1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ENTORNO LAW, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Noam Glick (SBN 251582) Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 629-0527 Email: noam@enteronolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: craig@entornolaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.	ΓΗΕ STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	Case No. 22CV008716	
12	ADVOCATES, INC.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
13	Plaintiff, v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)	
14			
15	MARKWINS BEAUTY BRANDS, INC., a California corporation, 99 CENTS ONLY		
16	STORES, LLC, a California limited liability		
17	company, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc., ("EHA" or "Plaintiff") and Markwins Beauty Brands, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Marwkins") with EHA and Markwins each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

EHA is a corporation organized in the state of California, acting in the interest of the general public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Markwins employs ten or more individuals and for purposes of this Consent Judgment only, is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

EHA alleges that Markwins manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale Beauty Benefits Highlighting duo that contains asbestos. EHA further alleges that Markwins does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, asbestos is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer. Markwins denies these allegations and asserts that its products are safe and in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations.

1.5 Notices of Violation

On or around May 27, 2021, EHA served Defendant Markwins, 99 Cents Only Stores, LLC, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that Markwins had violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to asbestos contained in Beauty Benefits Highlighting Duo.

On or around December 23, 2021, EHA issued to Defendant Markwins, 99 Cents Only Stores, LLC, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies a 60-Day

Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("First Amended Notice"). The First Amended Notice included supplemental supporting documents for the named products.

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.6 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are Beauty Benefits Highlighting Products, including but not limited Beauty Benefits Highlighting Duo ("Covered Products").

1.7 State of the Pleadings

On or around March 22, 20233 EHA filed a Complaint against Markwins for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

The Parties enter into the Consent Judgment to settled disputed claims between them as set forth herein and in the Notice, First Amended Notice and Complaint concerning Markwins compliance with Proposition 65. Markwins denies the material factual and legal allegations of the Notice, First Amended Notice, and Complaint and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Markwins's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment. Notwithstanding the allegations in the Notice, First Amended Notice, and Complaint, Markwins maintains that it has not knowingly manufactured, or caused to be manufactured, the Covered Products for sale in California in violation of Proposition 65.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Markwins as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 **Effective Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is approved and entered as a judgment of the Court, as discussed in Section 5.

2. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

2.1 **Stop Sale**

After the Effective Date, Markwins shall not manufacture or cause to manufacture Covered Products for ultimate sale into the State of California.

2.2 Sell-Through Period

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that are manufactured prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations of Markwins, or any Releasees (if applicable), stated in this Section 2 do not apply to Covered Products manufactured prior to the Effective Date.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

Settlement Amount 3.1

Markwins shall pay seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice, First Amended Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of six thousand dollars (\$6,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of sixty-four thousand dollars (\$64,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

3.2 **Civil Penalty**

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75 percent (\$4,500.00) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25 percent (\$1,500) of the penalty paid to EHA individually.

All payments owed to EHA shall be delivered to the following address:

3.4 Timing of Payments

All payments described in sections 3.1-3.3 herein shall be paid within 14 days of the Effective Date.

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE</u>

4.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Markwins, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership or control, its directors, officers, principals, agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, predecessors, successors, and assigns ("Defendant Entities"), each entity to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes, ships, or sells the Covered Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including 99 Cents Only Stores, LLC), and marketplaces franchisees, franchisors, cooperative members, suppliers, manufacturers, vendors, customers, purchasers, users, licensees, and licensors, and all of the foregoing entities' owners, directors, officers, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, representatives, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively referred to as the "Releasees") from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 for Covered Products manufactured up through the Effective Date based on exposure to asbestos as set forth in the Notice(s).

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to asbestos from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice(s). This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of all claims under Proposition 65 that were or could have been asserted against Markwins and/or Releasees for failure to comply with Proposition 65 for alleged exposure to asbestos from Covered Products.

4.2 EHA's Individual Release of Claims

EHA, in its individual capacity, also provides a release to Markwins and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent, suspected or unsuspected,

arising out of alleged or actual exposures to asbestos in Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Markwins before the Effective Date.

EHA acknowledges that it is familiar with California Civil Code section 1542, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

EHA, in its individual capacity only, and on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which it may have under, or which may be conferred on it by the provisions of California Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters.

4.3 EHA's Representation and Warranty

EHA represents and warrants that it is not aware of any facts that would support any other asbestos Proposition 65 claims against Markwins or any of its affiliated entities.

4.4 Markwins's Release of EHA

Markwins on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them, in this matter or with respect to the Covered Products.

4.5 No Other Known Claims or Violations

EHA and EHA's counsel affirm that they are not presently aware of any actual or alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Markwins or for which Markwins bears legal responsibility other than those that are fully resolved by this Consent Judgment.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then Markwins may provide written notice to EHA of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

In the event the California Office of Health Hazard Assessment adopts a regulation or safe use determination, or issues an interpretive guideline that exempts Covered Products from meeting the requirements of Proposition 65; or if asbestos cases are permanently enjoined by a court of competent jurisdiction; or if Proposition 65 is determined to be preempted by federal law or a burden on First Amendment rights with respect to asbestos in Covered Products or Covered Products substantially similar to Covered Products, then Markwins shall be relieved of its obligation to comply with Section 2 herein.

8. <u>ENFORCEMENT</u>

Only a Party to this Consent Judgment may enforce this Consent Judgment. Should a Party wish to enforce any portion of this Consent Judgment against another Party, it must provide the other Party with a written notice of the claim and meet and confer for at least thirty (30) days prior to

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

proceeding with an enforcement motion. In any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

9. NOTICE

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier; and (iv) with a copy by email; to the following addresses:

If to Markwins:

Will Wagner Arnold & Porter Three Embarcadero Center 10th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111 Will.Wagner@arnoldporter.com If to EHA:

Noam Glick Entorno Law, LLP

225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101 noam@enteronolaw.com

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which notices and other communications shall be sent.

10. **COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES**

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES 11.

EHA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion EHA shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their reasonable best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

13. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

14. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED 10:
20		
21	Date: 3/02/2023	Date: 3/02/2023
22	Jan Da	
23	By: Mul PERVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	By: MARKWINS BEATY BRANDS, INC
24	ADVOCATES, INC.	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27		
<u>,</u>	Date:	

