1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth L. Merrow, State Bar No. 328337 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
11				
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG21107796			
13	a non-profit corporation,			
14) [PROPOSED] CONSENT) JUDGMENT AS TO FIT FOR LIFE) LLC			
15	vs.			
16	GYMSHARK USA, INC. et al.,			
17	Defendants.			
18))			
19)			
20	4 NYMP O DAY GOVON			
21	1. INTRODUCTION			
22 23	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for			
23	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Fit for Life LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and			
25	Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." 1.2 Settling Defendant is a limited liability company that employs ten (10) or			
26	1.2 Settling Defendant is a limited liability company that employs ten (10) or more persons and that distributes and/or sells latex resistance bands that may contain n-			
27	nitrosodiethylamine ("NDEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.			
28				
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-			
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – FIT FOR LIFE LLC – CASE NO. RG21107796			

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

- 1.3 Nitrosamines, such as NDEA and NDMA, can form during the manufacturing process of latex rubber products, including the Covered Products. One study contends that Covered Products can be made using alternate accelerators (hereafter "Alternate Accelerator") that do not form Nitrosamines. *See* Sheth, et al., *Nitrosamine Generating Accelerators in Curing of Rubber*, IJSRD, Vol. 1, Issue 3 (2013).
- 1.4 On April 14, 2021, CEH served a 60-Day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of NDEA in latex resistance bands that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.5 On July 22, 2021, CEH filed the above-captioned action in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County. On September 30, 2021, CEH filed a First Amended Complaint naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in this action.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint in the above-captioned action ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is, or shall be construed as, an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

-2-

2.1 "Covered Products" means latex rubber resistance bands manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant in California.

- **2.2** "Effective Date" means the date CEH serves by email notice of entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.3 "Nitrosamine-Free Latex" means latex rubber that contains NDEA that is below the level of detection ("LOD") using a detection limit equal to 50 parts per billion (ppb) by weight when tested pursuant to ISO 19577-2019 by an independent accredited laboratory. In the event that CEH becomes aware that the International Organization for Standardization ("ISO") has validated a modified version of ISO 19577-2019 that results in a reduction in the LOD for NDEA, CEH may initiate a meet and confer pursuant to Section 6 to lower the LOD. In no event shall the LOD be reduced below 20 ppb. Alternatively, Nitrosamine-Free Latex may be demonstrated as containing NDEA less than 10 ppb as determined using ASTM F1313-90 (2011) or EN-71-12:2013, modified to use a sweat solution by an independent accredited laboratory.
 - **2.4** "Reformulation Date" means 180 days after the Effective Date.
- 2.5 "Reformulation Requirements" means the injunctive obligations set forth in Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Specification Compliance Date. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of the Covered Products requiring that the Covered Products be made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex beginning no later than the Reformulation Date. Settling Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from the suppliers of Covered Products confirming that all such Covered Products received by Settling Defendant for distribution in California are made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex. Settling Defendant may rely upon a written certification from its supplier that supplied a Covered Product that such Covered Product is made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex if such certification has not previously been demonstrated to be invalid. Settling Defendant may comply with the requirements of this Section 3.1 by incorporating the requirements of this Section into its

vendor guidelines, restricted substances list or similar vendor specifications documents and obtaining written confirmation from its suppliers through its standard vendor approval and consent processes.

- 3.2 Reformulation Commitment. As of the Reformulation Date, Settling

 Defendant shall not manufacture or import for sale in California any Covered Products that are
 not certified to be made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex. Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires

 Settling Defendant to (a) perform testing on the Covered Products; or (b) recall any Covered

 Products that are already in the stream of commerce as of the Reformulation Date.
- 3.3 Sell-Through for Existing Inventory. The Reformulation Requirements of Section 3 shall not apply to Covered Products that Settling Defendant has purchased prior to the Reformulation Date, including but not limited to Covered Products in distribution centers, in inventory, or at retail locations.
- 2.4 Extension of Time to Comply with Reformulation Date. If Settling Defendant is unable to satisfy the Reformulation Requirements prior to the Reformulation Date, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH at least thirty (30) days before the Reformulation Date of its need to extend the Reformulation Date by an additional six (6) months. Such written notice shall include a reasonably detailed description of Settling Defendant's efforts to reformulate and the anticipated date of compliance. In addition, if Settling Defendant avails itself of the extension allowed under this Section 3.3, Settling Defendant shall make the additional payments specified in Section 5.4 below for such an extension.
- 3.5 Additional Payment for Warning Option. If Settling Defendant has not satisfied the Reformulation Requirements by the applicable Reformulation Date (or any extension pursuant to Section 3.3) for any Covered Products, then for all such Covered Products it shall provide a clear and reasonable warning that complies with Section 3.6.1. In addition, if Settling Defendant avails itself of the warning option allowed under this Section 3.6, Settling Defendant shall make the additional payments specified in Section 5.3 below.
- 3.6 Reformulation Alternative Clear and Reasonable Warnings. If Settling

 Defendant determines that it is unable to comply with the Reformulation Requirements, Settling

Defendant may elect to label Covered Products with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of this Section 3.5 and Title 27 California Code of Regulations section 25601, *et seq.* (the "Warning Option") and make additional payments as set forth in Section 5.3.

3.6.1 **Warning Language**. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:



WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including N-Nitrosodiethylamine, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol that is the same height as the text of the word WARNING, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging or tag or visible through the outer packaging of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the product is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. In lieu of the preceding warning method set forth above, Settling Defendant may use any specific safe-harbor warning method applicable to the Covered Products set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600 et seq., as amended August 30, 2018 and subsequently thereafter.

3.6.2 **Notice to CEH of Election to Warn**. At least thirty (30) days before selling or distributing any Covered Products with warnings hereunder for NDEA, the Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH that Settling Defendant has elected to utilize the Warning Option.

S
C
S
tl
C
n
S
c
S
h
a
a
V
c
p
V
a
Γ
a
f
j۱

5. PAYMENTS

5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$35,000 as a settlement payment as

23

24

25

26

27

1	further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be timely				
2	and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1) postmarked (if sent by the United States				
3	Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier (e.g. Fed Ex), on or before the deadline set				
4	forth in this paragraph.				
5	5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant				
6	shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth				
7	below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the				
8	following categories and made payable as follows:				
9	5.2.1 \$4,700 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §				
10	25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety				
11	Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health				
12	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment				
13	for \$3,525 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number				
14	68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:				
15	For United States Postal Service Delivery:				
16	Attn: Mike Gyurics				
17	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief				
18	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B				
19	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010				
20	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:				
21	Attn: Mike Gyurics				
22	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment				
23	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814				
24					
25	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,175 shall be made payable to the Center for				
26	Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This				
27	payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA				
28					
DOCUMENT PREPARED	-7-				

CONSENT JUDGMENT – FIT FOR LIFE LLC – CASE NO. RG21107796

ON RECYCLED PAPER

94117.

1

16 17 94117.

94117.

19

18

20 21

23

22

24

25 26

27

28

attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the

Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This

pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §

3204. CEH will use these funds to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the

public about toxic chemicals, including carcinogenic nitrosamines such as NDEA and NDMA,

work with industries interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with government,

businesses, and communities for business practices that are safe for human health and the

environment, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to NDEA, NDMA,

and other toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain

adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide

such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the

Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for

payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA

Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.

payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA

To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to 5.2.4

\$27,000 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable

\$3,300 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH

the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

5.2.3

5.2.2

-8-

1
2
3
4
5
6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Payee	Type	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$3,525	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$1,175	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$3,300	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$27,000	LLG

5.3 Payment if Settling Defendant Elects Warning Option for NDEA. If

Settling Defendant avails itself of the Warning Option provided for by Section 3.6, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$7,500 to be split as set forth herein, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.4.2. Of the additional payment, \$4,000 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$3,000 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$1,000 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$1,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$2,000 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117. The additional payment in this Section only applies to warnings for NDEA. Should Settling Defendant begin providing a Proposition 65 warning for the Covered Products that names a chemical other than NDEA, Settling Defendant shall not be responsible for making the additional payment required under section if it provides CEH with evidence that its supplier(s) has switched to an alternative accelerator as described in Section 1.3.

1	5.4 Additional Contingent Payments for Extending Compliance Date. If
2	Settling Defendant avails itself of the extension option provided for Covered Products by Section
3	3.3, within thirty (30) days of first electing to extend the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant
4	shall make an additional payment of \$4,000 as a civil penalty. At the same time it makes any
5	necessary payment under this Section, Settling Defendant may provide CEH with sales data on a
6	confidential basis to support a reduction of the payment. Any such reduction of the payment shall
7	be calculated based on Settling Defendant's most recent annual California sales of Covered
8	Products. If CEH disagrees as to the amount of the reduction, the parties shall meet and confer in
9	good faith. If the parties cannot informally resolve the dispute within thirty (30) days of initiating
10	their meet and confer efforts, CEH may seek to have the dispute resolved by the Court. Any
11	additional civil penalty payments under this section shall be apportioned in accordance with
12	Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to OEHHA). The CEH portion of the
13	civil penalty payment for \$1,000 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health
14	and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered
15	to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117. The OEHHA portion
16	of the civil penalty for \$3,000 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer
17	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
18	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
19	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
20	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
21	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
22	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
23	Attn: Mike Gyurics
24	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
25	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B
26	Sacramento, CA 95814
27	
28	

6.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

- 6.2 Alternative Compliance Standards. If CEH enters into a court-approved settlement or a court enters final judgment in a Proposition 65 enforcement action involving exposure to NDEA in latex that includes a different reformulation standard or definition of Nitrosamine-Free Latex than Section 2.3 or a court determines that there is no reliable or validated methodology for testing for NDEA, Settling Defendant only is entitled at its option to initiate a meet and confer in good faith on conforming modifications to this Consent Judgment. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement, Settling Defendant may move the Court to modify the Consent Judgment.
- **6.3 Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership directors, officers, members, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), Settling Defendant's suppliers, and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees, including Fila U.S.A., Inc., Gaiam Americans, Inc. and Gaiam, Inc. ("Additional Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to NDEA contained in the Covered Products that were manufactured, imported, sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Reformulation Date.

-11-

7.2 CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Additional Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding a violation of Proposition 65 and/or the failure to warn about exposure to NDEA or NDMA or any other Proposition 65 listed nitrosamine arising or in connection with the Covered Products that were manufactured, imported, sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Reformulation Date.

7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, the Defendant Releasees and its Additional Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about NDEA in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date, except as to any other retail seller who fails to provide an internet or catalogue warning provided to said retailer pursuant to Section 3.6 in a manner consistent with Section 3.6.1.

7.4 The Parties intend the above release to be a full, final accord and satisfaction and release of claims with respect to the Covered Products. In furtherance of this intention, CEH, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges it is familiar with California Civil Code section 1542, which is set forth below, and waives and relinquishes all of the rights and benefits it has, or may have under this statute or any similar laws with respect to the Covered Products.

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

8. NOTICE

8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

1			Mark Todzo
2			Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
3			San Francisco, CA 94117 <u>mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com</u>
4		0.0	
5		8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
6	Judgme	ent, the not	ice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
7			J. Robert Maxwell Rogers Joseph O'Donnell, P.C.
			Robert Dollar Building
8			311 California St., 10th Floor San Francisco, CA, 94104
9			jmaxwell@rjo.com
10		8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent
11	by send	ding the oth	ner Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
12	9.	COURT A	APPROVAL
13		9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH
14	shall pı	repare and	file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant
15	shall su	ipport entry	y of this Consent Judgment.
16		9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
17	effect a	and shall ne	ever be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
18	purpos	e other thar	n to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.
19	10.	GOVERN	NING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
20		10.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State
21	of Cali	fornia.	
22	11.	ATTORN	EYS' FEES
23		11.1	Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or
24	other p	roceeding t	to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its
25	reasona	able attorne	eys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should
26	Settling	g Defendan	t prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other
27	proceed	ding, Settli	ng Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result
28			
PARED			-13-

of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, *et seq*. This section is not intended to preclude the ordinary operation of Civil Code §1717.

- 11.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. JOINT PREPARATION

12.1 The Parties have jointly participated in the preparation of this Consent judgment and this Consent judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent judgment. Each Party to this Consent judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party shall not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654. No inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based upon the fact that one of the Parties and/or their counsel prepared or drafted any portion of this Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that the Parties participated equally in the drafting of this Consent Judgment.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any

1]
2	(
3	5
4	ä
5	5
6	1
7	(
8]
9	
10	
11	1
12	1
13	
14	
15	1
16	
17	
18]
19	i
20	
21	
22	1
23	

Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

14. SUBMISSION OF REPORTS AND DATA TO CEH

14.1 For any report or information that Settling Defendant submits to CEH pursuant to this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant may make such a submission subject to the terms of a protective order and/or confidentiality agreement as appropriate.

15. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

15.1 CEH and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

16. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

16.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and other respective divisions, subdivision, and subsidiaries, and the successors and assigns of any of them.

17. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

17.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, enforce or modify the Consent Judgment.

18. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

18.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

27

24

25

1	19.	NO EFF	ECT ON OTHER	SETTLE	MENTS
2		19.1	Nothing in this C	Consent Jud	Igment shall preclude CEH from resolving any
3					
4					
5	20.	EXECU	TION IN COUNTE	ERPARTS	S
6		20.1	The stipulations t	to this Con	sent Judgment may be executed in counterparts
7	and by	means of	facsimile or portabl	le docume	nt format (pdf), which taken together shall be
8	deemed	l to consti	tute one document.		
9					
10	IT IS S	O ORDE	ERED, ADJUDGEI	D, AND	
11	DECK	EED.			
12	Dated:		, 2023		
13	Daica.		, 2023	J	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
14					
15					
16	IT IS S	SO STIPU	JLATED:		
17					
18	Dated:	_ January	26, 2023	(CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
19					
20					
21					Ryf
22					Regina Jackson Interim Chief Executive Officer
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED					-16-
ON RECYCLED PAPER			CONSENT JUDGME	ENT – FIT F	OR LIFE LLC – CASE NO. RG21107796

1	Jan 19th 2023 Dated:, 2022
2	\mathcal{M}
3	I Signature
4	
5	Joseph Maleh Printed Name
6	MEMBER
7	MEMBER Title
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	4-
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-17- CONSENT JUDGMENT – FIT FOR LIFE LLC – CASE NO. RG21107796