Attorney General's office, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

4.4 Greenaction for Health & Environmental Justice. \$32,514.29 of the ASP funds shall be distributed to Greenaction for Health & Environmental Justice ("Greenaction"), a nonprofit corporation that works to change government and corporate policies and practices to protect health and to promote environmental, social and economic justice. Greenaction will restrict use of the ASP received from this Consent Judgment to the purposes described below.

4.4.1 Greenaction will use the funds to conduct community educational outreach and civic engagement activities that protect the public health from Proposition 65 listed chemicals. Specifically, the funds will be used for the following three projects:

4.4.2 The funds will go towards community outreach to inform residents of Bayview Hunters Point in San Francisco how to file pollution complaints on www.bvhpivan.org and how to engage with local, regional, and state agency officials on pollution issues at the monthly meetings of the multi-stakeholder Bayview Hunters Point Environmental Justice Response Task Force.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

27

28

6 4.4.3 The funds will also go towards community education and civic 7 engagement on assessment and cleanup of radiological and toxic contamination in Bayview 8 Hunters Point and Treasure Island in San Francisco. Funds will be used to pay for Greenaction staff to conduct multilingual community education to inform residents about contaminants at the Hunters Point Shipyard Superfund Site and at the former Naval station site at Treasure Island, and to inform the community about civic engagement opportunities related to assessment and cleanup of this contamination.

4.4.4 The funds will also be used for educational outreach and engagement 13 14 with truckers and businesses that use diesel vehicles to inform them of the laws restricting 15 idling and health impacts of emissions from diesel vehicle idling.

16 4.4.5 Greenaction's use of the ASP funds will have a direct and primary effect within the State of California because it will go towards education, outreach, and engagement 17 of Californians on contamination and exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals occurring in 18 19 California.

20 4.4.6 Greenaction shall be held fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent 21 and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes 22 23 described in this Consent Judgment. CSI shall require, as a prerequisite to the transfer of any 24 funds pursuant to this Consent Judgment, that Greenaction agree to provide the California 25 Attorney General's office, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation 26 demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

4.5 Attorneys' Fees. \$78,000 shall be distributed to Lozeau Drury LLP as reimbursement of CSI's attorney's fees and reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

4.6 Enforcement. In the event that Defendant fails to timely remit the Total Settlement Amount as set forth in this Section, Defendant shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. CSI shall provide written notice of the delinquency to Defendant via electronic mail. If Defendant fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010.

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by
written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment
or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 or 5.4 and upon entry by the Court of a
modified consent judgment.

16 5.2 If Defendant seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then that party must provide written notice to CSI of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If CSI notifies 17 Defendant in a timely manner of CSI's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet 18 and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via 19 telephone within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Intent. Within thirty (30) days of 20 such meeting, if CSI disputes the proposed modification, CSI shall provide to Defendant a 21 22 written basis for its position and the Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional 23 ten (10) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period. 24

5.3 In the event that any party initiates or otherwise requests a modification under
Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or joint application for a
modification of the Consent Judgment, the party initiating the modification shall reimburse the
other its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process

Page 12 of 18 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1 and filing and arguing the motion or application.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

24

5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own and the prevailing party shall recover its costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the proceeding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.

9 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT 10 JUDGMENT

6.1 This Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over the enforcement,
modification, or termination of this Consent Judgment and all related claims.

6.2 If CSI alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Compliant Product
(for which CSI alleges that no Warning has been provided), then CSI shall timely inform
Defendant of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Defendant to identify the
Covered Products at issue. Defendant shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice,
provide CSI with testing information meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4. The
Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter informally for 60 days prior to CSI taking any
further legal action.

6.3 CSI may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of
Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any
action brought by CSI to enforce this Consent Judgment, CSI may seek whatever fines, costs,
penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, affiliates, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers (including online retailers), predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by consumers residing in California.

8.

1

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CSI, 4 8.1 5 on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant and its respective officers. directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers, 6 7 franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, affiliates, retailers (including 8 online retailers), and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any 9 Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, 10 "Released Parties"). CSI, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses arising out of or relating to any omission of or other failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings, disclaimers, or disclosures concerning exposure to lead or cadmium from the purchase, handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products.

16 8.2 CSI on its own behalf only, and Defendant on its own behalf only, further 17 waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or 18 statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of 19 Proposition 65 in connection with the NOVs and Complaint up through and including the 20 Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's 21 right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

22 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts 23 alleged in the NOVs and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be 24 discovered. CSI on behalf of itself only, and Defendant on behalf of itself only, acknowledge 25 that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up 26 through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. CSI and 27 Defendant acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include 28 unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such

> Page 14 of 18 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CSI on behalf of itself only, and Defendant on behalf of itself only, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code § 1542.

8.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 and satisfaction of any duty to provide warnings, disclaimers, or disclosures concerning lead and cadmium by any Released Party regarding alleged exposures to lead and/or cadmium in the Covered Products.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

²⁴ **FOR COMMUNITY SCIENCE INSTITUTE:**

25 REBECCA L. DAVIS
26 LOZEAU | DRURY LLP
1939 Harrison St., Suite 150
27 Oakland, CA 94612
28 Ph: 510-836-4200
Fax: 510-836-4205

Page 15 of 18 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1 Email: rebecca@lozeaudrury.com 2 FOR SEQUEL NATURALS ULC Angela Agrusa 3 DLA Piper LLP 4 2000 Avenue of the Stars Suite 400 North Tower 5 Los Angeles, California 90067-4704 6 12. **COURT APPROVAL** 7 12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, CSI shall notice a 8 Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this 9 Consent Judgment. 10 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, 12.2 11 the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible 12 prior to the hearing on the motion. 13 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be 12.3 14 void and have no force or effect. 15 13. **EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS** 16 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be 17 deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid 18 as the original signature. 19 14. DRAFTING 20 The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for 21 each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms 22 and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and 23 construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, 24 and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact 25 that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any 26 portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated 27 equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment. The Parties agree that no 28 extrinsic evidence has any bearing on the Parties' agreement or understanding of any term.

Page 16 of 18

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

16.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

1416.2Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully15authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

17. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The
Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed
regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

(1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and

(2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve and enter this Consent Judgment.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
2	Dated:, 2019	COMMUNITY SCIENCE INSTITUTE	
3		Dru	
4		By:	
5	Dated: 11/8, 2019	SEQUEL NATURALS VLC	
6	, 2019	SEQUELINATURALS OLC	
7		MMARK	
8		By: MicHAEL GEBRAEL Its: President.	
9			
10	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
11			
12	Dated:, 2019	LOZEAU DRURY LLP	
13		By:	
14		Rebecca L. Davis Attorneys for Plaintiff Community	
15		Science Institute	
16	Dated: November 8, 2019	DLA PIPER LLP	
17			
18		By:	
19		Angela C. Agrusa George Gigounas	
20		Greg Sperla Attorneys for Defendant Sequel Naturals	
21		ULC	
22	ORDER AN	ND JUDGMENT	
23	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and	d good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is	
24	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
26			
27	Dated:, 2019		
28	Ducu, 2019	Judge of the Superior Court	
	Page 18 of 18 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No RG18909334		
11			

	-			
1	IT IS SO STIPU	LATED:		
2	Dated:	-ser , 2019	COMMUNITY SCIENCE ASTITUTE	
3			By: made ned	
4			<u> </u>	
5	Dated:	, 2019	SEQUEL NATURALS ULC	
6			PPACET I VI I OVAL9 OFC	
7			D	
8		- 4 	By: Its:	
9				
10	APPROVED AS	TO FORM:		
11	Dated: 11/14		LOZEAU DRURY LLP	
12		······································	Inn	
13			By: Rebecca L. Davis	
14			Attorneys for Plaintiff Community	
15			Science Institute	
16	Dated:	, 2019	DLA PIPER LLP	
17				
18	2		By: Angela C. Agrusa	
19		÷	George Gigounas	
20			Greg Sperla Attorneys for Defendant Sequel Naturals	
21			ULC	
22	ORDER AND JUDGMENT			
23	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is			
24	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.			
25	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.			
26	ж.		×	
27	Dated:	, 2019		
28	Judge of the Superior Court			
	Page 18 of 18			
	-		ONSENT JUDGMENT Case No RG18909334	

۰.