1 2	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900			
	San Diego, California 92101			
3	Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 393-0154			
4	Email: noam@glicklawgroup.com			
5	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)			
6 7	Jake Schulte (SBN 293777) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492			
8	Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org Email: jschulte@nicholaslaw.org			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
10	Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.			
11				
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	Case No.		
14	ADVOCATES, INC.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
15	Plaintiff,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and		
16	v.	Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)		
17	GRUPO HERDEZ, S.A.B. De C.V., a Mexican corporation, WALMART INC., a Delaware			
18	corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,			
19	inclusive,			
20	Defendants.			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
20				

1. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 1.1 "Compliance Date:" Because the Covered Products are subject to regulatory requirements and any reformulation must ensure compliance with these other applicable regulatory requirements, the Compliance Date means the date that is six (6) months after the Effective Date.
- 1.2 "Covered Products:" means all tortilla chip products manufactured, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale in California by Settling Defendant or its subsidiaries and affiliates (together, "Subsidiaries"), whether branded or private label, at all grocery, retail, and other locations and sales channels, including on the Internet. This Consent Judgment covers the Covered Products to the extent that they are incorporated as an ingredient in any food product made or sold by others.
- 1.3 "Effective Date:" means the date on which the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 11, below.

2. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are Environmental Health Advocates, Inc., ("EHA") on the one hand, and Utz Quality Foods, LLC ("Settling Defendant"), licensee of the Herdez brand name, on the other hand. EHA and Settling Defendant (collectively, the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by EHA against Settling Defendant and Defendants as set forth in the Complaint. Except as otherwise provided herein, this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to all Covered Products manufactured, sold, distributed, and/or offered for sale by Defendant Releasees (as the term is defined in Section 5.1) in California.
- 2.2 On October 20, 2020, EHA served Settling Defendant's licensor (Grupo Herdez, S.A.B. De C.V. ("Licensor")), Walmart Inc., and the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq (the "Notice"). The Notice alleged, inter alia, that Licensor violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to Acrylamide contained in Herdez brand tortilla chips. No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

27 ///

28 ///

- **2.3** Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so at times relevant to the Complaint.
- **2.4** On February 24, 2021, EHA filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").
- 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notice with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold and/or offered for sale by Defendant Releasees pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.
- 2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Except as otherwise provided for herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action in an efficient and economic manner.

[Rest of page intentionally left blank]

3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products

3.1.1 Average Level

Any Covered Products that are manufactured on and after the Compliance Date that are thereafter sold or distributed for sale in California shall not exceed 281 ppb acrylamide by weight (the "Average Level"). As used in this Section 3, "distributed for sale in California" means to directly ship a Covered Product into California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Settling Defendant or its Subsidiaries knows will sell the Covered Product in California.

3.1.1.1 Average Level Determination

The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least five (5) samples each from at least five (5) different lots of a Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than five (5)) during a testing period of at least three hundred sixty-five (365) days. The mean and standard deviation shall be calculated using the sampling data. Any data points that are more than three standard deviations outside the mean shall be discarded, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated using the remaining data points. The mean determined in accordance with this procedure shall be deemed the "Average Level."

3.1.2 Unit Level

The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products Chips shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Unit Level"). The Average Level and Unit Level shall collectively be referred to herein as the "Reformulation Levels." Any samples of a Covered Product tested under Sections 3.1.1 or 3.1.2 shall be homogenized before testing for acrylamide content.

3.1.3 For avoidance of doubt, Covered Products either purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold and/or offered for sale by Settling Defendant or its Subsidiaries prior to the Compliance Date are not subject to the Reformulation Levels, even if such products are sold or offered for sale in California after the applicable Compliance Date.

27 ///

28 ///

3.1.4 Testing

Compliance with the Average Level and Unit Level shall be determined in accordance with the methodology set forth in Sections 3.1.1.1 and 3.1.2 and using LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), GC/MS/MS (Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties. Testing for purposes of Section 3.1 shall be performed by any laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a nationally recognized accrediting organization.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings.

A Covered Product purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold or offered for sale by Defendant Releasees may, as an alternative to meeting the Reformulation Levels, be sold or offered for sale in California with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of this Section 3.2. A Clear and Reasonable Warning may only be provided for Covered Products that Settling Defendant or its Subsidiaries reasonably believe do not meet the Reformulation Levels. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Consent Judgment shall state:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including acrylamide, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer. Acrylamide is a chemical that can form in some foods during high-temperature cooking processes, such as frying, roasting, and baking. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product, on the packaging of the Covered Product, or on a placard or sign provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard or sign where the Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which specific Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between that Covered Product and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is

not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires that warnings be provided for Covered Products that are not sold or offered for sale in California. To comply with Section 3.2, Settling Defendant and its Subsidiaries may rely on the procedure for notifying retailers set out in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600.2, in effect as of the applicable Compliance Date.

The warning requirements set forth herein are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment and are recognized by the Parties as not being the exclusive manner of providing a warning for the Covered Products. Warnings may be provided as specified in Proposition 65 regulations for food in effect as of the applicable Compliance Date (Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25601, et seq.) or as such regulations may be amended in the future.

3.3 **Grace Period for Existing Inventory of Products**

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that are manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date shall be subject to release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligation of Settling Defendant, or any Releasees (if applicable), do not apply to these Covered Products manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date.

[Rest of page intentionally left blank]

19

23

24

25 26

27

28

1 4. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS 2 4.1 **Settlement Amount** 3 Settling Defendant shall pay Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$60,000) in settlement and total 4 satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This 5 includes civil penalties in the amount of Six Thousand Dollars (\$6,000) pursuant to Health and Safety 6 Code section 25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs in the amount of Fifty-Four Thousand Dollars 7 (\$54,000) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5. 8 4.2 **Civil Penalty** 9 The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health 10 and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid 11 to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 12 twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to EHA individually. 13 All payments owed to EHA shall be delivered to the following address: 14 Samantha Dice Environmental Health Advocates 15 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101 16 17 All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses: 18 For United States Postal Service Delivery: 19 Mike Gyurics 20 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 21 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 22 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 23 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 24 Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 25 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street 26 Sacramento, CA 95814 27 28

1314

15

12

1617

18

1920

22

21

2324

25

2627

28

4.4 Timing

The above-mentioned checks will be issued within fourteen (14) days after the Effective Date or the date upon which Plaintiff's counsels provide Settling Defendant with their respective IRS 1099, W-9, or other required tax forms, whichever is later.

5. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

5.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

For any claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about exposures to Acrylamide from Covered Products or related products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Defendants and Settling Defendant or its Subsidiaries prior to the Compliance Date, EHA, acting for the general public and in the public interest, releases Settling Defendant, of any and all liability. This includes Settling Defendant's owners, parents, partners, joint ventures, Subsidiaries, Licensor, licensors, licensees, affiliated entities under common ownerships, its directors, officers, members, agents, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Settling Defendant and its Subsidiaries directly or indirectly distribute, sell or offer for sale Covered Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesales, customers, retailers (including but not limited to Walmart Inc.), franchisees, cooperative members and licensees, (collectively, the "Defendant Releasees"). In addition to the foregoing, Defendant Releasees include Settling Defendant, its parent, and all Subsidiaries and affiliates thereof and their respective owners, members, parents, partners, joint ventures, licensors, licensees, employees, agents, and assigns. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliances with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to acrylamide from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, imported, offered for sale, sold, or distributed by Defendant Releases after the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted against Settling Defendant, its Subsidiaries and Defendant Releasees for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products.

5.2 EHA's Individual Release of Claims

EHA, in its individual capacity, also provides a release to Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, penalties, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to acrylamide in Covered Products manufactured, imported, offered for sale, sold, or distributed by Defendant Releases before the Compliance Date.

5.3 Settling Defendant's Release of EHA

Settling Defendant, on its own behalf, and on behalf of Defendant Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it, in this matter or with respect to the Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Settling Defendant and its Subsidiaries before the Compliance Date.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

/// ///

8. GOVERNING LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then Settling Defendant may provide written notice to EHA of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

9. **NOTICE**

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (1) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

If to Settling Defendant

If to EHA:

Richard Fama Cozen O'Connor 3 WTC 175 Greenwich Street, 55th Floor New York, NY 10007 Noam Glick Glick Law Group, PC 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

17 | New Tolk, NT 10

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which notices and other communications shall be sent.

10. COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

EHA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which

motion EHA shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

12. **MODIFICATION**

- **12.1 Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.
- 12.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.
- but not limited to the published "no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at Cal. Code Regs., tit 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2) or any "alternative risk level" adopted by regulation or court decision) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, or if OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action that determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required or modifies the standard for warnings for acrylamide, then Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment.
- 12.4 Other Court Decisions. If a final decision of a court determines that warnings for acrylamide exposures or that enforcement of Proposition 65 claims for acrylamide exposures are preempted or otherwise unlawful or unconstitutional, then Settling Defendant or its Subsidiaries may move to modify this Consent Judgment to confirm to such ruling in order to avoid unfair, inconsistent, or anti-competitive results.

agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation or legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure for noticed motions set forth herein to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law.

- 12.6 Scientific Studies. If an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or other legally binding act, following a review of scientific studies and following public notice and comment, a cancer potency estimate for acrylamide that equates to a no significant risk level higher than 0.2 micrograms per day, then Settling Defendant and its Subsidiaries shall be entitled to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment.
- 12.7 Before filing any motion to modify the Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to EHA to initiate the meet and confer procedure in Section 12.2. If the Parties do not agree on the proposed modification during informal meet and confer efforts, Settling Defendant may file a motion to modify the Consent Judgment within sixty (60) days of the date of the written notice that Settling Defendant provides to EHA under this Section 12.
- 12.8 Any modification to this Consent Judgment pursuant to this Section 12 shall have no effect on Settling Defendant's financial obligations under this Agreement.

13. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 12, nothing in this Consent Judgment limits or affects the Court's authority to modify this Consent Judgment as provided by law.

27 ///

28 ///

1			
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they		
3 have	have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.		
4 15.	15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES		
5	If a dispute arises with respect to either	Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent	
Judgr	Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in		
	writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed		
in the	in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.		
16.	ENTIRE AGREEMENT		
	This Consent Judgment contains the sole a	nd entire agreement and understanding of the Parties	
with	with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or		
comn			
impli			
other	wise, unless specifically referred to herein, si	hall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.	
AGI	REED TO:	AGREED TO:	
Date	e:March 17, 2021.	Date: De Lisselle	
By:	Lialy	$_{ m By:}$ Dylan Lissette	
I	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.	Utz Quality Foods, LLC	
IT IS	SO ORDERED.		
_			
Date:			
		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	

14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>