1 2	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900		
3	San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 382-3400		
4	Fax: (619) 615-2193 Email: noam@glicklawgroup.com		
5	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP		
6	225 Broadway, 19 th Floor San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492		
7			
8	Fax: (619) 325-0496 Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org		
9	Email: jschulte@nicholaslaw.org		
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.		
12			
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMI		OUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
15	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.,	Case No.: RG21088650	
16	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO OSEM USA, INC.	
17	v.		
18	OSEM USA, INC., et al.,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21			
22			
2324			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. ("EHA") on one hand, and OSEM USA, INC., ("Defendant" or "Osem") on the other hand, with EHA and Defendant individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

EHA is an organization residing in California, acting in the interest of the general public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Defendant employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

EHA alleges that Defendant manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale in California, Bissli BBQ snacks that contain Acrylamide. EHA further alleges that Defendant has not provided warnings under Proposition 65 for such products. Pursuant to Proposition 65, Acrylamide is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive harm. Osem denies that warnings are required under Proposition 65 for any exposures to acrylamide in the Products, and Osem maintains that it has complied with all applicable federal and state laws, including but not limited to Proposition 65.

1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Product" or "Products" are defined as Bissli BBQ Flavored Wheat Snacks that are manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Defendant.

1.6 Notice of Violation

On November 12, 2020 EHA served Defendant Osem, Ralphs Grocery Company, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Original Notice"). The

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Original Notice alleged that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to provide warnings for alleged exposures to acrylamide in the Products.

1.7 Complaint

On February 11, 2021, EHA filed a Complaint against Defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

By stipulating to the entry of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Osem does not admit that it has violated, or threatened to violate, Proposition 65 or any other law or legal duty, and Osem does not admit that the chemical acrylamide in food poses any risk to human health.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

Effective Date and Compliance Date 1.10

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 5. The Compliance Date is the date that is nine (9) months after the Effective Date.

1,1

14.

 2.1 Any Products that are manufactured or purchased by Defendant on and after the Compliance Date that it thereafter sells in California or distributes for sale in California shall either (1) not exceed 350 parts per billion ("ppb") acrylamide, as set forth in Section 2.2 ("Acrylamide Limit") or (2) comply with the warning requirements of Section 2.3.

2.1.1. As used in this Section 2.1, distribution for sale in California refers to directly shipping a Product into California or to sell a Product to a distributor that Defendant knows will sell the Product in California.

2.2 Testing

- (a) Compliance with the 350 ppb acrylamide limit shall be determined using LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry). GC/MS/MS (Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties. Any testing for purposes of Section 2.1 shall be performed by any laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a national or international accrediting organization.
- (b) The Acrylamide Limit is determined by randomly selecting and testing (over no less than a ten-day period), and averaging the concentration result of, five samples from five lots (or from as many lots as are then available for testing if there are fewer than five) of Products produced at locations that supply such Products to California ("Sampling Data"). The mean and standard deviation shall be calculated using the Sampling Data. Any data points that are more than three standard deviations outside the mean shall be discarded once, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated using the remaining data points. The arithmetic mean determined in accordance with this procedure shall be used to measure compliance with the Acrylamide Limit.

2.3 Warnings

If Defendant provides warnings under Section 2.1, Products may be sold in California with one of the following warning statements:

Option 1:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer [and birth defects or other reproductive harm]. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

Option 2:

WARNING: Cancer [and Reproductive Harm] - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food

The warning in Option 2 may be used only if the warning appears on the product container or labeling. Terms in bracketing are optional. The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Product, on the packaging of the Product, or on a placard, shelf tag, or sign, provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard, shelf tag, or sign where the Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between the Products and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For sales by Defendant on the internet or by catalog where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

2.4 Grace Period for Existing Inventory of Products

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, the Products that are manufactured prior to the Compliance Date shall be subject to release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligation of Osem, or any Releasees (if applicable), do not apply to these Products manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

As provided in this Section 3, Defendant shall pay a total settlement amount of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notices, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs

1	in the amount of forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section		
2	1021.5 and Health and Safety Code section 25249 et seq.		
3.	3.2 Civil Penalty		
4	The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to		
5	Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty		
6	paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the		
7	remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to EHA.		
8	All payments owed to EHA, shall be delivered to the following payment address:		
9	Samantha Dice Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.		
10	225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101		
11			
12	All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo		
13	line "Prop 65 Penalties) at the following addresses:		
14	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
15	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
16	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010		
17	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
18	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
19	Mike Gyurics		
20	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
21	1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814		
22	Occur company to manage the ETIA to when well addle a country of all of the country of the count		
23	Osem agrees to provide EHA's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA		
24	simultaneous with its penalty payment to EHA.		
25	Plaintiff and its counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as required. Relevant information is set out below:		
26	"Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at address provided in Section 3.3;		
27	"Nicholas & Tomasevic" (EIN: 46-3474065) at address provided in Section 3.3; and		
28	and address provided in Section 3.5, and		

28

"Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" at 1001 I Street, Sacramento,
 CA 95814.

3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs

The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys' fees and costs shall be paid to EHA's counsel, who are entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by it in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

Defendant shall provide its payment to EHA's counsel in two payments, the first for \$35,000 "First Payment") and the second for \$10,000 ("Second Payment"). Each payment shall be payable in two checks, divided equally, payable to Glick Law Group, PC and Nicholas & Tomasevic, respectively. The addresses for these two entities are:

Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Craig Nicholas Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101

3.4 Timing

The First Payment above for \$35,000 (\$17,500 to each firm) shall be delivered within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date. The Second Payment above for \$10,000 (\$5,000 to each firm) shall be delivered within sixty (60) days of the Effective date. However, if the First Payment is timely made, the Second Payment shall be waived by EHA and its counsel. The civil penalty payment required under Section 3.2 shall be delivered within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date.

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between, on the one hand, EHA, on behalf of itself and its attorneys, investigators, agents, heirs, and assigns (collectively referred to as

18

19

20212223

24

25

26

2728

"EHA Releasors") and on behalf of the public in the public interest, and, on the other hand, Osem and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, its directors, officers, principals, agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, predecessors, successors, and assigns ("Defendant Entities"), each entity to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes, ships, or sells the Products including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, and retailers (including but not limited to Ralphs Grocery Company), franchisees, franchisors, cooperative members, suppliers, licensees, and licensors, and all of the foregoing entities' owners, directors, officers, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, representatives, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively referred to as the "Releasees"), of all claims, actions, causes of action (in law or in equity), suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, expenses, and fees (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorney's fees), and expenses (collectively, "Claims") that have been or could have been asserted under Proposition 65 for any exposures to acrylamide from the Products manufactured, purchased, distributed, or sold by Defendant before the Compliance Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to any exposures to acrylamide from Products manufactured, purchased, sold, or distributed by Defendant on and after the Compliance Date.

4.2 EHA's Individual Release of Claims

EHA, in its individual capacity, on behalf of itself and the EHA Releasors, also waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and discharges and releases all Claims as to all Releasees under Proposition 65 or any statutory or common law from the alleged failure to provide warnings for any exposures to acrylamide, or for causing any exposures to acrylamide, in the Products manufactured, purchased, distributed, or sold by Defendant. The release in this Section 4.2 is effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands by EHA of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, or suspected or unsuspected. EHA acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

EHA understands and acknowledges the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

4.3 Defendant's Release of EHA

Defendant, on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it, in this matter or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within six months after it has been fully submitted to the Court by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Defendant:

action or institute a judicial proceeding if Osem demonstrates it has complied with the requirements of Section 2. Osem is entitled to designate such information as confidential.

In the event that meet and confer efforts are unsuccessful, the Party alleging a violation may initiate a judicial proceeding to enforce this Consent Judgment no earlier than 60 days after issuing the written notice specified in Section 11. In the event that a Party initiates such a judicial proceeding, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

12. MODIFICATION

- 12.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court, or (iii) as otherwise provided in Section 12 below. Any modifications made to this Consent Judgment pursuant to this Paragraph 12 shall have no effect on the Osem's financial obligations under this Consent Judgment.
- 12.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.
- 12.3 Change in Proposition 65. If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations (including but not limited to the published "no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2) or any "alternative risk level" adopted by regulation or court decision) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, or if OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action that determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required or modifies the standard for warnings for acrylamide, then Osem may seek to modify this Consent Judgment.
- 12.4 Other Court Decisions. If there is a final judgment by a court based on a determination that warnings for acrylamide exposures or that enforcement of Proposition 65 claims for acrylamide exposures are preempted or otherwise unlawful or unconstitutional, or if there is a final preliminary injunction issued by a court on the basis of a determination of a likelihood of such preemption or

unconstitutionality, then the Consent Judgment may be modified to conform to such ruling in order to avoid unfair, inconsistent, or anti-competitive results.

- 12.5. Federal Agency Action and Preemption. If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation or legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure for noticed motions set forth in Section 12.1 to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law.
- 12.6 Scientific Studies. If an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or other legally binding act, following a review of scientific studies and following public notice and comment, a cancer potency estimate for acrylamide that equates to a no significant risk level higher than 0.2 micrograms per day, then Osem shall be entitled to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment.
- 12.7 Before filing any motion to modify the Consent Judgment, Osem shall provide written notice to EHA to initiate the meet and confer procedure in Section 12.2. If the Parties do not agree on the proposed modification during informal meet and confer efforts, Osem may file a motion to modify the Consent Judgment within sixty (60) days of the date of the written notice that Osem provides to EHA under this Section 12.
- 12.8 Any modifications made to this Consent Judgment pursuant to this Paragraph 12 shall have no effect on the Osem's financial obligations under this Consent Judgment.

13. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 12, nothing in this Consent Judgment limits or affects the Court's authority to modify this Consent Judgment as provided by law.

12. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

13. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

١	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO BY (DEFENDANT)
	Date:August 5, 2021 By: ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.	Date: August 5th Goul Grez Ingber, CEO By: Alberto Pesson, Cegal Congnet OSEM USA, INC: