1			
2	Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 Joseph Mann, State Bar No. 207968		
3	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street		
4	San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800		
5	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com		
6	jmann@lexlawgroup.com		
7	Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
8			
9	GUIDEDIOD COURT OF THE CT ATE OF CALL TROPAUL		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
12			
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,)	Case No. RG 21-091642	
14	Plaintiff,)	[PROPOSED] CONSENT	
15	v.)	JUDGMENT AS TO THE ESTÉE LAUDER ENTITIES	
16	ESTEE LAUDER INC., et al.		
17	Defendant.)		
18	ý		
19			
20			
21	1. INTRODUCTION		
22	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental		
23	Health ("CEH"), on the one hand, and defendants Estée Lauder Inc., The Estée Lauder		
24	Companies Inc., Clinique Laboratories, LLC, Make-Up Art Cosmetics Inc., and Make-Up Art		
25	Cosmetics (U.S.), Inc. (together, "Settling Defendants"), on the other hand. CEH and Settling		
26	Defendants are referred to collectively as the "Parties		
27			
28			
PREPARED ED PAPER	-1-		

1

- 1.2 Settling Defendants are each a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells cosmetics products in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On April 23, 2019 and December 23, 2020, CEH sent 60-Day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) (the "Notices") to each Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of perfluorooctanoic acid ("PFOA") in make-up foundation and concealer manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendants.
- 1.4 On November 11, 2021, CEH sent an Amended 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (the "Amended Notice") to each Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Amended Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of PFOA in cosmetics products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendants.
- 1.5 On March 8, 2021, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming each Settling Defendant as a defendant. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the Complaint shall be deemed amended to assert allegations as to alleged exposures to PFOA in all cosmetics products manufactured or caused to be manufactured by Settling Defendants that are sold or offered for sale to California consumers.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendants ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means cosmetics products.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendants.
- 2.3 "The Estée Lauder Companies Inc." means The Estée Lauder Companies Inc., its subsidiaries, and affiliated entities that are under common ownership, as of the date the first 60-day notice was served in connection with this action.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products.

- 3.1.1 As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall not manufacture or cause to be manufactured any Covered Product that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers that contains (1) any intentionally added PFOA, or (2) any intentionally added fluorinated ingredient that causes PFOA to be in a Covered Product, including but not limited to C9-15 fluoroalcohol phosphate.
- 3.1.2 No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling

 Defendants shall provide CEH a written certification that, as of the date of such certification, all

 of their formulations of each Covered Product manufactured after the Effective Date comply with
 the reformulation requirement in Section 3.1.1.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

-3-

3

1

2

4 5

> 6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

- 4.2 Should CEH acquire data indicating that a Covered Product is sold or offered for sale in California and is not compliant with Section 3.1 or contains PFOA, it may exercise its enforcement right pursuant to this Section 4.2.
- 4.2.1 At least 60 days prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide the implicated Settling Defendants with (1) a Notice of Violation, (2) a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation, (3) the name and address of the entity from which the Covered Product was purchased, and (4) any evidence CEH has supporting the allegation that the Covered Product was manufactured or caused to be manufactured by a Settling Defendant after the Effective Date. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application.
- 4.2.2 As to Covered Products manufactured or caused to be manufactured by a Settling Defendant on or after the Effective Date:
- 4.2.2.1 If Settling Defendants respond to a Notice of Violation pertaining to any Covered Product with evidence that, notwithstanding the presence of PFOA in the Covered Product, Settling Defendants have complied with Section 3.1, the Parties shall meet and confer as to the source of the PFOA in the Covered Products and what additional measures, if any, Settling Defendants must take to ensure that no unwarned exposures to PFOA from Covered Products occurs thereafter.
- 4.2.2.2 Should the Parties reach agreement on a proposal to eliminate future exposures to PFOA from such Covered Products thereafter, they shall seek modification of the Consent Judgment to include the proposal pursuant to Section 6.
 - 4.2.2.3 Should the Parties be unable to reach such agreement within the

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$8,400 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 Settling Defendant shall pay the sum of \$25,200 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics and Youth Fund and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about PFOA and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth, expand its use of social media to communicate with youth in California about the risks of exposures to PFOA and other toxic chemicals in the products they use and about ways to reduce those exposures, work with industries that market products to youth to reduce exposure to PFOA and other toxic chemicals, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to PFOA and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASP funds are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section 5.2.2 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.

5.2.3 \$191,200 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$160,300 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$30,900 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** A Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to PFOA contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself and its agents, successors, and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding the failure to warn about exposure to PFOA arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.

1	7.3 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under		
2	Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants		
3	shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and		
4	Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about PFOA in		
5	Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective		
6	Date.		
7	8. NOTICE		
8	8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
9	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
10	Howard Hirsch		
11	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
12	San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com		
13			
14	8.2 When any Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent		
15	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
16	Taryn Looney Estée Lauder Companies		
17	VP and Legal Counsel Global Product Regulatory & Sustainability		
18	110 E. 59th Street, 36th Floor New York, NY 10022		
19	tlooney@estee.com		
20	With a copy to:		
21	Michael Steel		
22	Law Offices of Michael Steel 6303 Wood Drive		
23	Oakland, CA 94611 mjslaw@outlook.com		
24	·		
25	8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by		
26	sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
27			
28			
PARED			

9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.

9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1

10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 10.1 Should any Party prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, that Party may apply to the Court for an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application, which the Court may grant or deny in accordance with governing law.
- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendants, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party

hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

- 11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release any claims, or in any way affect any rights that either Party might have against any other entity, except as otherwise provided herein.
- 11.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.
- 11.6 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 11.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.
- 11.8 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	Dotad. December 9 2021	
3	Dated: December 9, 2021	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		Michael (
6		Signature
7		
8		Michael Green
9		Printed Name
10		CEO
10		Title
12	Dated:, 2021	ESTÉE LAUDER INC.
13		ESTEE LAUDER INC.
14		
15		Signature
16		
17		Printed Name
18		Timed Name
19		
20		Title
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-11-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – ESTÉE LAUDER – CASE NO. RG 21-091642

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	D.4.1	CENTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL
3	Dated:, 2021	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		Signature
7		
8		Printed Name
9		
10	the last and a section is	
11		Title
12		
13	Dated: 3 December, 2021	ESTÉE LAUDER INC.
14		
15		Signature Signature
16		
17		Lisa A. Napolione
18		Printed Name
19		SVP, Global R+D Title
20	,	Title
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-11-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – ESTÉE LAUDER – CAS

RG 21-091642

1	Dated: 3 December, 2021	
2	Dated. <u>5</u> <u>a 5</u> , 2021	THE ESTÉE LAUDER COMPANIES INC.
3		Ring Q. Y apolitic
4		Signature Signature
5		Lisa A. Napolione
6		Printed Name
7		
8		SVP, Global RHD Title
9		Title
10	Dated: 3 December, 2021	
11	Baicu. 3 0 - 1 , 2021	CLINIQUE LABORATORIES, LLC
12		Sin a Papolione
13		Signature
14		
15		Lisa A. Napolione Printed Name
16		
17		SYP, Global RHD
18		Title
19	D. 1 3 December 2001	
20	Dated: 3 December, 2021	MAKE-UP ART COSMETICS INC.
21	, * *	Juin a. Mapoline
22		Signature Signature
23		
24		Lisa A. Napolione Printed Name
25		1 Inted Ivanie
26		SVP, Global RHD
27		Title
28 REPARED		12

1	[Manager 1] 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
2	Dated: 3 Ecenber, 2021 MAKE-UP ART COSMETICS (U.S.), INC.
3	Bisa a. Mapolione
4	Signature Signature
5	
6	Printed Name
7	
8	SUP, Global R+D Title
9	Title
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.
12	
13	
14	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court
15	Judge of the Superior Court
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-13-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – ESTÉE LAUDER – CAS

RG 21-091642