1 2 3 4	Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) BRODSKY & SMITH 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160  Attorneys for Plaintiff	
5		
6	5.	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
11	GABRIEL ESPINOZA,	Case No.: RG20083570
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT
13	V.,	JUDGMENT'
14	CASE-MATE, INC., THE TJX COMPANIES, INC.,	Judge: James Reilly Dept.: 25 Horing Data: April 20, 2022
15	Defendants.	Hearing Date: April 20, 2022 Hearing Time: 3:00 PM Reservation #: 402748001768
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Gabriel Espinoza acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Espinoza") and Case-Mate, Inc. ("Case-Mate" or "Defendant") with Espinoza and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Espinoza is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Case-Mate is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Espinoza alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to Bisphenol A (BPA) from its sales of Case-Mate Karat Pearl iPhone cases without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. BPA is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity.
- 1.3 **Notice of Violation/Complaint.** On or about December 18, 2019, Espinoza served Case-Mate and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that use of Products expose users in California to BPA. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notice. December 8, 2020, Espinoza filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notice.

1.5 Defendant denies the material allegations contained in Espinoza's Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 **Covered Products.** The term "Covered Products" means Case-Mate Karat Pearl iPhone cases that are currently manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California by Case-Mate.
- 2.2 **Effective Date.** The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

#### 3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

- 3.1 Warning or Commitment not to manufacture Covered Products for sale in California. Commencing as of the Effective Date, Case-Mate agrees to (a) not manufacture the Covered Products for sale in California; or (b) that the Covered Products are labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 3.2 and 3.3, below.
- 3.2 Where required, Case-Mate shall provide Proposition 65 warnings as follows. Case-Mate may use any of the following warning statements in full compliance with this Section
  - (a) **Warning**. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:
  - **WARNING:** This product can expose you to chemicals including Bisphenol A (BPA), which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</a>.
  - (b) Alternative Warning: Case-Mate may, but is not required to, use the alternative short-form warning as set forth in this § 3.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:
  - MARNING: Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

"WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING:". The warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or electronic device or automatic process, providing that the warning is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. A warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings. Case-Mate and its downstream retailers shall have no obligation to label Products that entered the stream of commerce prior to the Effective Date.

If Case-Mate sells Covered Products via an internet website to customers located in California, the warning requirements of this section shall be satisfied if the foregoing warning appears either: (a) on the same web page on which a Covered Product is displayed and/or described; (b) on the same page as the price for the Covered Product; or (c) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser prior to purchase during the checkout process. Alternatively, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow or white equilateral triangle may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, price, or checkout listing of the Covered Product, if the warning statement appears elsewhere on the same web page in a manner that clearly associates it with the product(s) to which the warning applies.

3.4 Compliance with Warning Regulations. Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by (1) adhering to §§ 3.1 - 3.3 of this Consent Judgment; and (2) complying with warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of

\$27,000.00 to Brodsky Smith ("Brodsky Smith") as complete reimbursement for Espinoza's

28

# 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Case-Mate attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

#### 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Espinoza acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and Case-Mate, and its parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to BPA from use of the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Case-Mate prior to the Effective Date as set forth in the Notice/Action. It is the Parties' intention that this Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other actions by private enforcers, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 based on exposure to BPA from use of the Covered Products that were or could have been alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against Case-Mate and/or the Downstream Releasees of the Covered Products ("Proposition 65 Claims"). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to exposure to BPA from use of the Covered Products.
- 5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Espinoza, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and not in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases Case-Mate, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts,

contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Case-Mate, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Espinoza hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

5.3 Case-Mate waives any and all claims against Espinoza, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Espinoza and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products prior to the Effective Date.

#### 6. INTEGRATION

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

#### 7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

#### 1 8. **NOTICES** 2 8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided 3 pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-4 class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party 5 by the other party at the following addresses: 6 For Defendant: 7 Lauren Deeb Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP 8 19191 South Vermont Ave. Torrance, CA 90502 9 And 10 For Espinoza: 11 Evan J. Smith, Esquire 12 **Brodsky Smith** Two Bala Plaza, Suite 805 13 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004 14 Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to 15 which all notices and other communications shall be sent. 16 9. **COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES** 17 9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of 18 which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and 19 the same document. 20 10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT 21 **APPROVAL** 22 10.1 Espinoza agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & 23 Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment. 24 Defendant agrees it shall support approval of such Motion. 25 10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the 26 Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the 27

28

Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30 days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.

10.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

#### 11. MODIFICATION

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

#### 12. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 12.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 12.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

### 13. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 14. **AUTHORIZATION**

14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2	1 /00/000	
3	Date: 6/19/12-12	Date:
4	By: CARRIEL ESPRIOZA	By:CASE-MATE, INC.
5	CABRIEL ESPINOZA	CASE-MATE, INC.
6		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DEC	REED:
8		
9	Dated:	Judge of Superior Court
10	×	Judge of Superior Court
11		
12		
13		
14	c.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2 3	Date:	Date: June 29th, 2022
4	Ву:	By: Lucur Pham   CFO
5	By: GABRIEL ESPINOZA	CASE-MATE, INC.
6	,	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND	DECREED:
8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
9	Dated:	
10		Judge of Superior Court
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
ا 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
1		10