1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GLICK LAW GROUP, P.C. Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 393-0154 Email: noam@glicklawgroup.com NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP. Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Jake Schulte (SBN 293777) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492 Fax: (619) 325-0496 Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org Email: jschulte@nicholaslaw.org	
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF 1	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
14	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	Case No. HG20083207
15	ADVOCATES, INC., a California organization,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
16	Plaintiff, v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 <i>et seq.</i> and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17	۷.	Code CIV. 110C. 9 004.0)
	HISI GLASS a California corporation	
18	HISI GLASS, a California corporation, VITRICO CORPORATION, a California corporation and DOES 1 through 100	
18 19		
	VITRICO CORPORATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,	
19	VITRICO CORPORATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
19 20	VITRICO CORPORATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
19 20 21	VITRICO CORPORATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
19 20 21 22	VITRICO CORPORATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
 19 20 21 22 23 	VITRICO CORPORATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
 19 20 21 22 23 24 	VITRICO CORPORATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	VITRICO CORPORATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc., ("EHA") and Vitrico Corporation, dba HiSi Glass, ("Defendants" or "Vitrico") with EHA and Vitrico each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

EHA is a corporation organized in the state of California, acting in the interest of the general public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendants

Vitrico is alleged to employ ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq*. ("Proposition 65").

1.4

General Allegations

EHA alleges that Vitrico manufactures, imports, sells, offers for sale and/or distributes for sale marijuana paraphernalia that expose consumers to marijuana smoke. EHA further alleges that Vitrico does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, marijuana smoke is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.5 I

.5 Notices of Violation

On May 28, 2020, EHA served HiSi Glass, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that HiSi had violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to marijuana smoke contained in, for example, its HiSi 50 X 5mm Tube—15 in. Beaker.

On September 1, 2020, EHA served HiSi Glass, Vitrico Corporation, the California Attorney
General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with an amended 60-Day Notice of
Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq*. ("Amended Notice") adding

Vitrico Corporation.

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.6 Product Description

This Consent Judgment covers products, including the "HiSi 50 X 5mm Tube—15 in. Beaker," that allegedly expose consumers to marijuana smoke and are imported, sold, offered for sale, shipped, delivered or distributed for sale to consumers in California by Releasees (as defined in section 4.1) ("Products").

1.7 State of the Pleadings

On December 16, 2020, EHA filed a Complaint against Vitrico for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

On February 5, 2021, EHA filed a First Amended Complaint against Vitrico. ("FAC").

1.8 No Admission

Vitrico denies the material factual and legal allegations of the Notices and Complaints and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Vitrico's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Vitrico as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10

Effective Date and Compliance Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which EHA provides Vitrico's counsel notice that the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 5. The Compliance Date is the date that is sixty (60) days after the Effective Date.

2.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION OF PRODUCTS

2.1 Warnings

Except as otherwise provided herein, any Products that are manufactured by Vitrico on and after the Compliance Date that Vitrico sells in California or distributes for sale in California shall contain a clear and reasonable warning that complies with Proposition 65 warning regulations, including Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 25600 *et seq*.

Products may be sold in California with one of the following warning statements:

- **Option 1:**

▲ WARNING: This product can expose you to marijuana smoke, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Option 2:

▲ WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm – www.P65Warnings.cs.gov

The warning in Option 2 may only be used if the warning appears on the product container or labeling. The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Products, on the packing of the Products, or on a placard, shelf tag, or sign provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Products' label, it must be set off from other surrounding information.

2.2.2 The warning requirements set forth herein are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgement and are recognized by the Parties as not being the exclusive manner of providing a warning for the Covered Products. Warnings may be provided as specified in the Proposition 65

regulations for products in effect as of the Effective Date (Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25601, et seq.) or as such regulations may be amended in the future, or pursuant to a 2 3 settlement agreement or consent judgment involving marijuana smoke. In addition, Vitrico may follow the notification procedure set out in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600.2 4 or a similar procedure where Vitrico instructs its distributor or retailer customers to provide warnings 5 for the Covered Products consistent with Section 2.2. The same warning shall be posted on any 6 websites where the Product is sold in California.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

3.

7

1

MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 **Settlement Amount**

Vitrico shall pay fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notices, the Complaints, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs in the amount of forty five thousand dollars (\$45,000) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

3.2 **Civil Penalty**

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to EHA individually.

All payments (\$1,250) owed to EHA shall be delivered to the following address:

21 22	Environmental Health Advocates 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101			
23	All payments (\$3,750) owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to			
24	OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:			
25	For United States Postal Service Delivery:			
26	Mike Gyurics			
27	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment			
28	P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010			
5				

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1			
2	For Federal Express 2-Day Delivery:		
3	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
4 5	1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814		
6	Vitrico agrees to provide EHA's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA,		
7	simultaneous with its penalty payments to EHA.		
8	Plaintiff and its counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as		
9	required. Relevant information is set out below:		
10	• "Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i);		
11	• "Nicholas & Tomasevic" (EIN: 46-3474065) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i);		
12	and		
13	• "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA		
14	95814.		
15	The above-mentioned checks will be issued within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date.		
16	3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs		
17	Forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000) of the settlement is attributable to attorney's fees and		
18	costs. This amount shall be paid to EHA's counsel, who are entitled to attorney's fees and costs		
19	incurred by it in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing		
20	this matter to Vitrico's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public		
21	interest.		
22	Vitrico shall provide payment to EHA's counsel as follows:		
23	1. Within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, one check to the Glick Law Group in the		
24	amount of \$8,750.		
25	2. Within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, one check to Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP		
26	in the amount of \$8,750.		
27	3. Within sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, one check to the Glick Law Group in the		
28	amount of \$8,750.		
_	6		

4. Within sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, one check to Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 1 in the amount of \$8,750. 2 3 5. Within ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, one check to the Glick Law Group in the 4 amount of \$5,000. 6. Within ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, one check to Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 5 in the amount of \$5,000. 6 The addresses for these two entities are: 7 8 Noam Glick 9 Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, 19th Floor 10 San Diego, CA 92101 11 Craig Nicholas Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 12 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101 13 14 4. **CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED** 15 4.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims 16 For any claim, alleged violation or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to 17 warn about exposures to marijuana smoke from Products or related products manufactured, imported, 18 sold, offered for sale or distributed by Vitrico prior to the Compliance Date, EHA, acting for the 19 20 general public, releases Vitrico of any and all liability. This includes Vitrico's owners, parents, 21 subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownerships, its directors, officers, agents, employees, 22 attorneys, and each entity to whom Vitrico directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, 23 including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesales, customers, retailers, franchisees, 24 cooperative members and licensees, (collectively, the "Releasees"). Releasees include defendants, 25 their parents, and all subsidiaries and affiliates thereof and their respective employees, agents, and 26 assigns that sell Vitrico's Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes 27 28 compliances with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures

to marijuana smoke from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Vitrico after the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted against Vitrico and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposure to marijuana smoke from Products.

4.2 **EHA's Individual Release of Claims**

EHA, in its individual capacity, also releases Vitrico and Releasees, which release shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to marijuana smoke in Products manufactured, imported, sold, offered for sale or distributed by Vitrico before the Compliance Date.

4.3 Vitrico's Release of EHA

Vitrico on its own behalf as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them, in this matter or with respect to the Products.

5.

COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

SEVERABILITY 6.

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

7.

GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then Vitrico may provide written notice to EHA of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. <u>NOTICE</u>

9 Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent
10 Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified
11 mail, return receipt requested; (iii) by validly addressed email, or (iv) a recognized overnight courier
12 to the following addresses:

13 If to Vitrico Corporation:

Malcolm Weiss, Esq. (mweiss@huntonak.com)
Jennifer MikoLevine (jmikolevine@huntonak.com)
550 South Hope Street Suite 2000
Los Angeles, CA 90071

If to EHA:

Noam Glick, Esq. (noam@glicklawgroup.com) Glick Law Group, PC 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which notices and other communications shall be sent.

9.

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

24 25

10. <u>POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES</u>

EHA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which

motion EHA shall draft, serve and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their good faith efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this 2 agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner..

11. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

11

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13. **GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES**

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

28

\

14.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

9	Date: March 31, 2021	Date: March 31, 2021
10	Viculy	
11	By:	By: James Marlach
12	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.	VITRICO CORPORATION
13		
14		
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Date:	
18	Date	
19		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
20		
21		
22		
23		•
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		