1	George Rikos, Esq. (SBN 204864) LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE RIKOS		
2	555 West Beech Street, Suite 500 San Diego, CA 92101		
3	Telephone: (858) 342-9161 Facsimile: (858) 724-1453		
4	Email: george@georgerikoslaw.com		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Brad Van Patten		
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY O	F SAN DIEGO	
10			
11	BRAD VAN PATTEN, an individual	Case No. 37-2020-00026593-CU-MC-CTL	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
14	AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; CAMERONS PRODUCTS,		
15	L.L.C., a Connecticut limited liability company; SCS DIRECT, INC. a Connecticut		
16	corporation; NEPCO, a business organization, form unknown; DOES 1-10	2	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		

1 1. **INTRODUCTION**

2 This settlement and prospective consent judgment ("Settlement") is 1.1 The Parties. 3 entered into by and between Brad Van Patten ("Van Patten") and Nepco Inc. ("Nepco"). Together, 4 Van Patten and Nepco are collectively referred to as the "Parties." Van Patten is an individual that 5 resides in the State of California and seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals 6 and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in 7 consumer products. Nepco is a company which employs ten or more persons and distributes 8 products in the United States, including to California.

9 General Allegations. Van Patten alleges that Nepco is a person in the course of 1.2 10doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health 11 & Safety Code Sections 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65") and that Wood Dust is listed pursuant to 12 Proposition 65 as a substance known to the State of California to cause cancer. Van Patten alleges 13 that Nepco has therefore exposed individuals to Wood Dust from its sales of Premium Wood 14 Smoking Chips ("Product") without first providing users and consumers of the product with a clear 15 and reasonable cancer warning as required pursuant to Proposition 65. Nepco denies Van Patten's 16 allegations and claims and, for a variety of reasons, avers that it is not required to provide such 17 Proposition 65 warnings for the Product they distribute for sale in California.

18 1.3 Product Description. The products covered by this Settlement are all Premium 19 Wood Smoking Chips, including, without limitation, all varieties (the "Products") that have been 20manufactured, imported, distributed, offered for sale and/or sold in California by Nepco or any of 21 its downstream distributors to whom it sells its products.

22

1.4 Notice of Violation, Complaint, and Jurisdiction. On March 25, 2021 Van Patten 23 served Nepco and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "Notice of 24 Violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq." (the "Notice"). The Notice 25 provided Nepco and such others, including public enforcers, with notice that alleged that Nepco was 26in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn California consumers 27 and customers that use of the Products will expose them to Wood Dust. No public enforcer has 28 diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice. On July 16, 2021, based on the Notice

1 and the absence of any authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 having filed a suit based on 2 the allegations contained therein, Van Patten filed an amended complaint ("Complaint") in the 3 Superior Court of and for San Diego County (the "Court"), Case No. 37-2020-00026593-CU-MC-4 CTL. For purposes of this Settlement, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the 5 allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Nepco, that venue 6 is proper in the County of San Diego, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Settlement as 7 a consent judgment as a full and final resolution of the claims and allegations contained in the 8 Complaint.

9 1.5 No Admission. This Settlement resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The 10Parties enter into this Settlement as a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the 11 Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Settlement shall be 12 construed as an admission by Nepco of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall 13 compliance with this Settlement constitute or be construed as an admission by Nepco of any fact, 14 finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Nepco. 15 However, this Section 1.5 shall not diminish or otherwise affect Nepco's obligations, 16 responsibilities and duties under this Settlement.

17 1.6 Effective Date. For purposes of this Settlement, the term "Effective Date" shall
18 mean the date this Settlement has been approved by the Court and Van Patten has provided notice
19 to Nepco that it has been entered in the Court's records as a consent judgment.

20 2.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Compliance with Proposition 65 Warning Regulations. As of the Effective Date, and
2.2 continuing thereafter, a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 2.1 and 2.2
2.3 must be provided for the Products at issue in this lawsuit that Nepco manufacturers, imports,
2.4 distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California. There shall be no obligation for such an exposure
2.5 warning to be provided for Products that entered the stream of commerce prior to the Effective
2.6 Date. The warning shall consist of either the Warning or Alternative Warning described in §§
2.1 (a) or (b), respectively:

28 (a) Warning. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:

ARNING: Drilling, sawing, sanding or machining wood products can expose you to Wood
 Dust, a substance known to the State of California to cause cancer. Avoid inhaling Wood Dust or
 use a dust mask or other safeguards for person protection. For more information go to
 www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.; or

5 AWARNING: This product can expose you to Wood Dust, which are known to the State of
6 California to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm. For more information go to
7 www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.;

8 9

10

(b) Alternative Warning: Nepco may, but are not required to, use the alternative shortform warning as set forth in this § 2.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows: WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm - <u>www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</u>.

11 2.2 A Warning or Alternative Warning provided pursuant to § 2.1 must have the term "WARNING:" printed in all capital letters and in bold font. The warning symbol to the left of the 12 13 word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a 14 black outline, except that if the label for the Products does not use the color yellow, the symbol 15 may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word 16 "WARNING:". The warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Products' packaging or labeling 17 and displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as 18 to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions 19 of purchase or use.

20 2.3 Compliance with Warning Regulations. Nepco shall be deemed to be in compliance with
21 this Settlement Agreement by either adhering to §§ 2.1 and 2.2 of this Settlement Agreement or by
22 complying with warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of

23 || Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA").

24 2.4. Alternative to Warning. For Product not packaged by Nepco but sold in bulk, Nepco
25 may as the manufacturer of the Product, provide a written notice directly to the authorized
26 agent for a distributor or retail seller who is subject to Section 25249.6 of the Act, which: (1)
27 States that the product may result in an exposure to one or more listed chemicals; (2) Includes the
28 exact name or description of the product or specific identifying information for the product such as

a Universal Product Code or other identifying designation; (3) Includes all necessary warning 1 materials such as labels, labeling, shelf signs or tags, and warning language for products sold on 2 the Internet, that satisfies Section 25249.6 of the Act; (4) Has been sent to the retail seller, and the 3 manufacturer, producer, packager, importer, supplier, or distributor has obtained confirmation 4 electronically or in writing of receipt of the notice. If the manufacturer, producer, packager, 5 importer, supplier, or distributor of a product is complying with this section by providing a written 6 notice directly to the authorized agent: (1) The notice must be renewed, and receipt of the renewed 7 notice confirmed electronically or in writing by the retail seller's authorized agent within six 8 months during the first year after the effective date of this section, then annually thereafter during 9 the period in which the Product is sold in California by the retail seller. 10

11

3.

SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

12

3.1 Civil Penalties

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Settlement, Nepco shall pay \$2,000 as a civil 13 penalty, allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), 14 with 75% of the penalty to be remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard 15 Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the Penalty remitted to Van Patten no later than 16 ten (10) business days following the Effective Date. More specifically, Nepcos shall issue two 17 separate checks for the civil penalty payment to (a) "Office of Environmental Health Hazard 18 Assessment" in the amount of \$1,500 (75%); and to (b) "Law Offices of George Rikos in Trust for 19 Brad Van Patten" in the amount of \$500 (25%). These Payments shall be delivered as follows: 20 21

22

23

24

(i) The penalty payment owed to Van Patten shall be delivered to the following address:

George Rikos

25 Law Offices of George Rikos

26 555 West Beech, Suite 500

- San Diego, CA 92101
- 27

28

(ii) The penalty payment owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered

directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following address: 2 Mike Gyurics **Fiscal Operations Branch Chief** 3 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment Attn. Prop 65 Penalties - Van Patten v. Nepco Settlement 4 1001 I Street 5 Sacramento, CA 95814

Nepco shall provide Van Patten's counsel with a copy of the check it sends to OEHHA with its 6 7 penalty payment to Van Patten. Late payments by Nepco shall be subject to additional penalties of \$100/day to be allocated between OEHHA and Van Patten in the same ratio as set forth above. Van 8 Patten's counsel shall provide Nepco with an IRS W-9 form for its firm and trust account for Van 9 Patten within three (3) days of the Effective Date and Nepco's payment deadlines under this 10 11 Agreement shall be tolled pending its receipt of the W-9 form. In association with the issuance of the payments under this Settlement, Nepco will issue IRS 1099 forms as appropriate given the 12 13 payees.

14

28

1

Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Costs 3.2

Nepco shall reimburse Van Patten's counsel \$28,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result 15 of investigating and bringing this matter to Nepcos' attention, negotiating a settlement in the 16 public interest, and obtaining the Court's approval of the Settlement and its entry as a consent 17 judgment. Nepco has agreed to pay the \$28,000 for fees and costs in three installments. All three 18 installment payments shall be made payable to "Law Offices of George Rikos" and deliver it to 19 20 the address identified in Section 3.1. The first installment payment shall be issued by Nepco for 21 \$10,000 within 10 days of the Court approving this Consent Judgment. Nepco shall issue a second payment of \$10,000 within 30 days of the Court approving this Consent Judgment. 22 Nepco shall issue a third and final payment of \$8,000 within 45 days of the Court approving this 23 24 Consent Judgment.

25 MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT 4.

Release of Nepco and Downstream Customers and Entities Except for SCS Direct, Inc., 26 4.1 27 Camerons Products, LLC, and Amazon.com, Inc.

. This Settlement is a full, final and binding resolution between Van Patten, acting on her

1 own behalf and in the public interest, and Nepco, of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or 2 could have been asserted by Van Patten or on behalf of his past and current agents, representatives, 3 attorneys, successors, and/or assigns ("Releasors") for failure to provide warnings for alleged 4 exposures to Wood Dust contained in the Products, and Releasors hereby release any such claims 5 against Nepco and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities, shareholders, marketplaces, directors, 6 officers, agents, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, and each entity to whom Nepco 7 directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products, including but not limited to, downstream 8 distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, and its respective subsidiaries, affiliates and parents, 9 franchisees, cooperative members and licensees (collectively, the "Releasees"), from all claims for 10violations of Proposition 65 through the Effective Date based on their failure to warn of alleged 11 exposure to the substance Wood Dust from use of the Products.

12 In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the 13 payments to be made pursuant to Section 3 above, Van Patten, on behalf of himself, his past and 14 current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby covenants not to sue 15 and waives any right to institute, participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and 16 releases all claims that he may have, including without limitation, all actions and causes of action 17 in law and in equity, all obligations, expenses (including without limitation all attorneys' fees, expert 18 fees, and investigation fees, and costs), damages, losses, liabilities and demands against any of the 19 Releasees of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, 20 limited to and arising out of the alleged or actual exposure to substances contained in Nepco's 21 Products. This release explicitly does not release any of the other named defendants in this 22 case, including but not limited to, SCS Direct, Inc., Camerons Products, LLC, and 23 Amazon.com, Inc. Nothing contained herein shall be construed as a release of any other 24 Defendant named in the Complaint, including, but not limited to, SCS Direct, Inc., Camerons 25 Products, LLC, and Amazon.com, Inc.

4.2 Nepco's Release of Van Patten. Nepco, on behalf of themselves, their past and
current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all
claims against Van Patten, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or

statements made by Van Patten and/or his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course
 of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter.

3 4.3 California Civil Code Section 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to 4 the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and relating to the Products will develop or 5 be discovered. Van Patten on behalf of himself only, on one hand, and Nepcos, on the other hand, 6 acknowledge that this Agreement is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up 7 through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. The Parties acknowledge that the 8 claims released in Sections 4.1 and 4.2, above, may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive 9 California Civil Code Section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code Section 10 1542 reads as follows:

11A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE12CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT13TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING14THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD15HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH16THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

17 Van Patten and Nepco each acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this
18 specific waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542. Nothing contained herein shall be
19 construed as a release of any other Defendant named in the Complaint, including, but not
20 limited to, SCS Direct, Inc., Camerons Products, LLC, and Amazon.com, Inc.

4.4 Deemed Compliance with Proposition 65. Compliance by Nepco with this
Settlement following the Effective Date constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to
exposure to WOOD DUST from the Products. Products distributed by Nepco prior to the Effective
Date may be sold through as previously manufactured and labeled.

25 5.

ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

The Parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Settlement as a
consent judgment based on the motion for its approval Van Patten will be making pursuant
to Section 10 below. Upon entry of the Settlement as consent judgment, Van Patten and

Nepco waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations contained in the
 Complaint.

3 6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the execution of this Settlement, any of the provisions of this Settlement
are deemed by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall
not be adversely affected but only to the extent the deletion of the provision deemed unenforceable
does not materially affect, or otherwise result in the effect of the Settlement being contrary to the
intent of the Parties in entering into this Settlement.

9

7. <u>GOVERNING LAW/ENFORCEMENT</u>

10 The terms of this Settlement shall be governed by the law of the State of California and apply 11 within the State of California. The rights to enforce the terms of this Settlement are exclusively 12conferred on the Parties hereto and this Court shall retain jurisdiction over any enforcement actions 13 , including but not limited to, any failure by Nepco to make the payments identified above. Any 14 Party may, after providing sixty (60) days written notice and meeting and conferring within a 15 reasonable time thereafter to attempt to resolve any issues, by motion or application for an order to 16 show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Settlement. In the 17 event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable or limited by reason of 18 law generally, due to federal preemption or the First Amendment commercial speech rights of the 19 U.S. Constitution, or as to the Products, Nepcos shall provide written notice to Van Patten of any 20asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Settlement 21 Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, a Product is so affected.

22 8.

. <u>NOTICES</u>

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight or two-day courier on any party by the other party to the following addresses:

- 27
- 28

1	For Nepco:		
2	Jeffrey F. Allen, Esq.		
3	Bond, Schoeneck & King 350 Linden Oaks, Third Floor		
4	Rochester New York, 14625 Attorneys for Nepco		
5			
6	For Van Patten:		
7	George Rikos, Esq.		
8			
9			
10			
11	Either party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to		
12	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.		
13	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS: SIGNATURES</u>		
14	This Settlement may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or .pdf signature, each of		
15	which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and		
16	the same document.		
17	10. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)</u>		
18	Van Patten agrees to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in Health & Safety		
19	Code Section 25249.7(f) and to seek, by formal and properly noticed motion (including with service		
20	to the Office of the California Attorney General being fully effectuated at least 45 days prior to a		
21	requested hearing thereon), approval of this Settlement's terms pursuant to Proposition 65 and its		
22	associated entry as a consent judgment by the Court.		
23	11. MODIFICATION		
24	This Settlement may be modified only by a written agreement of the Parties and the approval		
25	of the Court or upon a duly noticed motion of either Party for good cause shown. A showing of		
26	technical infeasibility or commercial unreasonableness in meeting the requirements of Section 2		
27	with respect to the Products shall be deemed to constitute good cause for a modification to substitute		
28	an alternative no significant risk level on the basis of 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25703(b) in place of the		
	10 IDDODOGEDI CONSENT JUDOMENT		

cancer risk level and presumptive part per billion average concentration threshold set forth in
 Sections 2.1 and 2.2, and such a modification shall not be opposed by Van Patten. Any proposed
 modification shall be sent to the Office of the California Attorney General in advance of its
 submission to the Court such that the Attorney General has a reasonable opportunity to review and
 comment thereon.

6

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Settlement contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior
negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No
representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by
any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof. This Settlement shall have no
effect if it is not approved by the Court and entered as a consent judgment.

12 || 13.

15

AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Settlement and have read, understood and
agree to all of the terms and conditions contained of this Settlement.

13		
16	AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 5/4/2022	
17	Date: Docusigned by: By: Brad Van Patten By: Jack Stad Van Patten By: Jack Van Patten	
18	Brad Van Patten Nepco	
19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT THE SETTLEMENT SET	
21	FORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY BE ENTERED AS A CONSENT JUDGMENT BY THIS COURT:	
22	DATED:	
23		
24	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	11 [PROPOSED] CONSENT ILIDGMENT	