1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GLICK LAW GROUP, P.C. Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 393-0154 Email: noam@glicklawgroup.com NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP. Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Jake Schulte (SBN 293777) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492 Fax: (619) 325-0496 Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org Email: jschulte@nicholaslaw.org	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.	
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
14	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., a California organization,	Case No. RG20083513
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
16	V.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 <i>et seq.</i> and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17	COSTADELSOL ENTERPRISES LLC dba	0 /
18	CBI INTERNATIONAL, a Florida limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 100,	
19	inclusive,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. ("EHA") and CostadelSol Enterprises LLC dba CBI International ("CBI"), with EHA and CBI each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

EHA is a corporation organized in the state of California, acting in the interest of the general public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

CostadelSol employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4

General Allegations

EHA alleges that CBI manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale wafer cookies that contain acrylamide. EHA further alleges that CostadelSol does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, acrylamide is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.5 Notices of Violation

On August 13, 2020 and January 7, 2021, EHA served CBI, Productos Alimenticios Diana S.A. de C.V., Cardenas Markets, LLC, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Notices"). The Notices alleged that CBI, and others, violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to acrylamide in wafer products – Diana Picnic Vanilla, Strawberry and Chocolate.

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notices.

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1.6

"Covered Products" Description

"Covered Products" as used in this Consent Judgment is defined as, and expressly limited to, wafer cookies, including Diana Picnic Vanilla, Diana Picnic Strawberry, and Diana Picnic Chocolate, that allegedly contain acrylamide and that are manufactured, sold, imported, shipped, delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers in California by CBI and Releasees (as defined in Section 4.1).

1.7 Complaint

On December 21, 2020, EHA filed a Complaint against CBI for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notices ("Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

CBI denies the material, factual, and legal allegations of the Notices and Complaint and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect CBI's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over CBI as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

- **1.10** Effective Date and Compliance Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment. The Compliance Date is the date that is ninety (90) days after the Effective Date.

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION OF PRODUCTS OR WARNINGS

2.1 Reformulation of Products

Any Covered Products that CBI manufactures, sells, imports, or distributes for sale in California on and after the Compliance Date shall: (a) contain an average acrylamide concentration by weight ("Average Level") not to exceed 115 parts per billion ("ppb"); or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Section 2.2. The Average Level shall be determined by (a) randomly selecting and testing at least one sample each from five different lots of the product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than five) that were produced on dates spread out roughly evenly over a period of at least 60 days, and (b) using tests performed by a laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a nationally recognized accrediting organization, using LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry).

For three consecutive years after the Compliance Date, CBI shall arrange for testing as provided under Section 2.1, above. The testing shall be at least once per year, with the first testing occurring prior to the first anniversary of the Compliance Date. No further testing shall be required unless CBI materially modifies the ingredients or cooking process of the Covered Products, at which point testing shall recommence on an annual basis for three years.

2.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

For Covered Products that contain acrylamide in a concentration exceeding the ppb level set forth in Section 2.1 above, and which are manufactured and packaged for distribution for authorized sale or use in California on or after the Compliance Date, CBI shall provide clear and reasonable warnings as set forth in Proposition 65 and related Regulations.

entitled to use, at its discretion, such other warning text and/or method of transmission, without being deemed in breach of this Consent Judgment.

2.3 Sell-Through Period

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, the Covered Products that are manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date shall be subject to full release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligation of CBI and/or any Releasees (if applicable), do not apply to Covered Products manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date, and claims regarding those earlier manufactured products are released.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.4 Court Approval of Less Onerous Compliance Measures

If a California court approves a Proposition 65 consent judgment concerning acrylamide for one or more competitors of CBI or any Releasee that provides for materially less onerous compliance measures, the Court, upon application by CBI, may modify this Consent Judgment to replace the more onerous compliance measures set forth herein with those less onerous compliance measures.

16

3.

MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

CBI shall pay eighty thousand dollars (\$80,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of eight thousand dollars (\$8,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of seventy-two thousand dollars (\$72,000) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

3.2 Apportionment of Settlement Amount

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to EHA individually.

28

///

1	All payments owed to EHA shall be delivered to the following address:		
2	Environmental Health Advocates		
3	225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101		
4	All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA		
5	(Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:		
6	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
7	Mike Gyurics		
8	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010		
9	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
10	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
11	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
12	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street		
13	Sacramento, CA 95814		
14	CBI agrees to provide EHA's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA,		
15	simultaneous with its penalty payments to EHA.		
16 17	Plaintiff and its counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as required.		
17	Relevant information is set out below:		
18 19	• "Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i);		
19 20	• "Nicholas & Tomasevic" (EIN: 46-3474065) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i);		
20 21	and		
21	• "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA		
23	95814.		
23	3.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs		
25	The portion of the settlement attributable to attorney's fees and costs shall be paid to EHA's		
23 26	counsel, who are entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by it in this action, including but not		
20 27	limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to CBI's attention, as well as litigating		
28	and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.		
-0			

 CBI shall provide their payment to EHA's counsel in two checks, divided equally, payable to
Glick Law Group, PC (\$36,000) and Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP (\$36,000) respectively. The
addresses for these two entities are:
Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101
Craig Nicholas

Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101

3.4 Timing

The above-mentioned checks will be issued within fourteen (14) days of the Effective Date.

4.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

EHA, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases CBI and its owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownerships, its directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and each entity from and to whom CBI directly or indirectly buys, distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited Productos Alimenticios Diana S.A. de C.V. as manufacturer of the Covered Products, and downstream distributors, wholesales, customers, retailers (including Cardenas Markets, LLC, its affiliates and subsidiaries), franchisees, cooperative members and licensees, (collectively, the "Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Compliance Date alleging failure to warn based on exposure to acrylamide from Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold or distributed by Releasees, as set forth in the Notices.

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to acrylamide from Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Releasees after the Compliance Date. This

CONSENT JUDGMENT

Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted against CBI and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products.

4.2 EHA's Individual Release of Claims

EHA, in its individual capacity, also provides a release to Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to acrylamide in Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by CBI and/or Releasees before the Compliance Date, and/or that were or could have been alleged or asserted in the Complaint.

4.3 CBI's Release of EHA

CBI on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them, in this matter or with respect to the Covered Products.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7.

GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then CBI may provide written notice to EHA of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

8. <u>NOTICE</u>

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

12 If to CBI:

Neil Klein McKasson & Klein LLP 18401 Von Karman Ave, Suite 330 Irvine, CA 92612 If to EHA:

Jake Schulte Nicholas & Tomasevic LLP 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

EHA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion EHA shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this

CONSENT JUDGMENT

Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so 2 requested.

11. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

AUTHORIZATION 12.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

13. **GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES**

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

[*Rest of page intentionally left blank*]

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

3

4

14. <u>ENTIRE AGREEMENT</u>

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

0		
9	Date: 02/08/2021	Date: 02/09/2021
10	Liang	HEn .
11	By: ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	By:
12	ADVOCATES, INC.	CBI INTERNATIONAL
13		
14		
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Date:	
18	Dute	
19		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		