1	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582)	
2	225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101	
3	Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 393-0154	
4	Email: noam@glicklawgroup.com	
5	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Jake Schulte (SBN 293777)	
6 7	225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101	
8	Tel: (619) 325-0492 Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org	
9	Email: jschulte@nicholaslaw.org	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.	
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
14	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., a California corporation	Case No.:
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS
16	v.	TO NOTHING BUNDT FRANCHISING, LLC.
17	NOTHING BUNDT FRANCHISING, LLC	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et. seq. and
18	DBA NOTHING BUNDT CAKES, a Nevada corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
19 20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. ("EHA"), on the one hand, and Nothing Bundt Franchising, LLC ("Defendant" or "NBF") on the other hand, with EHA and NBF individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

EHA is an organization in California, acting in the interest of the general public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances.

1.3 Defendant

NBF employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

EHA alleges that NBF manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale in California, cakes that contain acrylamide. EHA further alleges that NBF does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, acrylamide is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive harm. NBF denies that warnings are required under Proposition 65 for any exposures to acrylamide in the Products, and NBF maintains that it has complied with all applicable federal and state laws, including but not limited to Proposition 65.

1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment "Product" or "Products" are defined as Chocolate Chocolate Chip Bundt Cakes, Classic Vanilla Bundt Cakes, Red Velvet Bundt Cakes, White Chocolate Raspberry Bundt Cakes, Confetti Bundt Cakes, Carrot Bundt Cakes, Lemon Bundt Cakes, Marble Bundt Cakes, Pecan Praline Bundt Cakes, Gluten-Free Chocolate Chip Cookie Bundt Cakes, Peanut Butter Chocolate Swirl Bundt Cakes, Chocolate Turtle Bundt Cakes, Strawberries & Cream Bundt Cakes, Pumpkin Spice Bundt Cakes, Peppermint Chocolate Chip Bundt Cakes, Blueberry Bliss Bundt

9

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

Cakes, Snickerdoodle Bundt Cakes, and Salted Caramel Bundt Cakes manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by NBF and Releasees, defined infra.

Notice of Violation 1.6

On August 15, 2019 EHA served NBF, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that NBF violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to acrylamide contained in its "Chocolate Chocolate Chip Bundt Cakes." On January 24, 2020, EHA served NBF, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with an amended 60-Day Notice of Violation identifying the other Products referenced herein.

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

, EHA filed a Complaint against Defendant for the alleged violations On of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

No Admission 1.8

By stipulating to the entry of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, NBF does not admit that it has violated, or threatened to violate, Proposition 65 or any other law or legal duty, and NBF does not admit that the chemical acrylamide in food poses any risk to human health.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect NBF's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment, subject to subsequent modifications thereof or Court orders regarding any such obligation, responsibility, and/or duty.

111

111

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over NBF as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date and Compliance Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 5. Due to the fact that the Products are subject to other regulatory requirements and any reformulation must ensure compliance with these other applicable regulatory requirements, the Compliance Date is the date that is six (6) months after the Effective Date.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Commencing on the Compliance Date, and continuing thereafter, NBF agrees to only manufacture for sale, purchase for sale, import for sale, or distribute for sale in or into California the Product that is sold with a health hazard warning as provided for in Section 2.2 if such a warning is required for the Product under Section 25249.6 of Proposition 65, absent reformulation of one or more of the Products such that those Products that are sold in California or distributed for sale in California shall not exceed 280 ppb on average for acrylamide.

2.2 General Warning Requirements

NBF agrees that each warning shall be prominently displayed on a label, labeling, or sign, and displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a clear and reasonable warning for the Product shall consist of a warning that it (a) a product-specific warning provided on a posted sign, shelf tag or shelf sign at each point of display of the Product; (b) a product-specific warning provided via any electronic device or process that automatically provides the warning to the purchaser prior to or during the

purchase of the Product, without requiring the purchaser to seek out the warning or (c) affixed to the packaging, label, tag, or directly to each Product sold in California that contains the following statements:

1) WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including Acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

2.3 Sell-Through Period

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgement, the Products that are manufactured on or prior to the Effective Date and six (6) months thereafter shall be subject to release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations of NBF, or any Releasees (if applicable), do not apply to these Products manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

Defendant shall pay sixty-three thousand dollars (\$63,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notices, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of seven thousand dollars (\$7,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of fifty-six thousand dollars (\$56,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and Health and Safety Code section 25249 et seq.

3.2 Civil Penalty

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to EHA.

All payments owed to EHA, shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Noam Glick Glick Law Group 1 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 2 San Diego, CA 92101 3 All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to EOHHA (Memo 4 line "Prop 65 Penalties) at the following addresses: 5 6 For United States Postal Delivery: 7 Mike Gyuries Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 8 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 9 P.O Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 10 11 12 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 13 Mike Gyuries 14 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 15 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 16 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814 17 NBF agrees to provide EHA's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA 18 19 simultaneous with its penalty payment to EHA. 20 The Parties will exchange completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other forms as required. Relevant 21 information for Glick Law Group and N&T are set out below: 22 "Environmental Health Advocates, Inc." whose address and tax identification number 23 shall be provided within five (5) days after this Consent Judgment is fully executed by 24 25 the parties "Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at address provided in Section 3.2; 26 "Nicholas & Tomasevic" (EIN: 46-3474065) at address provided in Section 3.3; and 27

28

25

26

27

28

"Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment at 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA
 95814.

3.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys' fees and costs shall be paid to EHA's counsel, who are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs incurred by EHA in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

Defendant shall provide its payment to EHA's counsel in two checks, divided equally, payable to Glick Law Group, PC (\$28,000.00) and Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP (\$28,000.00) respectively. The addresses for these two entities are:

Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

Craig Nicholas Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

3.4 Timing

The above-mentioned checks will be issued within fourteen (14) days of the Effective Date.

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

For any claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about exposures to acrylamide from Products or related products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by NBF prior to the Effective Date, EHA, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases NBF of any and all liability. This includes NBF's owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, its directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom NBF directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products, including but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees, (collectively, the "Releasees"). Releasees include defendant, its parent, and all subsidiaries

and affiliates thereof and their respective employees, agents, and assigns that sell NBF's Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to acrylamide from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by NBF, or any other claim based on the facts or conduct alleged in the Complaint as to such Products, after the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted against NBF and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposures to acrylamide contained in Products.

4.2 EHA's Individual Release of Claims

EHA, in its individual capacity, also provides a release to NBF and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of as well as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands by EHA of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to acrylamide in Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by NBF before the Effective Date.

4.3 Defendant's Release of EHA

NBF, on its own behalf, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it, in this matter or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully submitted to the Court by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then Defendant may provide written notice to EHA of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For NBF: For EHA:

Laura Biery
Honigman LLP
2290 First National Building
660 Woodward Avenue
Detroit, MI 48226-3506

Noam Glick
Glick Law Group, PC
225 Broadway, 21st Floor
San Diego, CA 92101

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

EHA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion EHA shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for

approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

13. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

ACREED TO BY (DEFENDANT)

	MOREED TO:	MOREED TO DI (DEFEMBANI)
22		Date: 2 - 28 - 20
23	Date: 3/2/2020	
24	Du Roan Sleit	Ву:
25	Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.,	Mile Smith [print name]
26	individually and acting on behalf of the public interest	

ACREED TO: