1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth L. Merrow, State Bar No. 328337 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com  Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
11		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, ) Case No. RG21107796	
13	a non-profit corporation,	
14	Plaintiff,  (PROPOSED] CONSENT  () JUDGMENT AS TO BEACHBODY,  () LLC	
15	VS.	
16	GYMSHARK USA, INC. et al.,	
17		
18	Defendants.	
19	<u> </u>	
20		
21	1. INTRODUCTION	
22	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for	
23	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Beachbody, LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH	
24	and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	
25	<b>1.2</b> Settling Defendant is a limited liability company that employs ten (10) or	
26	more persons and that distributes and/or sells latex resistance bands that may contain n-	
27	nitrosodiethylamine ("NDEA") and n-nitrosodimethylamine ("NDMA") (collectively referred to	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	herein as "Nitrosamines") in the State of California or has done so in the past.  -1-	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1- CONSENT HIDGMENT DEACHDODY CASE NO DC21107706	L

CONSENT JUDGMENT – BEACHBODY – CASE NO. RG21107796

- 1.3 Nitrosamines, such as NDEA and NDMA, can form during the manufacturing process of latex rubber products, including the Covered Products. One study contends that Covered Products can be made using alternate accelerators (hereafter "Alternate Accelerator") that do not form Nitrosamines. *See* Sheth, et al., *Nitrosamine Generating Accelerators in Curing of Rubber*, IJSRD, Vol. 1, Issue 3 (2013).
- 1.4 On April 14, 2021, CEH served two 60-Day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") on Settling Defendant and its affiliate entity The Beachbody Company Group, LLC, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. One Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of NDEA in latex resistance bands that are distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant and the other alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of NDMA in latex resistance bands that are distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.5 On July 22, 2021, CEH filed the above-captioned action in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County. On September 30, 2021, CEH filed a First Amended Complaint. On October 22, 2021, CEH named Settling Defendant as a Doe Defendant in this action.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint in the above-captioned action ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is, or shall be construed as, an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall

prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1** "Covered Products" means latex rubber resistance bands manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date CEH serves by email notice of entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.3 "Nitrosamine-Free Latex" means latex rubber that contains NDEA and/or NDMA that is below the level of detection ("LOD") using a detection limit equal to 50 parts per billion (ppb) by weight when tested pursuant to ISO 19577-2019 by an independent accredited laboratory. In the event that CEH becomes aware that the International Organization for Standardization ("ISO") has validated and published a modified version of ISO 19577-2019 that results in a reduction in the LOD for NDMA and/or NDEA, CEH may initiate a meet and confer pursuant to Section 6 to lower the LOD. In no event shall the LOD be reduced below 20 ppb. Alternatively, Nitrosamine-Free Latex may be demonstrated as containing NDEA and/or NDMA less than 10 ppb as determined using ASTM F1313-90 (2011) or EN-71-12:2013, modified to use a sweat solution by an independent accredited laboratory.
  - 2.4 "Reformulation Date" means 180 days after the Effective Date.
- **2.5** "Reformulation Requirements" means the injunctive obligations set forth in Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Specification Compliance Date. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of the Covered Products requiring that the Covered Products be made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex beginning no later than the Reformulation Date. Settling Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from the suppliers of Covered Products confirming that all such Covered Products

received by Settling Defendant for distribution in California are made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex. Settling Defendant may rely upon a written certification from its supplier that supplied a Covered Product that such Covered Product is made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex if such certification has not previously been demonstrated to be invalid. Settling Defendant may comply with the requirements of this Section 3.1 by incorporating the requirements of this Section into its vendor guidelines, restricted substances list or similar vendor specifications documents and obtaining written confirmation from its suppliers through its standard vendor approval and consent processes.

- 3.2 Reformulation Commitment. As of the Reformulation Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture or import for sale in California any Covered Products that are not certified to be made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex. Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires Settling Defendant to (a) perform testing on the Covered Products; or (b) recall any Covered Products that are already in the stream of commerce as of the Reformulation Date.
- 3.3 Sell-Through for Existing Inventory. The Reformulation Requirements of Section 3 shall not apply to Covered Products that Settling Defendant has purchased prior to the Reformulation Date, including but not limited to Covered Products in distribution centers, in inventory, or at retail locations.
- 3.4 Extension of Time to Comply with Reformulation Date. If Settling Defendant is unable to satisfy the Reformulation Requirements prior to the Reformulation Date, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH at least thirty (30) days before the Reformulation Date of its need to extend the Reformulation Date by an additional six (6) months. Such written notice shall include a reasonably detailed description of Settling Defendant's efforts to reformulate and the anticipated date of compliance. In addition, if Settling Defendant avails itself of the extension allowed under this Section 3.4, Settling Defendant shall make the additional payments specified in Section 5.4 below for such an extension.
- 3.5 If Settling Defendant has not satisfied the Reformulation Requirements by the applicable Reformulation Date (or any extension pursuant to Section 3.4) for any Covered Products, then for all such Covered Products it shall provide a clear and reasonable warning that

complies with Section 3.6.1. In addition, if Settling Defendant avails itself of the warning option allowed under this Section 3.6, Settling Defendant shall make the additional payments specified in Section 5.3 below.

- 3.6 Reformulation Alternative Clear and Reasonable Warnings. If Settling Defendant determines that it is unable to comply with the Reformulation Requirements, Settling Defendant may elect to label Covered Products with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of this Section 3.6 and Title 27 California Code of Regulations section 25600, *et seq.* (the "Warning Option") and make additional payments as set forth in Section 5.3.
  - 3.6.1 **Warning Language**. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:



**WARNING**: This product can expose you to chemicals including N-Nitrosodiethylamine and N-Nitrosodimethylamine, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</a>.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol that is the same height as the text of the word **WARNING**, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging or tag or visible through the outer packaging of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the product is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. In lieu of the preceding warning content and methods set forth above, Settling Defendant may use any specific safe-harbor warning content and method applicable to the Covered Products set forth

in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600 *et seq.*, as amended August 30, 2018 and subsequently thereafter.

3.6.2 **Notice to CEH of Election to Warn**. At least thirty (30) days before selling or distributing any Covered Products with warnings hereunder for NDMA and NDEA, the Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH that Settling Defendant has elected to utilize the Warning Option.

### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Alameda, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation setting forth the basis for the alleged violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. If, as part of the meet and confer process, Settling Defendant provides CEH with evidence that its supplier(s) has switched to an Alternate Accelerator as described in Section 1.3, such evidence shall serve as a complete defense to a CEH enforcement notice. Should Settling Defendant produce test results showing that the Covered Product that CEH has tested meets the Reformulation Requirements hereunder, such evidence shall serve as a defense to a CEH enforcement notice. Should attempts at meeting and conferring fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. In ruling on any motion to enforce the terms of this Section, the Court may, in addition to ordering compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, employ such remedies as necessary to ensure compliance with Proposition 65 including, but not limited to, requiring Settling Defendant to provide warnings. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application to enforce a material violation of this Consent Judgment under this Section, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion or application under this Section, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial

25

26

justification. CEH's exclusive remedy for any failure to comply with the terms of this Consent
Judgment shall be a motion to enforce after meeting and conferring as set forth herein. Only the
Parties hereto may enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
5. PAYMENTS
<b>Payments by Settling Defendant.</b> Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the
Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$50,000 as a settlement payment as
further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be timely
and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1) postmarked (if sent by the United States
Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier (e.g. Fed Ex), on or before the deadline set
forth in this paragraph.
5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant
shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth
below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the
following categories and made payable as follows:
5.2.1 \$6,800 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code
§ 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety
Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental
Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty
payment for \$5,100 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification
number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
Faul Haife of Charles Daniel Commission Delicarian
For United States Postal Service Delivery:
Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
Attn: Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief

# Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,700 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$4,750 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use these funds to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about toxic chemicals, including carcinogenic nitrosamines such as NDEA and NDMA, work with industries interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with government, businesses, and communities for business practices that are safe for human health and the environment, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to NDEA, NDMA, and other toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$38,450 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$32,950 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$5,500 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

14

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Type	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$ 5,100	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$ 1,700	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$ 4,750	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$ 32,950	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$ 5,500	LLG

# 5.3 Payment if Settling Defendant Elects Warning Option for NDMA and

**NDEA.** If Settling Defendant avails itself of the Warning Option provided for by Section 3.6, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$13,500 to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth herein, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.6.2. Of the additional payment, \$7,000 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$5,250 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$1,750 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$1,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$5,000 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117. The additional payment in this Section only applies to warnings for NDMA and/or NDEA. Should Settling Defendant

1 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 2 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B 3 Sacramento, CA 95814 4 The remaining \$1,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law 5 Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and costs associated with the 6 additional payment. 7 8 6. MODIFICATION 9 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by 10 express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this 11 Court upon motion and in accordance with law. 12 6.2 **Alternative Compliance Standards.** If CEH enters into a court-approved 13 settlement or a court enters final judgment in a Proposition 65 enforcement action involving 14 exposure to NDMA or NDEA in latex that includes a different reformulation standard or 15 definition of Nitrosamine-Free Latex than Section 2.3 or a court determines that there is no 16 reliable or validated methodology for testing for NDMA or NDEA, Settling Defendant only is 17 entitled at its option to initiate a meet and confer in good faith on conforming modifications to 18 this Consent Judgment. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement, Settling Defendant may 19 move the Court to modify the Consent Judgment. 20 6.3 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall 21 attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to 22 modify the Consent Judgment. 23 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED 24 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on 25 behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, 26 subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership (including The Beachbody 27 Company Group, LLC), directors, officers, members, employees, agents, shareholders, 28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), Settling Defendant's suppliers, and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Additional Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to NDEA or NDMA contained in the Covered Products that were manufactured, imported, sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Reformulation Date.

- 7.2 CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Additional Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding a violation of Proposition 65 and/or the failure to warn about exposure to NDEA or NDMA or any other Proposition 65 listed nitrosamine arising or in connection with the Covered Products that were manufactured, imported, sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Reformulation Date.
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, the Defendant Releasees and its Additional Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about NDEA or NDMA in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date, except as to any other retail seller who fails to provide an internet or catalogue warning provided to said retailer pursuant to Section 3.6 in a manner consistent with Section 3.6.1.
- 7.4 The Parties intend the above release to be a full, final accord and satisfaction and release of claims with respect to the Covered Products. In furtherance of this intention, CEH, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges it is familiar with California Civil Code section 1542, which is set forth below, and waives and relinquishes all of the rights and benefits it has, or may have under this statute or any similar laws with respect to the Covered Products.

1 2		CREDITO EXIST I	ERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE OR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO N HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE
3		MATERI	E AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE IALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR EASED PARTY.
4		0111122	
5	8.	NOTICE	
6		8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
7	notice	e shall be se	ent by first class and electronic mail to:
8			Mark Todzo
9			Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
10			San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com
11			
12		8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
13	Judgn	nent, the no	otice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
14			Trenton H. Norris
15			Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor
16			San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 <u>trent.norris@arnoldporter.com</u>
17		8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent
18	by sea	nding the of	ther Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
19	9.	COURT	APPROVAL
20		9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH
21	shall ]	prepare and	file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant
22	shall	support enti	ry of this Consent Judgment.
23		9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
24	effect	and shall n	never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
25	purpo	se other tha	an to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.
26			
27			
28			

### 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

2

**10.1** The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should

proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result

of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion

or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term

substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986,

California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq. This section is not intended to preclude the

Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other

Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or

Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear

Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of

4

### 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

11.1

11.2

11.3

6 7

5

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

# 12. JOINT PREPARATION

sanctions pursuant to law.

its own attorneys' fees and costs.

ordinary operation of California Civil Code §1717.

2021222324252627

28

12.1 The Parties have jointly participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party shall not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654. No inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based upon the fact that one of the Parties and/or their

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

7 8

9 10

12

11

13 14

> 15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

counsel prepared or drafted any portion of this Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that the Parties participated equally in the drafting of this Consent Judgment.

#### **ENTIRE AGREEMENT** 13.

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

#### SUBMISSION OF REPORTS AND DATA TO CEH 14.

14.1 For any report or information that Settling Defendant submits to CEH pursuant to this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant may make such a submission subject to the terms of a protective order and/or confidentiality agreement as appropriate.

#### 15. COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

15.1 CEH and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

#### 16. **SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS**

16.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and other respective divisions, subdivision, and subsidiaries, and the successors and assigns of any of them.

1	17. RETE	NTION OF JURISDICTION
2	17.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, enforce or
3	modify the Cor	nsent Judgment.
4	18. AUTH	ORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
5	18.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
6	authorized by t	he Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into
7	and execute the	e Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party.
8	19. NO EF	FECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS
9	19.1	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
10	against an enti	ty that is not the Settling Defendant (or a Defendant Releasee) on terms that are
11	different than t	hose contained in this Consent Judgment.
12	20. EXEC	UTION IN COUNTERPARTS
13	20.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and
14	by means of fa	esimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
15	constitute one	locument.
16		
17	IT IS SO ORI AND DECRE	DERED, ADJUDGED, ED:
18		
19	Dated:	, 2022
20		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
PARED PAPER		-16-

1		
2		
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
4		
5		
6	Dated: November 1, 2022	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
7		
8		
9		Rent
10		Regina Jackson Interim Chief Executive Officer
11		Interim Chief Executive Officer
12		
13		
14		
15	Dated: October 25 2022	REACHRODY LLC
15 16	Dated: October 25, 2022	BEACHBODY, LLC
	Dated: October 25, 2022	Grahe T. Wilster
16	Dated: October 25_, 2022	Signature
16 17	Dated: October 25_, 2022	Signature  Blake T. Bilstad
16 17 18	Dated: October 25_, 2022	Signature  Blake T. Bilstad  Printed Name
16 17 18 19	Dated: October 25_, 2022	Signature  Blake T. Bilstad
16 17 18 19 20	Dated: October 25, 2022	Signature  Blake T. Bilstad  Printed Name  Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: October 25_, 2022	Signature  Blake T. Bilstad  Printed Name  Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: October 25_, 2022	Signature  Blake T. Bilstad  Printed Name  Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: October 25_, 2022	Signature  Blake T. Bilstad  Printed Name  Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: October 25_, 2022	Signature  Blake T. Bilstad  Printed Name  Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Dated: October 25_, 2022	Signature  Blake T. Bilstad  Printed Name  Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		Signature  Blake T. Bilstad  Printed Name  Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary