CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

*An Independer

Association of Law Corporations

YEROUSHALMI ("Proposition 65").

1.2.2 CAG alleges that Settling Defendant manufactures, sells, and/or distributes

and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.

- 1.2.2 CAG alleges that Settling Defendant manufactures, sells, and/or distributes consumer products in California. Groupon denies that it currently manufactures, sells, and/or distributes products in California and asserts that it hosts and operates a marketing platform on which consumer products are sold by third parties.
 - 1.3 Listed Chemicals
- 1.3.1 Di-n-butyl Phthalate ("DBP") has been listed by the State of California as a chemical known to cause reproductive and developmental toxicity.
 - 1.4 Notices of Violation
- 1.4.1 On or about August 11, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2020-02073) ("Notice") to Groupon and United Family Trading Corp., d/b/a Henry Ferrara Collection ("Henry Ferrera"), a third-party seller and manufacturer of footwear products that contracted with Groupon to supply products sold on Groupon's platform. The Notice alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DBP contained in certain Sandals that Henry Ferrara manufactured and supplied to customers by direct-shipment. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
 - 1.5 Complaints
- 1.5.1 On November 20, 2020, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG20080746 against Groupon and DOES 1-10. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Groupon violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products. Groupon filed an Answer to the Complaint specifically denying that it violated Proposition 65.
 - 1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has

8

9

10 11 12

14 15

13

17

18

16

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Groupon as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations against Groupon contained in the Complaint, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation in the Notice or the Complaint, or of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law of any kind, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, including but not limited to the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability, or liability by Groupon, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means Sandals.
- 2.2 "Sandals" means Henry Ferrera ® Collection Sandals.

- 2.3 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
 - 2.4 "DBP" means Di-n-butyl Phthalate.
 - 2.5 "Listed Chemicals" means DBP.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION

- 3.1 After the Effective Date, Groupon shall not order any Covered Products for sale into California, with any component that contains the Listed Chemical(s) in excess of 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) by weight. For the purposes of this injunction, Groupon is not considered the seller of, and shall not be responsible under this agreement for, products offered exclusively by third parties on websites hosted by Groupon or its affiliates.
- 3.2 Any Covered Products that were ordered prior to the Effective Date by Groupon, must be destroyed or caused to be destroyed by Groupon; or
- 3.2.1 If Groupon sells, distributed, or ships for sale into California any Covered Products, it must contain a clear and reasonable warning, consistent with 27 CCR section 25600 *et seq.*, unless it contains no more than 0.1% by weight (1,000 ppm) of the Listed Chemical(s). Any warnings provided pursuant to this Section 3.2 shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, or attached to the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use.
- 3.3 Covered Products already distributed to Downstream Releasees prior to the Effective Date may continue to be sold through as is.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date or receipt of Forms W-9 from CAG, whichever is later, Defendant Releasees shall pay a total of one hundred and eighty thousand dollars and zero cents (\$180,000.00) in full and complete settlement of any and all claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees or any other claim for costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind for

YEROUSHALMI

claims that were or could have been asserted in the Notices or Complaints identified in Sections 1.4 and 1.5, as follows:

- 4.1.1 **Civil Penalty**: Defendant Releasees shall issue two separate checks totaling twenty-eight thousand six hundred dollars and zero cents (\$28,600.00) as follows for alleged civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendant Releasees will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of twenty-one thousand four hundred and fifty dollars and zero cents (\$21,450.00) representing 75% of the total civil penalty and Defendant Releasees will issue a second check made payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." in the amount of seven thousand one hundred and fifty dollars and zero cents (\$7,150.00) representing 25% of the total civil penalty.
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Defendant Releasees will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of twenty-one thousand four hundred and fifty dollars and zero cents (\$21,450.00). Defendant Releasees will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of seven thousand one hundred and fifty dollars and zero cents (\$7,150.00) and deliver it to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Defendant Releasees shall issue a third check for twenty-one thousand four hundred dollars and zero cents (\$21,400.00) made payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). CAG will use this portion of the Total Settlement Payment as follows, eighty percent (80%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs

YEROUSHALMI

incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to the Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of the Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage Within thirty (30) days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

- 4.1.3 **Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs:** Defendant Releasees shall issue a fourth check in the amount of one hundred and thirty thousand dollars and zero cents (\$130,000.00) made payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as complete reimbursement for any and all reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the Settling Defendant' attention, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment.
- 4.1.4 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered directly to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, OEHHA, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B Sacramento, California 95812, Attn: Mike Gyurics. Groupon shall provide written confirmation to CAG of the payment to OEHHA.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Groupon and its owners, officers, directors, insurers, indemnitors, counsel, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions,

subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, predecessors, and their successors and assigns including the suppliers and manufacturers (including but not limited to Henry Ferrara) and all other persons and entities who sell or distribute the Covered Products to Groupon (collectively, "Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom Groupon directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, downstream wholesalers, customers, retailers, marketplace hosts, franchisees, cooperative members, licensees, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims for alleged or actual violations of Proposition 65 for alleged exposures to DBP from Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Groupon up through the Effective Date as set forth in the Notice and Complaint. Groupon and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to DBP from Covered Products sold by Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees after the Effective Date. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees. The scope of the release is limited to Henry Ferrera ® Collection Sandals alleged to be sold or distributed for sale by Groupon.

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date regarding any actual or alleged failure to warn about exposure to DBP from Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, CAG on

behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through the Effective Date regarding the failure to warn about actual or alleged exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages, penalties or other relief against Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

28

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI An Independen Association of Law Corporations

6

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

222324

2526

28

27

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations

6. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
- 6.2 The Parties shall make all reasonable efforts possible to have the Consent Judgment approved by the Court.
- 6.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

7. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 7.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

8. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

8.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides thirty (30) days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in a good faith manner.

9.

4

7

11 12

10

13

1415

16 17

18 19

20

2122

2324

2526

28

27

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independen Association of Law

Corporations

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.
- 9.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

10.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. The hearing on CAG's motion to approve this Consent Judgment shall be no sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the Consent Judgment, the Parties may then submit it to the Court for approval,

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 9.1 and 9.2, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with the claims resolved in this Consent Judgment.

13. GOVERNING LAW

13.1 The validity, construction, terms, and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

13.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products or Listed Chemicals, then Groupon may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Groupon from any obligation to comply with any other pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

13.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

15. NOTICES

- 15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by delivery of First-Class Mail.
- 15.1.1 If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi
reuben@yeroushalmi.com
Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi
9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
Beverly Hills, CA 90212

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	15.1.2 If to Defendant Groupon, Inc.: Hazel Ocampo ocampoh@gtlaw.com Greenberg Traurig, LLP 18565 Jamboree Road, St. Irvine, CA 92612 16. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE 16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Ju by the Party he or she represents to enter into this of the Party represented and legally to bind that	dgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized is Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf
10	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
11	Date:, 2022	Date: Nov 22, 2022 , 2022
12 13 14 15 16 17	Name: Title: CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	Tracy A. O'Flaherty Tracy A. O'Flaherty Name: Tracy A. O'Flaherty Name: Vice President, Deputy General Counsel GROUPON, INC.
18 19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Date:	
21	HON. JULIA SPAIN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI	Page 12 of 12	
*An Independent Association of Law Corporations	CONSENT JUDG	MENT [PROPOSED]

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	15.1.2 If to Defendant Groupon, Inc.: Hazel Ocampo ocampoh@gtlaw.com Greenberg Traurig, LLP 18565 Jamboree Road, Suite 500 Irvine, CA 92612 16. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE 16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf	
9	of the Party represented and legally to bind that party.	
10	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:	
11	Date: November 21, 2022 Date:	
12		
13	Name: Michael Marcus Name:	
14	Name: Michael Marcus Name:	
15	Title: Title:	
16 17	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. GROUPON, INC.	
18		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Date:	
21	HON. JULIA SPAIN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
YEROUSHALM & YEROUSHALM	Page 12 of 12	
*An Independe Association of La Corporations	CONSENT HIDCMENT (PROPOSED)	