1 2 3 4	Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) BRODSKY & SMITH 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160						
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff						
6							
7							
8	SUBERIOR COURT OF THE						
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO						
11	ANTHONY FERREIRO,	Case No.: CGC-22-602368					
12	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT					
13	v.	Judge: Richard B. Ulmer Dept.: 302					
14	WEST CHESTER HOLDINGS, LLC,	Hearing Date: June 20, 2023 Hearing Time: 9:30 AM					
15	Defendant.	Complaint Filed: October 12, 2022					
16 17		l.					
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26	*						
27							
28							

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 **The Parties.** This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Anthony Ferreiro acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Ferreiro") and West Chester Holdings, LLC ("West Chester" or "Defendant") with Ferreiro and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Ferreiro is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. West Chester is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Ferreiro alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to di-isodecyl phthalate (DIDP) from its sales of HDX Earmuffs without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. DIDP is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.
- 1.3 **Notice of Violation/Complaint.** On or about March 17, 2021, Ferreiro served West Chester and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that use of HDX Earmuffs expose users in California to DIDP. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notice. On October 12, 2022, Ferreiro filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notice.

1.5 Defendant denies the material allegations contained in Ferreiro's Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 **Covered Products.** The term "Covered Products" means HDX Earmuffs that are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California by West Chester.
- 2.2 **Effective Date.** The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND/OR WARNINGS</u>

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Covered Products that West Chester directly manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California shall either be: (a) reformulated Products pursuant to § 3.2, below; or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 3.3 and 3.4, below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a "Reformulated Product" is a Covered Product that is in compliance with the standard set forth in § 3.2 below. The warning requirement set forth in §§ 3.3 and 3.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product or Covered Product that entered the stream of commerce prior to the Effective Date.
- 3.2 **Reformulation Standard.** "Reformulated Products" shall mean Covered Products that contain concentrations less than or equal to 0.1% (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) of DIDP when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining the phthalate content in a solid substance. West Chester is entitled to rely on test results from accredited laboratories provided to West Chester.

- 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warning. The warning required by § 3.1 shall consist of either the Warning or Alternative Warning described in §§ 3.3(a) or (b), respectively:
 - (a) **Warning**. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:
 - ⚠ WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including di-isodecyl phthalate (DIDP), which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- (b) Alternative Warning: West Chester may, but is not required to, use the alternative short-form warning as set forth in this § 3.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:
 - **WARNING**: Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- "WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING:". The Warning or Alternative Warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or electronic device or automatic process, provided that the Warning or Alternative Warning is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The Warning or Alternative Warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings.

In addition to affixing the Warning or Alternative Warning to the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, the Warning or Alternative Warning shall be posted on websites where West Chester offers Covered Products for sale to consumers in California. The requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the Warning or Alternative Warning, or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" or similar language appears on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase.

To comply with this Section, West Chester shall (a) post the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** on its own website and, if it has the ability to do so, on the websites of its third-party internet sellers; and (b) if it does not have the ability to post the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** on the websites of its third-party internet sellers, provide such sellers with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.2. Third-party internet sellers of the Covered Product that have been provided with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.2 are not released in Section 5 of this Consent Judgment if they fail to meet the warning requirements of this Section for Products that entered the stream of commerce after to the Effective Date.

3.5 **Compliance with Warning Regulations.** Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by either adhering to §§ 3.3 and 3.4 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") applicable to the Covered Product and the exposure at issue after the Effective Date.

4. MONETARY TERMS

- 4.1 **Civil Penalty.** West Chester shall pay \$6,000.00 as a Civil Penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § \$25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the Civil Penalty remitted to Ferreiro, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).
- 4.1.1 Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, West Chester shall issue two separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$4,500.00; and to (b) "Anthony Ferreiro" in the amount of \$1,500.00. Payment owed to Ferreiro pursuant to this Section shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Evan J. Smith, Esquire Brodsky & Smith Two Bala Plaza, Suite 805 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

2.5

Payment owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) pursuant to this Section shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following address(es):

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

A copy of the check payable to OEHHA shall be mailed to Brodsky & Smith at the address set forth above as proof of payment to OEHHA.

4.2 **Attorneys' Fees.** Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, West Chester shall pay \$56,500.00 to Brodsky & Smith ("Brodsky & Smith") as complete reimbursement for Ferreiro's attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to West Chester attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Ferreiro acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and West Chester, and its parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 that were or could have been or could later be asserted against

Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees based on exposure to DIDP from Covered Products or failure to warn as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Covered Products (i) manufactured, distributed, or sold by West Chester prior to the Effective Date or (ii) sold or distributed by Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date (but which were acquired by any such parties prior to the Effective Date). It is the Parties' intention that this Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other actions by private enforcers, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against West Chester, Defendant Releasees, and/or the Downstream Releasees of the Covered Products ("Proposition 65 Claims"). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to exposure to DIDP from use of the Covered Products.

5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Ferreiro, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases West Chester, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by West Chester, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Ferreiro hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE

MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

5.3 West Chester waives any and all claims against Ferreiro, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Ferreiro and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

6. **INTEGRATION**

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

8. NOTICES

8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Defendant:

Bridget Milot-Ren, Esq. General Counsel Protective Industrial Products, Inc. 968 Albany Shaker Road Latham, NY 12110 Bmren@pipusa.com

With a copy to:

Jeff Parker

1	Sheppard Mullin				
2	333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1422 jparker@sheppardmullin.com				
3	And				
4	For Ferreiro:				
5	Evan Smith				
6	Brodsky & Smith 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900				
7	Beverly Hills, CA 90212				
8	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to				
9	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.				
10	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>				
11	9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of				
12	which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and				
13	the same document.				
14	10. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT</u>				
15	APPROVAL				
16	10.1 Ferreiro agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health &				
17	Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment				
18	Defendant agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.				
19	10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the				
20	Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the				
21	Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30				
22	days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.				
23	10.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an				
24	appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent				
25	Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed o				
26	its normal course on the trial court's calendar.				
27					

1	11. MODIFICATION					
2		11.1	This Consent Judgment may be mod	ified only by further stipulation of the Parties		
3	and th	and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.				
4	12. <u>ATTORNEY'S FEES</u>					
5		12.1	A Party who unsuccessfully brings o	contests an action arising out of this Consent		
Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and c				ty's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.		
				e a Party from seeking an award of sanctions		
8 9	pursuant to law.					
	13. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>					
10		13.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction	of this matter to implement or modify the		
12	Consent Judgment.					
13	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>					
14	14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of the					
15	respec	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this				
16	docun	document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute				
17	the Co	the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as				
18	explic	explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.				
19	AGREED TO:		AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:		
20	•5			11/2/17/172		
21	Dat	e:	South Control	By: Am A fitty - Deputy Gowal		
22	В	y:	THONY FERREIRO	By:		
23		AII	IIIONI PERREMO	Wasyl Chester Holdings, blo		
24						
25	IT IS	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:				
26						
27	Dated			Judge of Superior Court		
28				0x		