1 2 3 4 5	Kimberly Gates Johnson, State Bar No. 282369 SEVEN HILLS LLP 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 926-7247 kimberly@sevenhillsllp.com Attorneys for Plaintiff MY NGUYEN	
6		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
9	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
10		
11	MY NGUYEN,	Case No. CGC-21-591022
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
14	PASCO SPECIALTY &	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6)
15	MANUFACTURING INC.; and DOES 1-30, inclusive,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff My Nguyen ("Nguyen") and defendant PASCO Specialty & Manufacturing Inc. ("PASCO"), with Nguyen and PASCO each individually referred to as a "Party" and, collectively, as the "Parties," to resolve the allegations in the 60-Day Notices of Violation in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.1 The Parties

Nguyen is a citizen of the state of California seeking to eliminate toxic chemicals in consumer products, to increase public awareness of those chemicals and to promote corporate responsibility.

PASCO employs ten or more persons and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of Proposition 65, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(b).

1.2 Consumer Product Description

Nguyen alleges PASCO manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes for sale in or into California vinyl tape containing elevated levels of diisononyl phthalate¹ ("**DINP**"), including, but not limited to, the *PASCO 10 Mil. Pipe Wrap Tape, Model No. 9052, ISBN #B000V4D3RM, UPC #6* 71451 90521 5, without first providing the health hazard warning Nguyen alleges is required by Proposition 65. All such vinyl tape is referred to, hereinafter, as the "**Products**."

1.3 Notices of Violation

On July 6, 2020, Nguyen served PASCO, the California Attorney General, and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"), alleging PASCO violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn California consumers its Products can expose users to DINP, as required. Thereafter, on July 22, 2020, Nguyen served the same parties and entities with an Amended 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Amended Notice"), alleging, in addition to consumer exposures, occupational exposures for individuals not covered by the California Occupational Safety and Health Plan who failed to receive a warning, as required, about elevated levels of DINP present in the Products and associated health hazards. The Notice and the Amended Notice are collectively

¹ On December 20, 2013, DINP was listed as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. DINP became subject of the clear and reasonable warning requirement one year later.

referred to hereinafter as the "**Notices**". To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the allegations set forth in the Notices.

1.4 Complaint

On April 20, 2021, Nguyen commenced the instant action ("Complaint") in San Francisco Superior Court, *My Nguyen v. PASCO Specialty and Manufacturing Inc., et al, CGC-21-591022*, naming PASCO as a defendant for the violations of Proposition 65 alleged in the Notices.

1.5 No Admission

PASCO denies the factual and legal allegations contained in the Notices and Complaint and maintains all products it sold or distributed for sale in California or caused to be sold or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as, an admission by PASCO of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect PASCO's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.6 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate this Court has jurisdiction over PASCO as to the allegations contained in the Complaint; venue is proper in San Francisco County; and the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment, pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

1.7 Effective Date

The term "**Effective Date**" shall mean the date on which the Court approves this Consent Judgment and enter Judgment pursuant to its terms.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION OR WARNINGS</u>

2.1 Commitment to Reformulate or Provide Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, all Products PASCO manufactures, imports, sells or distributes for sale in or into California to consumers and users in California or through customers with nationwide distribution or e-commerce sales shall be either: (a)

Reformulated Products meeting the Reformulation Standard defined by Section 2.2; (b) Products bearing or accompanied by a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to the following Sections 2.3 through 2.5; or (c) as the Product manufacturer, by providing Product warnings and warning information through written or electronic confirmed notice, directly to retail sellers of the Product, in compliance with the specific requirements set forth in the following Section 2.6.

2.2 Reformulation Standard

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Products which, if they contain di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP"), di-n-butyl phthalate ("DBP"), diisononyl phthalate ("DINP"), butyl benzyl phthalate ("BBP"), di-isodecyl phthalate ("DIDP"), and/or di-n-hexyl phthalate ("DnHP"), contain any or all such chemicals each in a maximum concentration of less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million), when analyzed by a laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a nationally recognized accrediting organization. For purposes of compliance with this reformulation standard, testing samples shall be prepared and extracted using Consumer Product Safety Commission ("CPSC") methodology CPSC-CH-C1001.09.4 and analyzed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency methodology 8270D, or other methodologies utilized by federal or state government agencies to determine phthalate content in a solid substance.

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings Defined

For all Products not meeting the Reformulation Standard *supra*, PASCO shall provide clear and reasonable warnings in accordance with this Section, pursuant to Title 27 California Code of Regulations § 25600, et seq. Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use and shall be provided in a manner such that it is clearly associated with the specific Product to which the warning applies.

(a) Warning. The Warning shall consist of the following statement:

MA State

WARNING: This product can expose you to DINP, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

(b) Short-Form Warning. PASCO may, but is not required to, use the following short-form warning ("**Short-Form Warning**"), subject to the additional requirements in Sections 2.5 and 2.6, as follows:

WARNING: Cancer - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

(c) Foreign Language Requirement. Where a consumer product sign, label or shelf tag used to provide a warning includes consumer information in language(s) other than English, the warning for the Products must also be provided in the other language(s) in addition to English.

2.4 On-Product Warnings

PASCO shall affix a warning to the Product label or otherwise directly on Products provided for sale to consumers and users located in California and to customers with retail outlets in California or nationwide distribution. For the purpose of this agreement, "Product label" means a display of written, printed or graphic material that is printed on or affixed to each of the Products or its immediate container or wrapper. A warning provided pursuant to Section 2.3(a) or (b) must print the word "WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline. However, if the labeling does not use the color yellow, then the symbol may be in black and white. The entire warning shall appear in a type size of at least 6-point type and no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the Products.

2.5 Internet Warnings

If, after the Effective Date, PASCO sells Products via the internet directly to consumers located in California, PASCO shall provide warnings for each Product both on the Product label in accordance with Section 2.4, and by prominently displaying, or requiring the warning to be prominently displayed to the consumer during the purchase of the Products without requiring customers to seek out the warning. The warning or a clearly marked hyperlink to the warning using the word "WARNING" given in conjunction with the sale of the Products via the internet shall appear either: (a) on the same web page on which the Products are displayed; (b) on the same web

2.6

10

11

8

9

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

23

24

22

25 26

27

28

page as the virtual cart displaying the Products; (c) on the same page as the price for the Products; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The warning shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description or price of the Products for which it is given in the same type size or larger than other consumer information provided for the Products. The internet warning may use the Short-Form Warning content described in Section 2.3(b) if the warning provided on the Product label also uses the Short-Form Warning content.

Manufacturer Provision of Warnings to Retail Sellers

As the Product manufacturer, PASCO may also comply with Proposition 65's warning requirements by providing notice directly to the authorized agent for service for retail sellers of its Products, subject to Health & safety Code § 25249.6 and confirmation of receipt, which: (1) states Products may result in an exposure to DINP, (2) includes an exact description of the Product, such as specific identifying information like a UPC, SKU, or other identifying designation; (3) includes all necessary warning materials, such as labels, labeling, shelf signs or tags, premises signs or tags, as detailed in Section 2.4, in addition to internet warning language and information, described in Section 2.5; and (4) PASCO sent the foregoing to the authorized agent for the retail seller and obtained confirmation electronically or in writing of receipt of such Notice.

If PASCO opts to comply with its duty to warn obligations pursuant to this Section 2.6, by providing a written notice directly to the authorized agent for the retail seller, PASCO understands it is subject to the following additional requirements: (1) Initially, PASCO must show it noticed the retail sellers and must have confirmation, either electronically or in writing, of receipt by the retail sellers' authorized agent for service; (2) thereafter, PASCO must renew such notices annually and retain confirmation of receipt by its retail sellers for the entirety of the period in which the Products are available for sale or sold in California by the retail sellers.

Should PASCO name a different or additional chemical endpoint in its warnings, then, to comply with this Section, PASCO must also send an additional notice to its retail sellers within 90 days of inclusion of the endpoint.

2.7 Compliance with Warning Regulations

PASCO may comply with its duty to warn and the warning requirements of this Section by any other means authorized pursuant to Health and Safety Code 25249.5 et seq., 27 C.C.R. § 25600, et. seq., and/or by adhering to the safe harbor guidelines published by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and set forth in Title 27, Div. 4, Chap. 1, Ar. 6 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing at § 25600 et seq, as may be amended from time to time. Should PASCO determine that an additional chemical needs to be added (or referenced), additional endpoint, or should the chemical endpoint change, then, in either of the above warnings, PASCO may modify the content of such warnings to address the new chemical, provided the revised warnings also comply with title 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25600 et seq.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), PASCO agrees to pay a civil penalty of \$2,500 within five (5) days of the Effective Date. PASCO's civil penalty payment will be allocated according to Health and Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("**OEHHA**"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) retained by Nguyen. PASCO shall issue its payment in two checks made payable to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,875; and (b) "My Nguyen" in the amount of \$625Nguyen's counsel shall deliver to OEHHA and Nguyen their respective portions of the penalty payment.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Nguyen and his counsel offered to resolve the allegations in the Notice without reaching terms on the amount of reimbursement of attorneys' fees and costs. Shortly after the Parties finalized the other material settlement terms, they negotiated and reached an accord on the amount of reimbursement to be paid to Nguyen's counsel, under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine, codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution and reporting of this Consent Judgment to the Office of the California Attorney General and entry of Judgment pursuant its terms, but exclusive of fees and

6

8

9

7

10

1112

13

14

15 16

1718

1920

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

costs on appeal, if any. Within five (5) days of the Effective Date, PASCO shall issue a check in the amount of \$30,000 payable to "Seven Hills LLP" for all fees and costs incurred investigating, bringing this matter to PASCO's attention, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, reporting to Office of the California Attorney General, and obtaining the Court's approval of its terms pursuant to Section 9.

3.3 Payments

All payments payable and due under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to Nguyen's counsel at the following address:

Seven Hills LLP Attn: Kimberly Gates Johnson 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94111

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 Nguyen's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Nguyen, acting on his own behalf, in the public interest, and on behalf of his past and current attorneys and successors and assignees ("Releasors") releases PASCO and its past and present parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys and each entity to whom PASCO directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, including, without limitation, Amazon.com, Inc., franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Releasees") for any violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DINP from Products manufactured or distributed into the State of California by PASCO prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by PASCO with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DINP from Products manufactured or distributed by PASCO and offered for sale in California after the Effective Date.

4.2 Nguyen's Individual Release of Claims

Nguyen, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to PASCO and Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as

a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Nguyen of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP, DINP, DBP, BBP, DIDP and DnHP in Products manufactured or distributed into the State of California by PASCO prior to the Effective Date. Nothing in this section shall affect Nguyen's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against a Releasee that does not involve PASCO's Products.

4.3 PASCO's Release of Nguyen

PASCO, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Nguyen and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Nguyen and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against him in this matter with respect to the Products.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

Pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4), Nguyen shall file a noticed motion for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. The Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any third-party objection, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is deemed by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within California. In the event Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise

rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then PASCO may provide Nguyen with written notice of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve PASCO from its obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation. 8. NOTICE Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (ii) a recognized overnight courier to any Party by the other at the following addresses: For PASCO: For Nguyen: Michael J. Hite, President Kimberly Gates Johnson, Partner PASCO Specialty & Manufacturing Inc. Seven Hills LLP 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 11156 Wright Rd. San Francisco, CA 94111 Lynwood, CA 90262 <u>With a copy to:</u> Ruben A. Castellón, Esq. RAF Law Group 811 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1050 Los Angeles, CA 90017 16 Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which

all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by portable document format (pdf) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original and, all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Nguyen and his counsel agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

11. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,

negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion of any party and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon.

13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agreed to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: 12/17/01	Date: /2-/8-21
By: My Nguyen	By: Meel Color Michael J. Hite, President PASCO Specialty & Manufacturing Inc.