	11	
1	An Association of Independent Law Corporations	
2		
3	9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, California 90212	
4	Telephone: 310.623.1926 Facsimile: 310.623.1930	
5		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
10	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,	CASE NO. 21STCV23004
11	in the public interest,	
12	Plaintiff,	REVISED CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]
13	v.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.
14	EDEN FOODS, INC., a Michigan	Treatm & Safety Code § 25247.5 et seq.
15	Corporation; and DOES 1-50,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and defendant, EDEN FOODS, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant"), with each a Party to the action collectively referred to as "Parties."	
23		
24 25		
26 26		
27		
28	1.2 Defendant and Products	
		1

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

- 1.2.1 Defendant is a Michigan corporation which employs ten or more persons. Defendant distributes, and sells the following:
- (i) Seaweed identified as "Eden ® Sushi Nori"; "Toasted Sea Vegetable"; "Net Wt. 0.6 oz. 17g 7 Sheets"; "edenfoods.com/sushi"; UPC 0 24182 15769 7"; "Product of Japan" (hereinafter the "Sushi Nori Sea Vegetable");
- (ii) Seaweed identified as "Eden ® Dried Arame Seaweed"; "Sea Vegetable"; "Wild, Japanese, Hand Harvested"; "Net Wt. 2.1 oz (60 grams)"; "UPC 0 24182 15475 7"; "Product of Japan" (hereinafter the "Arame Sea Vegetable");
- (iii) Pickled Ginger identified as "Eden ® Pickled Ginger with Shiso Leaves"; "Net Wt. 2.1 oz (60 grams)"; "UPC 0 2418230101 4"; "Product of Japan" (hereinafter the "Pickled Ginger");
- (iv) Sea Vegetable identified as "Eden"; "Nori Sea Vegetable"; "Traditional Japanese"; "Net Wt. 0.88 oz 25g"; "UPC 0 24182 15706 2"; "Product of Japan" (hereinafter the "Nori Sea Vegetable");
- (v) Sea Vegetable identified as "Eden Kombu Sea Vegetable Japanese Hand Harvested"; "Net Wt. 2.1 oz 60g"; "UPC 0 24182 15273 9"; "Product of Japan" (hereinafter the "Kombu Sea Vegetable"); and
- (vi) Seaweed identified as "Eden®"; "Mekabu"; "Wakame Seaweed Sporophyll"; "Net Wt. 0.88 oz (25g)"; "3020"; "UPC 0 24182 15173 2" (hereinafter the "Mekabu Wakame Seaweed").

The products in (i)-(vi) above collectively referred to as the "Covered Products". The Sushi Nori Sea Vegetable, Arame Sea Vegetable, Nori Sea Vegetable, Kombu Sea Vegetable and Mekabu Wakame Seaweed collectively referred to as the "Sea Vegetable and Seaweed Products".

1.2.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendant is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and are subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.3 Chemicals of Concern

- 1.3.1 Lead and Lead Compounds (hereinafter "Lead") are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.2 Cadmium and Cadmium Compounds (hereinafter "Cadmium") are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.3 Inorganic Arsenic Oxides (hereinafter "Arsenic") are known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notices of Violation

- 1.4.1 On or about October 8, 2020, CAG served Defendant, Whole Foods Market, Whole Foods Market California, Inc., Whole Foods and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice 1") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in the Sushi Nori Sea Vegetable sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.2 On or about August 12, 2021, CAG served Defendant, Vitacost.com, Inc., Vitacost and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice 2") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Arsenic contained in the Arame Sea Vegetable sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.3 On or about August 12, 2021, CAG served Defendant, Whole Foods Market, Whole Foods Market California, Inc., Whole Foods, Mrs. Gooch's Natural Food Markets, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice 3") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead

contained in the Pickled Ginger sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

- 1.4.4 On or about October 15, 2021, CAG served Defendant, Whole Foods Market, Whole Foods Market California, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation") (hereinafter "Notice 4") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in the Nori Sea Vegetable sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.5 On or about October 22, 2021, CAG served Defendant, Vitacost, Vitacost.com, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice 5") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Cadmium and Arsenic contained in Kombu Sea Vegetable sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.6 On or about March 1, 2022, CAG served Defendant, Whole Foods Market; Whole Foods Market California, Inc.; and Whole Foods and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice 6") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in the Sushi Nori Sea Vegetable sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.7 On or about March 1, 2022, CAG served Defendant and Vitacost.com, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice 7") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead

contained in the Sushi Nori Sea Vegetable. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

- 1.4.8 On or about May 3, 2022, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice 8") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Cadmium contained in the Mekabu Wakame Seaweed sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.9 On or about January 18, 2023, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice 9") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Cadmium contained in the Mekabu Wakame Seaweed sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.10 On or about May 16, 2023, CAG served Defendant, Amazon.com Services LLC, Amazon.com, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice 10") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Arsenic contained in the Arame Sea Vegetable sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.11 Notice 1, Notice 2, Notice 3, Notice 4, Notice 5, Notice 6, Notice 7, Notice 8, Notice 9 and Notice 10 are collectively referred to as the "Notices."

1.5 Complaint

1.5.1 On June 21, 2021, CAG filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 21STCV23004 against Defendant

The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead from the Sushi Nori Sea Vegetable.

- 1.5.2 On January 27, 2022, CAG filed a First Amended Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("FAC") in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 21STCV23004 against Defendant. The FAC alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead from the Sushi Nori Sea Vegetable, Lead and Arsenic in the Arame Sea Vegetable, Lead in Picked Ginger, Lead in the Nori Sea Vegetable, and Arsenic and Cadmium in the Kombu Sea Vegetable.
- 1.5.3 On May 15, 2023, CAG filed a Second Amended Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("SAC") in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 21STCV23004 against Defendant. The SAC alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead and Arsenic from the Arame Sea Vegetable, Lead from Pickled Ginger, Cadmium and Arsenic from the Kombu Sea Vegetable, and Cadmium in the Mekabu Wakame Seaweed. The Complaint, FAC and SAC are collectively referred to as the "Action".

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

1.6.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Action and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Action, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in cause of action one of the Action and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

1.7.1 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this

Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the Action (each and every allegation of which Defendant denies), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means products specifically identified in Paragraph 1.2.1 sold or supplied by Defendant.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
 - 2.3 "Compliance Date" means January 1, 2024.
 - 2.3 "Lead" means Lead and Lead Compounds.
 - 2.4 "Cadmium" means Cadmium and Cadmium Compounds.
 - 2.5 "Arsenic" means Inorganic Arsenic Oxides.
- 2.6 Listed Chemicals shall mean Lead, Cadmium, and Arsenic as to Seaweed and Sea Vegetables and Lead as to Pickled Ginger.

7

8

11

12

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.

- 3.1 After the Compliance Date, Defendant shall not manufacture to sell in California, offer for sale in California, or ship for sale in California any Seaweed or Sea Vegetables unless the level of Lead does not exceed 75 parts per billion ("ppb") and the level of Cadmium does not exceed 85 ppb, and the level of Arsenic does not exceed 20 ppb unless Proposition 65 compliant warnings are used as set forth in the following paragraphs.
- 3.2 After the Compliance Date, Defendant shall not sell in California, offer for sale in California, or ship for sale in California any Pickled Ginger unless the level of Lead does not exceed 33 ppb unless Proposition 65 compliant warnings are used as set forth in the following paragraphs.
- 3.3 For any Covered Products that exceeds their respective levels of Lead, Cadmium and/or Arsenic that are placed into the stream of commerce in California by Defendant after the Compliance Date, Defendant must provide a Proposition 65 compliant warning for the Covered Products as set forth below. Defendant shall provide compliant warnings for cancer and reproductive toxicity for Covered Products containing Lead and/or Cadmium at a level above those identified above. Defendant shall provide compliant warnings for reproductive toxicity for Covered Products containing Arsenic at a level above those identified above. The language of the warnings and method for providing any warnings for the Covered Products shall be compliant with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25600, et seq. Where a sign, or label used for the Covered product includes consumer information as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27 §25600.1(c) in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English. Should Defendant sell or distribute any Covered Product through the internet the warning will be posted in the manner provided for with respect to internet sales, as provided for in 27 CCR sections 25601 and 25602, as they may be subsequently amended.

3.4 Changes in the law and regulations applicable to Prop 65 occurring after this date shall be incorporated into the terms of this Consent Judgment.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 **Payment and Due Date**: Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a total of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000.00) in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices, as follows:
- 4.1.1 **Civil Penalty**: Defendant shall issue separate checks totaling eleven thousand four hundred and forty dollars (\$11,440.00) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendant will issue a check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of eight thousand five hundred and eighty dollars (\$8,580.00) representing 75% of the total penalty and Defendant will issue a separate check to CAG in the amount of two thousand eight hundred and sixty dollars (\$2,860.00) representing 25% of the total penalty; and
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments:

 Defendant will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486). Defendant will also issue a 1099 to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Defendant shall make a separate payment, in the amount of eight thousand five hundred and sixty dollars (\$8,560.00) as an additional settlement payment to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). Defendant will issue a separate check to CAG for the Additional Settlement Payment. CAG will use this payment as follows, eighty percent (80%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining

experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

- 4.1.3 **Reimbursement of Attorneys Fees and Costs:** Defendant shall pay one hundred and eighty thousand dollars (\$180,000.00) to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. Concurrently with payment to OEHHA, Defendant shall provide CAG with written confirmation that the payment to OEHHA was delivered.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

27

28

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendant for alleged failure to provide any required Proposition 65 warning of exposure to Lead, Cadmium, and Arsenic from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices and the Action, and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted against Defendant in the Action up through the Compliance Date. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Defendant, and their respective officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all customers, retailers, and downstream entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Products to whom Defendant distributed or sold Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and all of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, managers, employees, agents only as to Covered Products sold by the Defendant (collectively, "Downstream Releasees"), for all Covered Products placed into the stream of commerce up through the e Compliance Date for any alleged violations of Proposition 65 based on alleged exposure to Lead, Cadmium, and Arsenic from the Covered Products. Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to Lead, Cadmium, and Arsenic from the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees after the Compliance Date.
- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any alleged

violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding any failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead, Cadmium, and Arsenic from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding any failure to warn about alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding any failure to warn about alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding any failure to warn about alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Action.
- 6.2 The Parties shall make all reasonable efforts possible to have the Consent Judgment approved by the Court.
- 6.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

7. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 7.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

8. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 8.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.
- 8.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

9.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendant outside the State of California.

10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

10.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by the Parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its approval by the Court. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, may the Court approve this Consent Judgment.

11. ATTORNEY FEES

11.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.3 and 8.2, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

12. GOVERNING LAW

- 12.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 12.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is amended, repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such amendment, repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then any Defendant subject to this Consent Judgment may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve a Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

27

28

12.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

14. NOTICES

14.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by First-Class Mail or E-mail.

If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926 Email: lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com

If to Defendant.:

Merrit Jones BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4070 (415) 675-3435 Merrit.Jones@bclplaw.com

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]