1 2 3 4 5 6 7		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF LOS ANGELES
8 9		
10	APS&EE, LLC, a limited liability company,	CASE NO. 21STCV06179
11	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
12	v.)	Judge: Hon. Stephanie M. Bowick
13	ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION, a corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,	Dept.: 19 Compl. Filed: February 16, 2021
14	inclusive,	Unlimited Jurisdiction
15 16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19	///	
20		
21		
	///	
22	/// ///	
23	/// /// ///	
23	/// /// ///	
23	/// /// /// /// ///	
23	/// /// /// /// /// ///	
23 24	/// /// /// /// ///	

1. <u>RECITALS</u>

1.1 The Parties

- 1.1.1 This Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC ("Plaintiff") and Defendant, Ace Hardware Corporation ("Defendant or ACE"). Plaintiff and Defendant shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."
- 1.1.2 Plaintiff is an organization based in California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.
- **1.1.3** Defendant is a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

- 1.2.1 Plaintiff alleges that Defendant shipped or distributed Ace Hardware brand of Rubber Couplings, or connectors also known as Flexible Couplings, constructed out of plastic or rubber manufactured by Pipeconx including T Coupling for 3" plastic or cast iron, #4126439, 0-82901-08454-9 (hereinafter collectively the "Products") into the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to hazardous levels of Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate ("DEHP") without providing "clear and reasonable warnings", in violation of Proposition 65. DEHP is potentially subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because it is listed as known to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.
- 1.2.2 On September 22, 2020, Plaintiff sent a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") to Defendant and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. On February 16, 2021, Plaintiff, acting in the public interest, filed the instant action (the "Complaint") in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violations of Proposition 65.

1.3 No Admissions

Defendant denies all allegations in Plaintiff's Notice and Complaint and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Defendant has not violated Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by Defendant but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

1.4 Compromise

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to resolve the controversy described above in a manner consistent with prior Proposition 65 settlements and consent judgments that were entered in the public interest and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation between them.

1.5 Jurisdiction and Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 and Proposition 65.

1.6 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

2.1 Reformulation Standard

After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not ship or distribute the Products into California, unless (a) the Products contain no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) of DEHP ("Reformulated Products"), or (b) the Product is distributed, sold, or offered for sale with a clear and reasonable warning as described below in Section 2.2. There shall be no obligation to

,

provide a warning for Products that Defendant has already shipped or distributed prior to the Effective Date, which Products are subject to the release in section 4.1.

2.2 Proposition 65 Warnings

2.2.1 Whenever a clear and reasonable warning is required under Section 2.1, Defendant shall use a warning with the capitalized and emboldened wording substantially similar to the following:

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals, including DEHP, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The warning shall be accompanied by a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING".

2.2.2 The Products shall carry said warning directly on each unit or its label or package, with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary consumer prior to sale. A Product that is sold by Defendant on the internet shall also provide the warning message by a clearly marked hyperlink on the product display page, or otherwise prominently displayed to the purchaser before the purchaser completes his or her purchase of the Product. For Products that Defendant knowingly provides for a downstream retailer to sell on the internet, Defendant shall include an instruction that the retailer comply with the warning requirements of this section.

2.3 Additional Warnings Required or Permitted By Law or Regulation

In addition to the warning requirements set forth in Section 2.2 above, Defendant may comply with this Consent Judgment by using other warning text and transmission methods set forth in 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25601, et seq., and amended subsequently thereafter, or other text or methods authorized or mandated by those regulations, or other State of California regulations or legislation pertaining to Proposition 65 warnings related to such Products.

3. PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay a total civil penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health and Safety Code* section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$3,000.00) for State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% (\$1,000.00) for Plaintiff.

Defendant shall issue these payments collectively as part of the total payment described below in Section 3.2 via wire transfer to Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak. After receipt of the wire transfer, Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak shall be responsible for forwarding the respective payments to OEHHA and APS&EE.

3.2 Reimbursement Of Plaintiff's Fees And Costs

Defendant shall reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution and approval of this Consent Judgment, in the amount of twenty-six thousand dollars (\$26,000.00).

Defendant shall remit total payment via wire transfer to Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in the amount of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000.00), which includes the civil penalty described in Section 3.1, within thirty (30) calendar days of the Effective Date. Wire instructions have been exchanged between the parties' counsel.

4. RELEASES

4.1 Plaintiff's Release Of Defendant

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Plaintiff acting on its own behalf, and in the public interest, and ACE and its parents, shareholders, members, retailers, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they directly or indirectly obtain Products ("Upstream Releasees"), and to whom Defendant Releasees directly or indirectly distribute or sell Products, including but not limited to suppliers, distributors,

wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees, retailers, including but not limited to franchisees, 1 2 3 4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

cooperative members, and their affiliates, subsidiaries, successors, or assigns ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from Products, as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Products distributed or shipped by ACE into California prior to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Products.

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Section 3 above, Plaintiff acting on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and not in its representative capacity, further waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action against ACE, Defendant Releasees, Upstream Releasees and Downstream Releasees and releases any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees (collectively "Claims"), but only to the extent that such legal action or Claims are with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 from the Products distributed or shipped by ACE into California before the Effective Date.

4.2 **Defendant's Release Of Plaintiff**

Defendant, by this Consent Judgment, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, its shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, experts, successors and assignees for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter.

4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California Civil Code which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE

1 2

MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California Civil Code or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment for any reason be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. <u>NOTICES</u>

All correspondence and notice required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows:

1	TO DEFENDANT:	TO PLAINTIFF
2	Craig A. Tristao, Esq.	Lucas Novak, Esq.
3	COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP 499 W Shaw Avenue, Suite 116	Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217
4	Fresno, CA 93704	Los Angeles, CA 90069
5		
6	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>	
7	This Consent Judgment may be execute	ed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed
8	an original, and all of which, when taken toget	her, shall constitute the same document. Execution
9	and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-ma	ail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall
10	constitute legal and binding execution and deli	very. Any photocopy of the executed Consent
11	Judgment shall have the same force and effect	as the originals.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	10. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
3	respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions
4	of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this
5	Consent Judgment and is not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or
6	interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.
7	
8	AGREED TO:
9	Date: $\frac{2/19/21}{}$
0	By: Allysev
11	Authorized Representative of APS&EE, LLC
12	
13	AGREED TO:
14	Date: 5-19-2001
15	By: Wales
16	Authorized Representative of Acollardware Corporation
17	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.
19	Dated:
20	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
11	
22	
23	