1 2	George Rikos, Esq. (SBN 204864) LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE RIKOS 555 West Beech Street, Suite 500 San Diego, CA 92101		
3	Telephone: (858) 342-9161 Facsimile: (858) 724-1453 Email: george@georgerikoslaw.com		
4 5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Blue Water Cosaint, LLC		
6	Blue Water Cosaint, LLC		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO		
10			
11	BLUE WATER COSAINT, LLC, a limited liability company	Case No. 37-2021-00000039308-CU-MC-CTL	
12	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT	
13	v.		
14	TRADER JOE'S COMPANY, a California		
15	corporation and DOES 1 through 10		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	1. INTRODUCTION		
19			
20		gment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by	
21	and between Blue Water Cosaint, LLC ("PLAINTIFF") and Trader Joe's Company, a California		
22	corporation ("DEFENDANT"). Together, PLAI	NTIFF and DEFENDANT are collectively	
23	referred to as the "Parties." PLAINTIFF is an inc	dividual that resides in the State of California and	
24	seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic	c chemicals and to improve human health by	
25	reducing or eliminating hazardous substances co	ntained in consumer products. For purposes of	
26	this Consent Judgment only, DEFENDANT stip	ulates, in accordance with section 1.5 below, that	
27	it is a person in the course of doing business for	purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic	
20	Enforcement Act of 1986, Cal. Health & Safety	Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").	

- 1.2 General Allegations. PLAINTIFF alleges the DEFENDANT exposed individuals in the State of California to Cadmium from its sales of certain products without providing certain consumers of the products with a clear and reasonable health hazard exposure warning as required pursuant to Proposition 65. Cadmium is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and developmental toxicity.
- 1.3 Product Description. The product covered by this Consent Judgment is Golden Roasted Flax Seed (SKU 88587) that was manufactured, imported, distributed, offered for sale, and/or sold in California by DEFENDANT or its affiliates (the "Product").
- 1.4 Notice of Violation, Complaint, and Jurisdiction. On May 10, 2021, PLAINTIFF served DEFENDANT and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq." (the "Notice"), alleging that DEFENDANT was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn California consumers and customers that use of the Product will expose them to Cadmium. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice. On September 15, 2021, based on the Notice and the absence of any authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 having filed a suit based on the allegations contained therein, PLAINTIFF filed a complaint in the Superior Court of and for San Diego County (the "Court"), Case No. 37-2021-00039308-CU-MC-CTL (the "Action"). For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that the Court has jurisdiction over the allegations in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over DEFENDANT, that venue is proper in the County of San Diego, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of the claims and allegations which were or could have been raised in the Action based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notice.
- 1.5 No Admission. This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. DEFENDANT denies each and every material, factual, and legal allegation contained in the Notice and the Action and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65 and/or is not subject to that law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by DEFENDANT of any fact, finding,

conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by DEFENDANT of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by DEFENDANT. However, this Section 1.5 shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of DEFENDANT under this Consent Judgment.

1.6 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment has been approved by the Court and PLAINTIFF has provided notice to DEFENDANT that it has been entered in the Court's records as a consent judgment.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Post Warnings in California Stores Selling the Product

As of the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, if DEFENDANT elects to resume sales of the Product, Defendant shall post a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 2.1 - 2.2 and in accordance with 27 CCR § 25602 for all sales that Defendant makes to California consumers. There shall be no obligation for such an exposure warning to be provided for Products that entered the stream of commerce prior to the Effective Date. The warning shall consist of the Warning described in § 2.1 unless provided pursuant to § 2.2:

(a) **Warning**: The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:

WARNING: Consuming Golden Roasted Flax Seeds (SKU 88587) can expose you to chemicals including Cadmium, which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

2.2 Compliance with Warning Regulations. The Purported Violators shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Settlement Agreement by either adhering to § 2.1 of this Settlement Agreement or by complying with any applicable warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA").

3. CONSENT JUDGMENT PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalties

DEFENDANT shall pay \$2,000 as a civil penalty, allocated in accordance with Cal. Health

Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Costs

28

3.2

27

28

Within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date, DEFENDANT shall reimburse PLAINTIFF's counsel \$35,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating and bringing this matter to DEFENDANT'S attention, negotiating a Consent Judgment in the public interest, and obtaining the Court's approval of the Consent Judgment and its entry as a consent judgment. DEFENDANT shall issue a check for this amount payable to "Law Offices of George Rikos" and deliver it to the address identified in Section 3.1 above. DEFENDANT'S payment obligations shall be tolled until it receives an IRS W-9 form for this payee.

4. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

4.1 Release of DEFENDANT & Related Entities. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between PLAINTIFF, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, and DEFENDANT of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by PLAINTIFF or on behalf of his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, predecessors, successors, and/or assigns (collectively, "Releasors") for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposures to Cadmium contained in the Product, and Releasors hereby release any such claims against DEFENDANT and its parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, principals, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, insurers, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors, and assigns, the unidentified and unnamed DOES 1 through 10, and each entity that directly or indirectly manufactures, produces, distributes, ships, or sells the Product, including but not limited to, upstream suppliers of the Product or ingredients used in the Product, entities that manufacture, process, or otherwise produce the Product for DEFENDANT, and all downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, and licensees, and their owners, directors, officers, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, insurers, representatives, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively, "DEFENDANT Releasees") from all claims for or based on violations of Proposition 65 with respect to any Product manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by DEFENDANT prior to the Effective Date based on failure to warn of alleged exposure to the Cadmium from the Product.

4.2 **DEFENDANT'S Release of PLAINTIFF.** DEFENDANT, on behalf of itself and

its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against PLAINTIFF, his attorneys, and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by PLAINTIFF and/or his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter.

4.3 California Civil Code Section 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to

4.3 California Civil Code Section 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and relating to the Product will develop or be discovered. PLAINTIFF on behalf of himself only, on one hand, and DEFENDANT, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 4.1 and 4.2, above, may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code Section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

PLAINTIFF and DEFENDANT each acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542.

4.4 Deemed Compliance with Proposition 65. Compliance by DEFENDANT with this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to Cadmium from the Product. Products distributed by DEFENDANT prior to the Effective Date may be sold through as previously manufactured and labeled.

5. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

The Parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment as a consent judgment based on the motion for its approval PLAINTIFF will be making

pursuant to Section 10 below. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment as a consent judgment, PLAINTIFF and DEFENDANT waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations contained in the Complaint.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are deemed by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected but only to the extent the deletion of the provision deemed unenforceable does not materially affect, or otherwise result in the effect of the Consent Judgment being contrary to the intent of the Parties in entering into this Consent Judgment.

7. GOVERNING LAW/ENFORCEMENT

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the law of the State of California and apply within the State of California. The rights to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment are exclusively conferred on the Parties hereto. Any Party may, after providing sixty (60) days' written notice and meeting and conferring within a reasonable time thereafter to attempt to resolve any issues, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In the event that Proposition 65 or its regulations applicable to the Product are repealed, or are otherwise rendered inapplicable or invalid, including but not limited to by reason of law generally, due to federal preemption, or the First Amendment commercial speech rights of the U.S. Constitution, as determined by a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, then DEFENDANT shall provide written notice to PLAINTIFF of any asserted repeal or determination. Upon DEFENDANT'S written notice, DEFENDANT shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment to the extent such repeal or determination affects DEFENDANT'S obligations with respect to the Product.

8. <u>NOTICES</u>

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight or two-day courier on any

1	Party by the other Party to the following addresses:			
2	For DEFENDANT:			
3	Dawn Sestito			
4	Collins Kilgore O'Melveny & Myers LLP			
5	400 S. Hope St.			
6	Los Angeles, CA 90071 dsestito@omm.com			
7	ckilgore@omm.com			
8	For PLAINTIFF:			
9	George Rikos, Esq.			
10	Law Offices of George Rikos 555 West Beech, Suite 500			
11	San Diego, CA 92101 Email: george@georgerikoslaw.com			
12				
13	Either Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to			
14	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.			
15	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS: SIGNATURES</u>			
16	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable			
17	document format (.pdf or PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of			
18	which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.			
19	10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)			
20	PLAINTIFF agrees to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in Health & Safety			
21	Code Section 25249.7(f) and to seek, by formal and properly noticed motion (including with service			
22	to the Office of the California Attorney General being fully effectuated at least forty-five (45) days			
23	prior to a requested hearing thereon), approval of this Consent Judgment's terms pursuant to			
24	Proposition 65 and its associated entry as a consent judgment by the Court.			
25	11. MODIFICATION			
26	Unless otherwise provided for herein, this Consent Judgment may be modified only by a			
27	written agreement of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon a duly noticed motion of			
28	either Party for good cause shown. A showing of technical infeasibility or commercial			

unreasonableness in meeting the requirements of Section 2 with respect to the Product shall be deemed to constitute good cause for a modification to substitute an alternative no significant risk level on the basis of 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25703(b) and such a modification shall not be opposed by PLAINTIFF. Any proposed modification shall be sent to the Office of the California Attorney General in advance of its submission to the Court such that the Attorney General has a reasonable opportunity to review and comment thereon.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof. This Consent Judgment shall have no effect if it is not approved by the Court and entered as a consent judgment.

13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date:	Date:
By:	By: Dawn Sestito, Esq. Counsel for DEFENDANT Trader Joe's Company
1,	
AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: $5/8/23$
By: Blue Water Cosaint, LLC	By: Trader Joe's Company
	,

unreasonableness in meeting the requirements of Section 2 with respect to the Product shall be deemed to constitute good cause for a modification to substitute an alternative no significant risk level on the basis of 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25703(b) and such a modification shall not be opposed by PLAINTIFF. Any proposed modification shall be sent to the Office of the California Attorney General in advance of its submission to the Court such that the Attorney General has a reasonable opportunity to review and comment thereon.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof. This Consent Judgment shall have no effect if it is not approved by the Court and entered as a consent judgment.

13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

16	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
17 18 19 20	Date: 5-8-2023 By: George Rikos Counsel to PLAINTIFF Blue Water Cosant, LLC	Date: By: Dawn Sestito, Esq. Counsel for DEFENDANT Trader Joe's Company
21		
22	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
23	Date: 5/3/15	Date:
24	By: Blue Water Cosaint, LLC	By: Trader Joe's Company
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1	I .	

1 2	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT THE CONSENT JUDGMENT SET FORTH ABOVE SHALL PROMPTLY BE ENTERED AS A CONSENT JUDGMENT BY THIS COURT:
3	DATED.
4	DATED:
5	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	l .